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## CLASS GLOSSARY

Appraisal - an opinion of value, supported by evidence.
Assessed Value (AV) - the value placed on property for tax purposes and used as a basis for distribution of the tax burden. Most of the time this amount is subject to the State-issued equalization factor and the deduction of the homestead exemption on residential parcels.

Assessment - the official act of discovering, listing, appraising and entering a value for property on the assessment rolls for ad valorem tax purposes.

Assessment Level - refers to the statutory level of 33.33 percent or the actual level obtainable from a sales ratio study.

Bank Real Estate Owned (REO) - the first sale of the property owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

Coefficient of Concentration (COC) - the percentage of observations falling within 10\% of the median level of assessments; a high COC indicates more uniformity.

Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) - a statistical measure of variation of individual assessment ratios around the median level of assessments. An average error expressed as a percent of the median; an indicator of assessment uniformity found by dividing the average deviation by the median. It is the most common method used in measuring assessment uniformity.

Equalized Assessed Value (EAV) - the assessed value multiplied by the State equalization factor. This gives the property value from which the tax rate is calculated after deducting all qualified homestead exemptions. For farm acreage, farm buildings, and coal rights, the final assessed value is the equalized assessed value. Individual tax bills are calculated by multiplying the individual district's tax rates by the equalized assessed value after all qualifying exemptions have been removed.

Equalization Multiplier - the application of a uniform percent increase or decrease to assessed values of various areas or classes of property to bring assessment levels to a uniform level of market value. The multiplier can be applied by Township Assessor (TA), Supervisor of Assessments (CCAO) or Board of Review (BR).

Factor - represents the adjustment to an appraisal for any number of variables.

Market Value (Fair Cash Value) - the most probable sales price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

Mean - an arithmetic average.
Median - the middle value of a ranked set of numbers.
Mode - the number that occurs most frequently in a set of numbers.
Outlier - a Sales Ratio (SR) whose results are a large deviation from the median, either below the median or above the median.

Price-Related Differential (PRD) - any assessment bias related to the value of property.
Quartiles - the values that divide a set of data into four equal parts ( $25 \%, 50 \%, 75 \%$, $100 \%$ ) when the data are arrayed in ascending order.

Sale in Lieu of Foreclosure - a transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure if the Grantee is a financial institution.

Sales Ratio (SR) - the ratio of assessed value to market value found from a property that has sold; ratio equals prior year (equalized) assessed value (AV or EAV) divided by the current year sales price (SP).

Sales Ratio Study - a analysis of the percentage relationship of assessed value to market value. Ratio equals prior year assessed value divided by the current year sales price. A minimum of 25 useable sales/appraisals is required.

Short Sale - the property was sold for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the mortgagor has agreed to the sale.

Urban Weighted Method - non-farm values; used in determining a county's median level of assessment by dividing the county's total assessed value (AV) by the county's total Estimate of Full Value (EFV); this is the preferred method of calculating a county multiplier.

## ACRONYMS

- $\mathbf{A V}=$ Assessed Value
- Bank REO = Bank Real Estate Owned
- BR = Board of Review
- CCAO = Supervisor of Assessments, aka Chief County Assessing Officer
- COC = Coefficient of Concentration
- COD = Coefficient of Dispersion
- DOR = Department of Revenue
- EAV = Equalized Assessed Value
- EFV = Estimate of Full Value
- MV = Market Value (Fair Cash Value)
- PRD = Price Related Differential
- PTAB = Property Tax Appeal Board
- RETD = Real Estate Transfer Declaration or PTAX 203 form
- SP = Sales Price
- SR = Sales Ratio
- TA = Township Assessor


## WHERE TO GET ASSISTANCE OR INFORMATION

## WEB LINKS

- Property Tax Division: https://tax.illinois.gov/localgovernments/property.html
- Property Tax Code (35ILCS 200): www.ilga.gov
- Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board: http://www.ptab.illinois.gov/
- Real Estate Transfer Declaration Procedures for CCAO's https://tax.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/tax/localgovernments/property/docume nts/ccaoprocedures.pdf


## PUBLICATIONS

- PTAX-1004 The Illinois Property Tax System https://tax.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/tax/research/publications/documents/lo calgovernment/ptax-1004.pdf
- PTAX-136 Property Assessment and Equalization https://tax.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/tax/research/publications/pubs/docume nts/pub-136.pdf


## Unit 1 - Basic Math for Sales Ratio Studies

This unit covers basic math calculations for Sales Ratio Studies.

## Learning Objectives

After completing this unit, you should be able to

- calculate a sales ratio.
- explain the difference between mean, median and mode.
- calculate averages.
- understand the relationship between decimals or percentages.


## Terms and Concepts

- Decimals
- Percentages
- Average
- Mean
- Median
- Mode
- Sales Ratio
- Quartiles
- Interquartile Range


## Review of Math Basics and Statistics

## 1. Decimals and Percentages

A sales ratio (SR) can be presented as a decimal or a percentage. It is calculated by dividing the components, which are equalized assessed value (EAV)/sales price. Decimals are carried to four places after the decimal point, or .5000 , while percentages are presented with two places after the decimal point, or $50.00 \%$, for Sales Ratio Studies. Below is an example of a decimal:

Prior Year EAV = \$35,000
Current Year Sales Price $=\$ 110,000$
$35,000 / 110,000=.3182$
To convert this result to a percentage, multiply the result by 100 (or move the decimal point 2 places to the right) as follows:
$.3182 \times 100=31.82 \%$
The reverse of the above conversion of a decimal to percentage can be completed to produce the decimal (move the decimal point 2 places to the left):
31.82\% / $100=.3182$

## Exercise 1-1

Calculate the missing component(s) below:

| EAV | Sales Price | Decimal | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40,000 | 125,000 |  |  |
| 35,000 | 105,000 |  |  |
| 60,000 | 190,450 |  |  |
| 150,000 | 583,500 |  |  |
| 120,000 | 90,000 |  |  |
| 2,500 | 50,000 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 166,500 |  |  |

## 2. Statistical Measures: Mean, Median and Mode

The mean of a group of numbers, also called an array of numbers, represents the average of the entire group. To calculate the mean, add the entire array of numbers together and divide by the total number of the group.
$2,5,10,25,50,55,70,77,110,110,150,200$
Add all of the numbers together for a total (864) and divide by the total numbers in the group (12).

The average (mean) of this group of numbers is: 864/12 $=72$

What does the result of 72 above represent for this group of numbers? The interpretation is that the average represents a centrally located result or if these numbers represented the SR calculated for a county, the average or mean for the county is an SR of $72 \%$.

The mean result for an array of numbers is sensitive to the range of the numbers. When the 150 and the 200 in the group of numbers is replaced with 7 and 139 , what happens to the average for this group?

Add the following numbers together and divide by 12 for the answer.
$2,5,7,10,25,50,55,70,77,110,110,139$
The average of this group of numbers is: $660 / 12=55$
The discussion then would be when comparing this mean to the statutory level of 33.33\% that the county has considerable work to complete to bring the assessments to conform to the required level. But, does that make sense in terms of the mean being a reasonably accurate result for a central point of tendency?

Another statistical measure that would provide a more practical result when discussing Sales Ratio Studies is the median. This is the middle occurrence for the total group of SR for a county. To find the median in an odd numbered group, find the middle result after the numbers are sorted in ascending order. For groups of numbers with an even amount in the array, locate the two middle results, add together, and divide the answer by two.

Using the first set of numbers above as the guide, what is the median?

## Exercise 1-2

Median = $\qquad$

## Exercise 1-3

The final statistical centrally located result when evaluating SR is the mode. This is the number that is displayed the most in an array of figures. Using the first or second set of numbers above, what would the mode be? $\qquad$

## 3. Sales Ratio (SR)

The calculation of an SR uses the following formula:
Prior Year EAV / Current Year Sales Price (SP) = SR
You will notice this was used when discussing the basic math of decimal and percentage calculations previously. The information can be found within the County's assessment records and on the RETD/PTAX-203/MyDec that's recorded for the sale of property.

Reference: PTAX-203 document

## Exercise 1-4

Specifically, the EAV represents the final, Board of Review certified assessment from the prior year. If the sale for a property is being recorded in 2018, what assessment year should be used? $\qquad$
Given the sales dates provided below, determine the assessment year that the EAV would be pulled from to use for the sales ratio study.

Deed for the sale is dated: $2019=$ $\qquad$ Assessment
Deed for the sale is dated: 2012 = $\qquad$ Assessment
Deed for the sale is dated: $2017=$ $\qquad$ Assessment Deed for the sale is dated: $2020=$ $\qquad$ Assessment

## 4. Quartiles

Quartiles divide the array of data into four equal quarters. The first quartile is where the lowest 25 percent of the observations would fall. The second quartile is where the median would be located and the third quartile is where 75 percent of the observations would fall below.

Examples for calculating quartiles:
A set of data includes 75 individual data points. The first quartile for this array of numbers can be calculated using the following equation:
$(0.25)(75)+0.25=19$
This result (19) correlates to the $19^{\text {th }}$ number in the data set after they are arranged in ascending order. 25 percent of results for this particular set of data fall below the score of 19.

To determine the third quartile of this same array of 75 numbers, the following equation can be used:
$(0.75)(75)+0.75=57$
This result (57) correlates to the $57^{\text {th }}$ number in the data set after they are arranged in ascending order. $75 \%$ of results for this particular set of data fall below the score of 57.


Source: Scribbr

## Exercise 1-5

Answer the questions for following array of numbers.
$19.25,22.46,32.57,32.99,34.20,36.59,36.59,37.46,37.89,38.80,38.99,39.99,42.76$, 44.98, 87.25

What is the First Quartile in the above group of numbers?

$$
(0.25)\left(\_\right)+0.25=
$$

Result in data set = $\qquad$
What is the Third Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ $)+0.75=$ $\qquad$ Result in data set $=$ $\qquad$

The consequence of the quartile results produced in a sales ratio study is to apply the outcome to identify outliers and remove them from the study.

These outliers are thought to distort the study's results and can be caused by several attributes:

- The assessed value from the prior year is not in sync with the current year's sales price. The sales price could be higher because of major remodeling, for example. The sales price could also be lower based on the house remaining vacant for a number of years.
- The inadvertent use of a sale that does not represent an arm's length transaction. The sale may have occurred between a father and his married daughter (with a different last name) and if the preparer did not indicate they were relatives, this sale may end up on the sales ratio study.
- An error in the assessed value provided by the CCAO's office on the PTAX 203 form. The office may have accidentally not provided the prior year's Board of Review EAV.


## 5. Interquartile Range

The interquartile range is used when calculating the sales ratios that are identified as outliers for the sales ratio study.

The difference between the first and third quartiles represents the interquartile range.
From the above calculations for the first and third quartile, we found that the first quartile result was 32.99 and the third quartile result was 39.99. The interquartile range in this example is 7.00 (39.99-32.99). When evaluating the sales ratio results for your township, the interquartile range is multiplied by 6 . In our example, $7.00 \times 6=42.00$. The 42.00 is added to 39.99 to find the upper trim point or 81.99 . This same process is applied to calculate the lower trim point or 42.00 is subtracted from 32.99 for the lower trim point of 9.01.

Following through then, any sales ratio values above 81.99 are removed from the study and any sales ratio values below -9.01 are removed from the study as well (there would not be a negative sales ratio so there would not be a removal for this result).

Third Quartile - First Quartile = Interquartile Range<br>Interquartile Range x 6 = Result<br>Third Quartile + Result = Upper Trim Limit<br>First Quartile - Result = Lower Trim Limit (If the lower trim is a negative number, no lower outliers will be removed)

## Exercise 1-6

From Exercise 1-5, what sale(s) should be removed from this study? $\qquad$
For Exercise 1-5, what is the ratio range for this township before removal of outliers? $\qquad$ after the removal of outliers? $\qquad$
What was the median for this set of data before removal of any outliers? $\qquad$
What is the median for set of data after removal of outliers, if applicable? $\qquad$

## Exercise 1-7

Answer the questions for the following array of numbers.
$15.25,25.46,26.57,38.99,39.20,39.59,39.59,41.46,43.89,44.80,45.99,45.99,50.76$, 90.98, 107.25

What is the First Quartile in the above group of numbers?
(0.25)( $\qquad$ $)+0.25=$ $\qquad$

Result in data set = $\qquad$
What is the Third Quartile in the above group of numbers?

$$
(0.75)(
$$

$\qquad$ $)+0.75=$ $\qquad$ Result in data set = $\qquad$
Interquartile Range: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $x 6=$ $\qquad$
Upper trim limit: $\qquad$ $+$ $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
Lower trim limit: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
From the above example, were there any sales removed from this study? $\qquad$
For the above example, what is the ratio range for this township before removal of outliers?
$\qquad$ after the removal of outliers? $\qquad$
What was the median for this set of data before removal of any outliers? $\qquad$
What is the median for set of data after removal of outliers, if applicable? $\qquad$

## Exercise 1-8

$2.38,21.46,26.57,38.99,39.20,39.59,39.59,41.46,43.89,45.80,45.99,45.99,50.76$, $54.85,55.67,79.88,90.98,157.25,166.88$

What is the First Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$(0.25)\left(\_\right)+0.25=$ $\qquad$ Result in data set $=$ $\qquad$

What is the Third Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$(0.75)\left(\_\right)+0.75=$ $\qquad$ Result in data set = $\qquad$ Interquartile Range: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Upper trim limit: $\qquad$ $+$ $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
Lower trim limit: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
From the above example, were there any sales removed from this study? $\qquad$

For the above example, what is the ratio range for this township before removal of outliers?
$\qquad$ after the removal of outliers? $\qquad$
What was the median for this set of data before removal of any outliers? $\qquad$
What is the median for set of data after removal of outliers, if applicable? $\qquad$

## Summary

The basic math for sales ratio studies includes calculated results being expressed as either decimals to 4 places, .4526 , or percentages to 2 places, $45.26 \%$. When a decimal is presented as a percentage, multiply the result by 100. The reverse is true for presenting a percentage as a decimal or dividing the result by 100.

Measures for the point of central tendency include the mean (average), the median (middle) and the mode (most present) for an array of numbers. The mean is calculated by adding all of the results together and dividing by the total number in the array. The median represents the central point within an array of numbers. To find the median, arrange the results in ascending order and for an odd number, find the middle point. For an even number of results within an array and after arranging in ascending order, locate the two middle results, add together and divide by 2 . The final point of central tendency is the mode. The mode represents the number within an array that is presented the most number of times.

Quartile ranges divide an array of numbers into four equal parts. The importance or the part these quartile ranges play in a sales ratio study is that they are used in the calculation that will 'trim' sales ratios that are outside the calculated range.

Interquartile range is the distance between the first and third quartiles after the sales ratios are arranged in ascending order. This range is used in the calculation for the lower and upper limits of outliers. To calculate the lower and upper trim points, use the following formulas:

Third Quartile - First Quartile = Interquartile Range<br>Interquartile Range $\times 6=$ Result<br>Third Quartile + Result = Upper Trim Limit<br>First Quartile - Result = Lower Trim Limit

## UNIT 1 Review Questions

1. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ To calculate a sales ratio, the $E A V$ is divided by the sales price.
2. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ The mode for an array of numbers is the result located in the middle.
3. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ A median is the number that shows up in an array of numbers the most times.
4. T or F Quartile ranges play no significant role in the sales ratio process.
5. T or $\mathbf{F}$ The formula to calculate a sales ratio contains the current year's EAV divided by the prior year's sales price.
6. What central point of tendency reflects the middle sales ratio result?
$\qquad$
7. Calculate the lower and upper trim points from the following array of 15 numbers.
2.00, 15.46, 24.80, 24.80, 25.08, 26.10, 32.99, 33.24, 33.24, 34.15, 36.77, 50.86, 51.33, 77. 33, 105.66

What is the First Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$\qquad$ Result in data set = $\qquad$
What is the Third Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$(0.75)\left(\_\right)+0.75=$ $\qquad$ Result in data set = $\qquad$
Interquartile Range: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $x 6=$ $\qquad$
Upper trim limit: $\qquad$ $+$ $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
Lower trim limit: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
From your results, what, if any, ratios will be removed from the data? $\qquad$

## Unit 2 - PTAX-203 Form/The Sales Ratio Study

This unit discusses the PTAX-203 form, focusing on the information gathered on these documents and determines through editing procedures whether a sale is to be included in or excluded from the sales ratio study. This chapter will also provide a discussion for sales transactions that represent arm's length characteristics and those transactions that do not. The importance of removing sales that are not arm's length or valid sales is also discussed.

Once the valid sales are identified through the Department's editing processes, the discussion moves towards the uses for Sales Ratio Studies, including a basic understanding of the process for determining a median level of assessment, assessment uniformity, the appeal process for issues relating to the assessment value of property and as a basis for the determination of the assessor bonus award and the partial reimbursement of the supervisor of assessment's salary.

## Learning Objectives

After completing this unit, you should be able to

- become familiar with PTAX-203 form.
- understand the requirements for an arm's length transaction.
- identify several uses for the sales ratio study.
- identify criteria for the development of the sales ratio study.
- determine the median level of assessments.
- verify the PTAX-203 information.
- calculate sales ratios.
- determine the outlier ratios to remove from sales ratio study.


## Terms and Concepts

- Arm's length transaction
- Median
- Median level
- Rank
- Sales Ratio
- Trimming


## Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, Form PTAX-203

Each deed and assignment of beneficial interest of a land trust recorded must be accompanied by Form PTAX-203, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, unless specifically exempted under Section 31-45 of the Property Tax Code. The PTAX-203 contains information from the buyer and seller and from the Chief County Assessing Officer (CCAO) that is analyzed and used by the Department in their annual assessment/sales ratio study for each county. If the property has a sale price over $\$ 1$ million and has a current use of "Apartment building (over 6 units)," "Office," "Retail establishment," "Commercial building," "Industrial building," or "Other," a Form PTAX-203-A, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, Supplemental Form A must also be filed.

The sales ratio study conducted by the Department's Property Tax Division, Equalization and Sales Ratio Section, provides the basis of computation for equalization multipliers in support of the Department's statutory responsibility to equalize the level of assessments among the counties in the state (inter-county equalization).

Form PTAX-203, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, is commonly referred to as the RETD, and is the primary source of information used by the Department and by any assessing official when conducting a sales ratio study.

One of the purposes of this unit is to introduce basic sales analysis used by the Department to determine the sales that will be included in the sales ratio study. If both the assessing officials and the Department use the same editing processes and methodology, a sales ratio study conducted by both groups should produce the same results.

Once all the declarations are received and edited, the Department will conduct a sales ratio study with all of the useable sales.

## Reviewing Certain Line Instructions for RETD's

Line 5: $\quad$ Mark with an " $x$ " the type of instrument (deed).
The following deed types are the only deed types that are included in the sales ratio studies. All other deed types would exclude the sale from the study.

- Warranty deeds
- Trustee deeds

Line 7: Answer "Yes" or "No" if the property was advertised for sale.
A sale meets the "advertised for sale" if it was open to the general public for sale through avenues such as advertised by newspaper, trade publication, radio/electronic media, a sign, word of mouth, or through a real estate agent.

If the property was not available to the public, exclude the sale.

Line 8: Identify the property's current use and intended primary use.
This information is used to determine changes in use.
Line 8c: Be sure to review this line because if the mobile home is personal property, the amount should be included in the value of personal property on line 12a. Subtract this amount from the full actual consideration on line 11 to arrive at the net consideration on line 13. If the mobile home is real estate, do not include the amount in the value of personal property.

Line 9: Identify any significant physical changes in the property since January 1 of the previous year and write the date of the change.

This information is used to determine changes in AV due to changes in construction.
Physical changes must have been done since January 1 of the previous year and before the sales date.

Line 10: Identify only the items that apply to this sale.
Examples of other reasons to exclude a sale from the study:
Fulfillment of installment contract Sale-leaseback
Sale between related individuals
Transfer of less than 100\% interest
Charitable organization transfer
Option to Purchase
Auction Sales
Same surname transfer
Note: The following properties will be used in the sales ratio study:
Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) Bank REO
Pension Fund
Adjacent Property Owner
Relocation Company

Governmental Agency
Court-ordered sale
Condemnation

Line 11: Full actual consideration - actual money paid for the property.
Line 12: Amount of personal property included in the sale price.
Line 13: Net consideration - the full actual consideration minus personal property
Comments by the CCAO may be made by code numbers. If the information on the PTAX203 is not believed to be correct, the CCAO should use the appropriate code to inform the

Department. These codes are located in the "Real Estate Transfer Declaration Procedures for CCAOs" manual that can be found on the Department's website.

## The Assessment/Sales Ratio Study

The primary tool the equalization process utilizes is the sales ratio study. The Sales Ratio Study provides the Median Level of Assessments for a specific jurisdiction for the year of the study. This study provides information on the percentage relationship of assessed value to market value for real property in certain categories and geographic areas. Information is also provided on the variation in assessment levels among and within these categories and geographic areas. The year of the sales ratio study refers to the year in which the sales occurred. So, the 2020 sales ratio study refers to sales from 2020 and the assessed values applied to those same sales from the prior year, 2019.

The attributes that allow a sale of property to be included or excluded from the Sales Ratio Study is based on the idea of what the market value or full value of a property is.

Market value -the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

Some types of sales included in the sales ratio study would be:

1. Arm's Length Transactions.

- buyer and seller are motivated;
- both parties are well informed or well advised and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- While a reasonable length of time can be a subjective attribute because there is no definitive hard and fast rule guiding what is reasonable, the following lists the types of advertising considered acceptable with no discussion on the length of time:
- Advertised via an MLS listing or with a Realtor
- Advertised by word of mouth
- Advertised by owner placing ‘For Sale’ sign in front yard
- Advertising via the internet
- payment is made in terms of cash in United States dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by anyone associated with the sale.
- the transaction is one between unrelated parties or parties not under abnormal pressure from each other.

2. Current year sales with prior year assessment values.
3. Sales that used either Warranty or Trustee deeds to record the transaction.

Some types of sales excluded from the sales ratio study would be:

1. Sales that are not Arm's Length Transactions.

- Not advertised for sale
- Family transfer (same surname)
- Transfer to a bank, credit union, or savings and loan
- Transfer in Lieu of Foreclosure (different than a sale in lieu of foreclosure which is left in the sales ratio study per statute)
- Sheriff's deed
- Court Officer's deed
- Transfers to a Governmental unit

2. A prior year sale recorded in the current year.
3. Sales where the prior year's assessed value and the sales price are not comparable.

- A new improvement was added
- Property was demolished
- Partial or pro-rated assessment
- Sales involving parcels from multiple townships
- Sale involved exempt or specially-assessed property

Core facts for the above definition of market value are:

- The buyer and seller are knowledgeable about the property.
- The buyer and seller are acting in their best interests.
- The property has been advertised on the market for a reasonable length of time.
- The consideration can be in the form of cash or other agreed upon value.

In addition to the idea of receiving and paying, the market value for a property is the discussion of the type of deed that's used in the conveyance of title for the property. The Warranty (including the Corporation Warranty) and Trustee deeds are the only two types of deed that will grant all rights to the ownership of the property, free and clear of encumbrances or breaks in the line of title and included in the sales ratio study. The following is a list of deeds that are excluded from the sales ratio study:

Limited Warranty Deed<br>Deed in Trust<br>Court Officer's Deed<br>Special Commissioner Deed<br>Guardian's Deed<br>Sheriff's Deed<br>Special Warranty Deed<br>Quit Claim Deed<br>Master's Deed<br>Administrator's Deed<br>Conservator's Deed<br>Cemetery Lots (Exempt)

The following is a list of additional attributes that will remove a sale from the sales ratio study:

Family (same surname) Transfer
Sheriff's Deed
Transfers to Government Unit
Transfers to/from Charitable Organizations
Auction Sales
Supplemental Deed Given to Correct an Error in Previous Deed
Conveyance of Less than Full Interest Transfers
Assignments of Beneficial Interest of a Land Trust
Sale that includes exchange of real estate
The sales ratio study results can provide information to be used:

1. In the review and appeal of assessments.

The sales ratio studies provide a measure of the average assessment level for a given geographic area or category of property against which assessments of individual parcels may be judged in determining the degree of over or understatement, if any. One of the reasons to appeal an assessment is that the level of assessment on the property is higher than the township or county median level of assessments.
2. As a diagnostic tool to evaluate local assessment practices.

It is the responsibility of local assessing officials to use the assessment/sales ratio study to evaluate their assessment policies and make assessment changes to sales and non-sales when warranted so that the final assessment of all properties in their jurisdictions are at a uniform percentage of value. Certain measures of assessment uniformity (coefficient of dispersion, coefficient of concentration, median absolute deviation) are based on the median level of assessments. A sales ratio study can be completed at any time and even multiple times throughout the year to support the evaluation of the trending for the real estate market. Studies that gather information on current sales for a particular neighborhood, subdivision, location/proximity that make the properties more desirable and other characteristics of properties within the township are just a few viable possibilities.
3. To determine eligibility for the assessor bonus award.

In order to qualify for the assessor bonus award, the average of the median levels of assessments of the prior 3 years must be between $31.33 \%$ and $35.33 \%$ and the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) must be below the appropriate COD as determined by the population of the county.
4. In reimbursement to a county of a portion of the Supervisor of Assessment's salary.
In order to qualify for the reimbursement to the county, the average of the median levels of assessments of the prior 3 years must be between $31.33 \%$ and $35.33 \%$.

## Verification of Property Sale Data

The process of verifying the accuracy of information that preparers place on the PTAX-203 form is important and has various implications when the information is left incorrect. All information can be verified as soon as possible after a sale occurs and be communicated back to the CCAO's office. Items to review would include but should not be limited to the following actions.

- Verify that the parcel identification number (PIN) is correct for the property being sold.
- Confirm the address, date of deed (date which deed was signed by parties to the transaction) and type of property.
- Verify that any specific attributes to the sale are indicated in Step 1, Lines 9 and 10 (Line 9 - major remodeling would be the removal of walls and addition of rooms, for example. This would not include painting, new floors, new kitchen/bathroom fixtures which are considered maintenance. The best proof for major remodeling would be a building permit or letter from the seller/buyer stating the work specifically completed for review by the Department).
- Review whether there would be a reason that the sales price does not coincide with the assessed value.
- Review the legal description to verify it is referencing the property being sold.
- Verify the seller and buyer information as well as the preparer information.

The timing of the corrections needs to occur before the Department prepares the sales ratio study for the year of the sale. For example, a sale that occurred in 2020 should be corrected before the 2020 sales ratio study is completed. The CCAO is provided with the detail listing for the county, broken down by township for review. The CCAO can provide the sales that the Department is proposing to use for review to the Township Assessor and if there is a valid reason for removing a sale (or adding a sale), proof will have to accompany the request for removal from the CCAO's office back to the Department. The Department will then review the information provided and based on procedures, make the decision to either remove the sale or add the sale.

By providing corrections in a timely manner to the CCAO's office, this will ensure that the Department is using the same set of sales for all programs that utilize those results.

## Median Level of Assessments

Unit 1 of this course provided the formula for calculating the sales ratio as follows:

## Prior Year EAV / Current Year Sales Price (SP) = Sales Ratio

This is a straightforward formula, but remember that the final Board of Review certified prior year EAV is to be used.

The median of the sales ratios will be determined using the sales from the current year and the assessed values from the prior year for those transactions that have been determined to be market value. The median is the middle number in a set of numbers that have been ranked (placed in order). If there are an even number of ratios, the median will be the average of the two middle numbers.

For example, if a property assessed at $\$ 38,600$ in one year and sold for $\$ 120,000$ in the following year, the sales ratio would be 32.17 \%.

$$
\text { Sales Ratio }=\frac{38,600}{120,000} \quad \times 100(\%)=32.17 \%
$$

Steps to calculate a median:

- Calculate a sales ratio for each sale using the formula above.
- Rank the sales ratios.
- Determine the median. (Find the middle ratio.)


## Exercise 2-1

Always show your answer with 2 decimal places. Use normal rounding: if the number in the third decimal place is 5 or more, round the number in the second decimal place up; if the number in the third decimal place is 4 or less, leave the number in the second decimal place as it is. If the number on the calculator does not have 2 decimal places, add final zeroes.

Calculate the sales ratio for the first sale by dividing the assessed value from the prior year $(\$ 26,000)$ by the sales price from the current year $(\$ 80,000)$ and multiply by 100 to change the decimal answer to a percent.
$26,000 \div 80,000=.325 \times 100=32.5 \%$. Write it with 2 decimal places as $32.50 \%$.
Calculate the sales ratios and rank the results. Use normal rounding to round answers to the nearest one-hundredth of a percent.

SALES RATIO STUDY

| Prior Year Assessed Value | Current Year <br> Sales Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Ranked (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$26,000 | \$80,000 | 32.50 |  |
| \$3,000 | \$7,500 |  |  |
| \$19,200 | \$60,000 |  |  |
| \$4,200 | \$11,400 |  |  |
| \$2,800 | \$6,500 |  |  |
| \$25,000 | \$83,600 |  |  |
| \$17,100 | \$50,000 |  |  |
| \$17,900 | \$59,900 |  |  |
| \$18,400 | \$61,300 |  |  |

Remember to rank the sales before you find the median. Ranking the sales ratio results can either be in ascending or descending order. The median assessment will always be displayed in the middle of the ranking.

Median Level of Assessments = $\qquad$

## Exercise 2-2

SALES RATIO STUDY

## Prior Year Assessed Value

| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 86,800$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 15,600$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| $\$ 20,300$ | $\$ 80,000$ |
| $\$ 26,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ |
| $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 7,500$ |
| $\$ 19,200$ | $\$ 60,000$ |
| $\$ 4,200$ | $\$ 11,400$ |
| $\$ 2,800$ | $\$ 6,500$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 83,600$ |
| $\$ 17,100$ | $\$ 50,000$ |
| $\$ 17,900$ | $\$ 59,900$ |
| $\$ 18,400$ | $\$ 61,300$ |
| $\$ 3,500$ | $\$ 7,600$ |
| $\$ 4,300$ | $\$ 9,900$ |
| $\$ 25,800$ | $\$ 75,000$ |
| $\$ 16,500$ | $\$ 57,400$ |
| $\$ 27,200$ | $\$ 92,700$ |
| $\$ 28,500$ | $\$ 98,000$ | Price

Current Year Sales

Ranked (\%)

## Sales Ratio (\%)

|  |
| :---: |
| 28.75 |
| 29.08 |
| 29.34 |
| 29.88 |
| 30.02 |
| 32.00 |
| 32.50 |
| 34.20 |
| 36.84 |
| 40.00 |
| 43.09 |
| 43.43 |
| 46.05 |

Median = $\qquad$

## Trimming of Sales

Unit 1 discussed the Quartile and Interquartile ranges. These are used in combination to calculate the outliers to be removed from a sales ratio study. Trimming will remove these outliers because they may represent a sale where the assessed value is not in sync with the sales price and can skew the results.

The calculation is as follows:

1. Determine the first and third quartiles in an array of sales ratios.
2. Calculate the interquartile range between the first and third quartiles.
3. Compute the upper trim point by taking the third quartile plus (six times the interquartile range).
4. Calculate the lower trim point by taking the first quartile minus (six times the interquartile range). This calculation can produce a negative result which would not affect the lower sales ratios for the township.
5. Any sales ratios that fall below the lower trim point or above the upper trim point will be removed from the sales ratio study.

## Exercise 2-3

$28.75,29.08,29.34,29.88,29.90,30.02,32.00,32.50,34.20,34.40,36.84,40.00,43.08$, 43.43, 46.05

Median level of assessments (from above set of data) $\qquad$
What is the First Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$\qquad$ Result in data set $=$ $\qquad$
What is the Third Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$\qquad$ $)+0.75=$ $\qquad$ Result in data set $=$ $\qquad$
Interquartile Range: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ x $6=$ $\qquad$
Upper trim limit: $\qquad$ $+$ $\qquad$ = $\qquad$
Lower trim limit: $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ = $\qquad$
From the above example, were there any sales removed from this study? $\qquad$
Median level of assessments after trimming $\qquad$

## Urban Weighted Median Ratio

The Department of Revenue calculates a median level of assessments for every township in each county that has a minimum of 25 useable sales. All of the sales in townships that do not have this minimum number of sales are placed together in an "All Others" category. A median is calculated for this "All Others" category as well. Once the medians have been calculated for each of the townships that have enough sales, and for the "All Others" category, a median is calculated for the county as a whole. This county median is used in the calculation of the state equalization factor for the county.

In order to calculate a county median, it is necessary to know both the total assessed values in the county and the total market value of property in the county. Only Non-Farm class properties are included for the assessed values. Farm class properties are not included.

Total assessed values for each of the townships are reported to the Department of Revenue on the Tentative and Final abstracts sent to the Department from the counties. A method for estimating the total market value (Estimate of Full Value) is necessary. The total market value for a township may be estimated by dividing the total assessed value for the township by the median level of assessments (written as a decimal number). To prevent bias, any parcels (non-farm only) having assessments greater than \$999,999 are not included in the weighting process. To convert a number from a percent to a decimal, divide the percent by 100. $32.50 \%=.3250$.

After you have calculated estimated full value of the townships, you can determine the urban weighted ratio - the county's median level of assessments. To find the median for the county, add the total assessed values for the county and divide by the total estimate of full value for the county. Multiply by 100 to change the number to a percent.

## Assessed Value / Median = Estimate of Full Value

Exercise 2-4

|  | Assessed Value <br> $(000 ' s)$ | Median Ratio <br> $(\%)$ | Estimated <br> Full Value <br> $(000 ' s)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township 1 | 3,648 | 32.50 | - |
| Township 2 | 10,450 | 33.10 | -31.62 |
| Township 3 | 6,279 | 32.20 | - |
| All other Townships | 30,560 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Urban weighted ratio - County's Median Level of Assessments

## Exercise 2-5



Median Ratio
(\%)
Estimated
Full Value (000's)

| Township 1 | 5,700 | 32.50 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Township 2 | 12,555 | 33.10 | - |
| Township 3 | 7,859 | 31.62 |  |
| Township 4 | 14,667 | 34.88 | - |
| Township 5 | 22,885 | 29.44 |  |
| All other Townships | 30,560 |  | 32.81 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 94,226 |  |  |

Urban weighted ratio - County's Median Level of Assessments

## Exercise 2-6



Township 1
Township 2
Township 3
Township 4
Township 5
All other Townships

Total
160,120

Urban weighted ratio - County's Median Level of Assessments

## Summary

Each deed and assignment of beneficial interest of a land trust recorded must be accompanied by Form PTAX-203, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, unless specifically exempted under Section 31-45 of the Property Tax Code. The RETD is the primary source of information for conducting a sales ratio study.

If the sale involves land that is located in more than one township, the sale is excluded from the urban study.

Warranty deeds are acceptable if not rejected for some other reason. Trustee deeds are acceptable for the study if they pass all the edits. Corporate Warranty deeds are useable if the companies involved are not related.

When calculating the sales ratio, use the assessed value after the books are closed at the board of review divided by the net consideration from the sale price. Non-Farm class properties are used.

The Sales Ratio Study provides the Median Level of Assessments for that jurisdiction for the year of the study. The year of the sales ratio study is the year from which the sales occurred.

The median sales ratio has many uses:

1. In the computation of equalization multipliers. The sales ratio medians are the beginning point for the tentative multiplier.
2. In the review and appeal of assessments.
3. As a diagnostic tool to evaluate local assessment practices.
4. To determine eligibility for the assessor bonus award.
5. To determine eligibility for the reimbursement to the county of a portion of the salary of the Supervisor of Assessments.

Sales that do not meet the market value/arm's length transaction criteria are excluded from the sales ratio study.

The definition of market value is the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

Verification of sales data for your jurisdiction should encompass all of the information placed on the PTAX 203 form by preparers, including date of instrument, parcel identification number and any other significant change that is attributable to the sale. Pay particular attention to the attributes that would identify a sale as an arm's length transaction:

- The buyer and seller are knowledgeable about the property
- The buyer and seller are acting in their best interests
- The property has been advertised on the market for a reasonable length of time
- While a reasonable length of time can be a subjective attribute and that's because there is no definitive hard and fast rule guiding what is reasonable, the following lists the types of advertising considered acceptable with no discussion on the length of time:
- Advertised via an MLS listing or with a realtor
- Advertised by word of mouth
- Advertised by owner placing ‘For Sale’ sign in front yard
- Advertising via the internet

Provide the corrections, along with the required proof, for a sale to either be removed or added to the study to the CCAO's office. The CCAO can forward the information to the Department when the review of the detail listing occurs.

Trimming of outliers occurs to remove sales that do not have the assessments and the sales price in sync with each other, it is viewed that the sale does not represent an arm's length transaction. Outliers are calculated using the formula from this section.

The Urban Weighted Ratio is used to calculate the County's median level of assessments. It uses only non-farm sales transactions after the removal of parcels greater than \$999,999 to prevent bias. The importance of this ratio is that it represents the beginning point for the calculation of the County's multiplier factor to be applied to assessments for the following year and includes residential, other land/improvements, commercial and industrial classes of properties.

## UNIT 2 Review Questions

1. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ A sale of property between relatives will be included in the sales ratio study.
2. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ A sale for an exempt property will not be included in the sales ratio study.
3. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ The median level of assessments is found by ranking sales ratios in ascending order and locating middle result.
4. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F} \quad$ A property has to be advertised with a realtor to be an arm's length transaction.
5. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ To calculate the upper and lower trim points for an array of data, the first step is to identify the first and second quartiles.
6. T or $\mathbf{F}$ The calculation for the county's urban weighted sales ratio median includes all classes of property.
7. What are some of the uses for the sales ratio study?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
8. If a house assessed at $\$ 74,250$ recently sold for $\$ 198,000$, the sales ratio is
$\qquad$ . Was the house (over, statutorily, or under) assessed?
9. The 2020 study would use sales from $\qquad$ and assessed values for these same properties from $\qquad$ .
10. List two deed types that would be included in the sales ratio study:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
11. List five uses of property that would exclude a sale from the sales ratio study:
12. List two other reasons why a sale would be excluded from the sales ratio study.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Unit 3 - Measure for the Uniformity of Assessments

This unit covers some of the measures of assessment uniformity - the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD), the Price-Related Differential (PRD), and the Coefficient of Concentration (COC) - with a particular emphasis on the Coefficient of Dispersion as the most commonly used measure of assessment uniformity.

The purpose of this unit is to provide a basic understanding of the measures of uniformity, each of which considers uniformity from a different perspective. Taking the measures into consideration together yields a more complete picture of uniformity than would be possible with one measure alone.

## Learning Objectives

After completing this unit, you should be able to

- utilize the median in calculating the measures of uniformity.
- calculate the COD, the COC, and the PRD.
- interpret the degree of assessment uniformity as indicated by the measures of uniformity.


## Terms and Concepts

- Coefficient of Concentration (COC)
- Coefficient of Dispersion (COD)
- Concentration
- Differential
- Price-Related Differential (PRD)


## Coefficient of Dispersion

The most commonly used statistical measure of uniformity is the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD). The COD provides a measure of the variation of individual assessment ratios around the median level of assessment.

Higher CODs indicate that individual ratios vary widely from the median, and that properties are not uniformly assessed. This also indicates that the property tax burden is not fairly distributed among taxpayers in that particular region or jurisdiction.

The following page shows by graph how the more uniform COD is displayed when compared with a COD that has more variance.

Example of COD results for White Township:


This particular statistical measurement is used in conjunction with the 3-year average median level to arrive at the decision whether a township assessor or CCAO qualifies for the Assessor Bonus Award.

Compare the results for White Township with a COD of $12.03 \%$ to Greene Township with a COD of 92.80\%.


The ratios are scattered widely. Ratios vary from $3.25 \%$ to $120.09 \%$. The COD for this data is $92.80 \%$. Remember that a high COD indicates non-uniformity of assessments. A low COD would indicate better assessment uniformity.

The above graphs give a visual representation of how the data looks for a high and low COD, but now we must understand how to calculate a COD. The equation for calculating the COD is:

$$
\text { COD }=\frac{\text { Average Deviation }}{\text { Median }}
$$

$$
\times 100=
$$

$\qquad$ \%

## Steps for Calculating the COD:

1. Determine the sales ratio for each of the sales in the study, find the median sales ratio, and calculate the difference (for each sale) by taking sales ratio - median = absolute deviation (ignore the minus sign).
2. Add the absolute deviations to determine a sum of deviations.
3. Determine your average deviation by dividing the sum of deviations by the number of sales in your study.
4. Now, divide your average deviation by the median and multiply by 100 to find the COD (as a percent).

Let's practice calculating some CODs. Use the worksheets on pages 43-48. We will complete just the COD calculation portion at this time.

## Coefficient of Concentration

The Coefficient of Concentration (COC) measures assessment uniformity in a different way. The COC measures the percent of the ratios within a specific percentage range of the median. In many instances, a significant COC will measure the percent of ratios within 10\% of the median ratio. The Department of Revenue uses a $10 \%$ range. If ratios are grouped closely (within $10 \%$ ) of the median, the concentration of sales ratios will be large. A high COC indicates greater assessment uniformity than a low COC.

The COD calculates how far the average deviation is from the median. With the COC the distance from the median is pre-determined at $10 \%$. The COC yields the proportion of the ratios that fall within this range.

The COC will be a number between $0 \%$ and $100 \%$. A COC of $100 \%$ would indicate that all of the sales ratios are within $10 \%$ of the median.

## Steps for Calculating the COC:

Step 1. Find the median sales ratio.
Step 2. Find the number which is $10 \%$ below the median by multiplying the median ratio by .9 . ( $100 \%-10 \%=90 \%=.90=.9)$

Step 3. Find the number which is $10 \%$ above the median by multiplying the median ratio by 1.1. $(100 \%+10 \%=110 \%=1.10=1.1)$

Step 4. Count the number of ratios between the high and low values computed in steps 2 and 3 .

Step 5. Divide the number of ratios from step 4 by the total number of sales ratios and multiply by $100 \%$.

Unlike the COD, the COC has the advantage of not being affected by very high or very low ratios. The COC indicates only how concentrated the ratios are near the median ratio, but says nothing about the ratios outside the percentage range. Unlike the COD, a higher COC is an indicator of better assessment equity.

Let's practice calculating some COCs. Use the worksheets (pages 43-48) that we used for COD calculations. We will now complete just the COC portion.

## Price Related Differential

The Price-Related Differential is another measure of assessment uniformity. The PRD measures a pattern of inequity in assessments that has a correlation with the value of the property (a measurement of assessment bias in relation to value). Differentials greater than 1.03 or less than .98 are indicative of inequity in assessments.

A differential less than .98 indicates a tendency for higher-valued properties to be assessed at a higher rate than lower-valued properties. This indicates a possible bias in favor of lower-valued properties.

A differential greater than 1.03 indicates a tendency for higher-valued properties to be assessed at a lower rate than lower-priced properties. That is, there is an indication of a possible bias in favor of higher-valued properties.

## Steps required to calculate the PRD:

Step 1. Determine the Sum of the Assessed Values.
Step 2. Determine the Sum of the Sales Prices.
Step 3. Determine the Sales-Based Average Ratio by dividing the sum of the Assessed Values by the sum of the Sales Prices.

$$
\text { Sales-Based Average Ratio }=\frac{\text { Sum of AV's }}{\text { Sum of SP's }} \times 100 \%
$$

Step 4. Determine the Sum of the Sale Ratios.
Step
5. Determine the Mean Assessment Ratio by dividing the sum of the Sales Ratios by the number of Sales Ratios.

Mean Assessment Ratio $=\frac{\text { Sum of the Sales Ratios }}{\text { Number of Ratios }}$

Step 6. Determine the Price-Related Differential by dividing the Mean Assessment Ratio by the Sales-Based Average Ratio.

Do not change the result to a percent. Calculate the PRD to 2 decimal places.
Price-Related Differential $=\frac{\text { Mean Assessment Ratio }}{\text { Sales-Based Average Ratio }}$
Let's practice calculating some PRDs. Use the worksheets (pages 43-48) that we used for COD and COC calculations. We will now complete the worksheets.

## Exercise 3-1

COD Calculation
Determine the sales ratio for each of the following sales, find the median, and calculate the difference by taking sales ratio - median $=$ absolute deviation (ignore the minus sign).


## Sum of Deviations:

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\ldots \%$
Now divide the average deviation by the median.
Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.
COD =
$\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## COC Calculation

$\qquad$ $x .9=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ x $1.1=$ $\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$
COC = $\qquad$ $\times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values
Sum of sales prices $\qquad$
Sales-based average ratio $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$
Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$
Mean assessment ratio $\quad$ X 100\% =
PRD = $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Exercise 3-2

Determine the sales ratio for each of the following sales, find the median, and calculate the difference by taking sales ratio - median = absolute deviation (ignore the minus sign).

| EAV | Sales Price | Sales <br> Ratio (\%) | Median <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Absolute <br> Deviation |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 65,000$ | - |  |  |
| $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,500$ | - | - | - |
| $\$ 24,300$ | $\$ 47,800$ | - | - | - |
| $\$ 6,200$ | $\$ 13,200$ | - | - | - |
| $\$ 9,800$ | $\$ 28,500$ | - | - | - |
| $\$ 16,850$ | $\$ 70,450$ | - |  | - |
| $\$ 17,250$ | $\$ 54,900$ | - |  | - |
| $\$ 18,600$ | $\$ 59,000$ |  |  |  |

Sum of Deviations:
Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\ldots \quad=$
Now divide the average deviation by the median.
Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.
COD =
$\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$
COC Calculation
$\qquad$ $x .9=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ x $1.1=$ $\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$
COC = $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values
Sum of sales prices $\qquad$
Sales-based average ratio $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$
Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$
Mean assessment ratio _ $\quad$ 1 100\% = $\qquad$
PRD = $\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Exercise 3-3

Determine the sales ratio for each of the following sales, find the median, and calculate the difference by taking sales ratio - median = absolute deviation (ignore the minus sign).
EAV Sales Price
Sales
Ratio (\%)

Median
(\%)
Absolute
Deviation
$\begin{array}{rrlll}\$ 35,500 & \$ 90,000 & & & \square \\ \$ 2,500 & \$ 7,000 & \square & \square & \square \\ \$ 18,000 & \$ 56,000 & \square & \square & \square \\ \$ 6,500 & \$ 16,400 & \square & \square & \square \\ \$ 4,000 & \$ 11,900 & \square & \square & \square \\ \$ 29,500 & \$ 84,900 & \square & \square & \square\end{array}$

## Sum of Deviations:

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{8}{8}=$ $\qquad$ \%

Now divide the average deviation by the median.
Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.

$$
\text { COD }=\frac{1}{} \times 100 \%=
$$

$\qquad$

## COC Calculation

$\qquad$ $x .9=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ x $1.1=$ $\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$
COC = $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values
Sum of sales prices $\qquad$
Sales-based average ratio $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$
Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$
Mean assessment ratio $\quad$ X 100\% =
PRD = $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Exercise 3-4

Using the following set of data, calculate each of the following measures of uniformity.
COD $\qquad$
COC $\qquad$
PRD $\qquad$
What conclusions can be drawn from the above results? Are there any issues with the uniformity of the assessments?

Determine the sales ratio for each of the following sales, find the median, and calculate the difference by taking sales ratio - median $=$ absolute deviation (ignore the minus sign).

| EAV | Sales <br> Price | Sales Ratio <br> (\%) | Median (\%) | Absolute <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\$ 23,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 7,500$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\$ 19,850$ | $\$ 60,000$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\$ 4,800$ | $\$ 12,000$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\$ 2,800$ | $\$ 6,500$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 88,000$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\$ 17,100$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\$ 17,900$ | $\$ 59,900$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

Total $\qquad$

Average Deviation $=\underline{\text { Sum of Deviations }}=$
$\qquad$ 9 $=$ $\qquad$ \% Number of Sales
$\qquad$

Now divide the average deviation by the median. Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.

COD $=1 \quad \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$

## COC Calculation

$\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$
COC = $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values $\qquad$
Sum of sales prices $\qquad$
Sales-based average ratio _ $\quad$. $100 \%=$ $\qquad$
Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$
Mean assessment ratio $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$
PRD = $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Summary

This chapter discussed three measures of assessment uniformity: the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD), the Coefficient of Concentration (COC), and the Price-Related Differential (PRD). The COD is the most commonly used measure of assessment uniformity.

For measurers of uniformity, the township is most uniform if:

1. the COD is low
2. the COC is high
3. the PRD is between .98 and 1.03

A Price Related Differential less than .98 indicates that there is a tendency for highervalued properties to exhibit higher assessment ratios than lower-valued properties.

A PRD greater than 1.03 indicates that there is a tendency for higher-valued properties to exhibit lower assessment ratios than lower-valued properties.

The COD measures how far the average deviation is from the median.
The COC measures the proportion of ratios that are within $10 \%$ of the median.
The PRD measures assessment disparity in relation to the value of the property.

## UNIT 3 Review Questions

1. T or F Individual sales that are clustered around a township's median indicates a high COD result.
2. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F}$ A lower COC result indicates an issue with uniformity assessment.
3. $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{F} \quad$ A PRD of 1.05 indicates a bias for assessments of higher-valued properties to be assessed higher than lower-valued properties.
4. Calculate the COD, COC and PRD for the following set of data:

| Assessed Value | Sales Price | Sales <br> Ratio | Ranked | Median | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$4,000 | \$16,000 | 25.00 | 21.15 |  |  |
| \$2,000 | \$7,600 | 26.32 | 22.22 |  |  |
| \$13,000 | \$32,000 | 40.63 | 24.82 |  |  |
| \$8,000 | \$29,500 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 | \$18,800 |  | 26.32 |  |  |
| \$3,500 | \$14,100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$14,700 | \$35,800 | 41.06 | 26.67 |  |  |
| \$2,200 | \$10,400 | 21.15 | 27.12 |  |  |
| \$8,000 | \$30,000 | 26.67 |  |  |  |
| \$2,200 | \$9,900 |  |  |  |  |
| \$19,400 | \$54,000 |  | 31.09 |  |  |
| \$8,700 | \$31,000 | 28.06 | 35.93 |  |  |
| \$8,300 | \$26,700 | 31.09 |  |  |  |
| \$3,600 | \$11,800 |  |  |  |  |
| \$19,500 | \$47,300 | 41.23 | 41.23 |  |  |

## Median Level of Assessment

## COD Calculation

$$
\text { Average Deviation }=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Nımber of Sales }}=\frac{}{15}=
$$

Now divide the average deviation by the median.
Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.

$$
\text { COD }=\frac{1}{} \times 100 \%=
$$

## COC Calculation

$\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$
COC = $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values $\qquad$
Sum of sales prices $\qquad$
Sales-based average ratio _ $\quad$. $100 \%=$ $\qquad$
Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$
Mean assessment ratio $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$
PRD = $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Unit 4 - Equalization

This unit covers various aspects of equalization including the definition of equalization, the three-year average median levels of assessments, and the effect of equalization. Also included is a brief mention of reassessment factors and their impact on the median levels of assessment used in calculating the equalization factor.

The purpose of this unit is to provide a basic understanding of the equalization process and the correct uses for the equalization multipliers. The focus is on the procedures involved in the calculation of the equalization multiplier.

## Learning Objectives

After completing the assigned readings, you should be able to

- determine whether an objective is being met by the use of an equalization factor.
- calculate the three-year average median level of assessments.
- calculate the appropriate equalization factor using the three-year average median.
- meet the statutory conditions to determine the equalization factor.
- apply the equalization factor to individual properties.


## Terms and Concepts

- Average medians
- Equalized Assessed Value (EAV)
- Equalization
- Reassessment factors
- Township Assessor (TA)

Equalization is the application of a uniform percentage increase or decrease to assessed values of various geographic areas or classes of property to bring assessments, on the average, to a uniform level of market value.

Uniform percent means that an equalization multiplier is applied uniformly to all properties (except farm land, farm buildings, wind turbines*, commercial solar systems, coal, and state-assessed properties).

The multiplier will increase the assessed values if the factor is greater than one or decrease the assessed values if the factor is less than one.

The multiplier is applied to the total assessed valuation for each individual property. Factoring land or factoring improvements to achieve higher or lower assessments within a jurisdiction or class does not constitute equalization and is treated as reassessment.

Various geographic areas - An equalization multiplier may be applied to a geographic area as a township, or neighborhood, or the county as a whole.

Various classes of property - An equalization multiplier may be applied to a class of property such as residential, other land/improvements, commercial, and industrial properties.

On the average - The equalization multiplier is found by taking the average of the medians for the three years prior to the year of the equalization factor. For the 2020 equalization multiplier, the average of the medians from 2017, 2018, and 2019 will be used in the calculation.

Uniform level of market value - The equalization multiplier will increase or decrease the three-year average of the median levels of assessments to the statutory level of $33.33 \%$.

The equalization multiplier is applied to the assessed values for the current year. A 2020 equalization multiplier is applied to 2020 assessments, for taxes payable in 2021.

The formula for calculating the equalization factor is:
Equalization Multiplier =
Desired Level (33.33\%)
Prior 3-Year Average Median Level

* wind turbines with at least 0.5 MW nameplate capacity

According to 35 ILCS 200/17-25, no factor will be issued if aggregate assessed value is within $99 \%$ and $101 \%$ of $331 / 3 \%$ of fair cash value. This means that any factor calculated between .9900 and 1.0100 would not be applied.

Example of the effect of equalization:
In this example, the median level of assessments for:
County $A=33.33 \%$.
County B = 28.00\%
County C = 38.00\%
In each county, there is a property whose fair market value is $\$ 90,000$. These three properties are in the same community college taxing district that overlaps all three counties. The assessor in each county determined an assessed value, for the tax rolls, for the properties by multiplying the fair market value by the county's median level of assessment.


Assessed Values for the properties:
County A: $\$ 90,000 \times 33.33 \%=\$ 29,997$
County B: $\$ 90,000 \times 28.00 \%=\$ 25,200$
County C: $\$ 90,000 \times 38.00 \%=\$ 34,200$
If the tax rate for the community college taxing district is $3 \%$, the property owners will be paying:

Property in County A: $\$ 29,997 \times 3 \%=\$ 899.91$
Property in County B: $\$ 25,200 \times 3 \%=\$ 756.00$
Property in County C: $\$ 34,200 \times 3 \%=\$ 1,026.00$
Although these properties have the same market value and are all located in the same taxing district, the amount of property tax paid to the district varies widely due to the different assessment levels placed on the properties.

Each of the counties decides to apply an equalization multiplier. This multiplier is found by dividing 33.33\% (the statutory level) by the average of the median levels of assessments for the prior 3 years.

Equalization Multiplier $=\frac{\text { Desired Level (33.33\%) }}{\text { Prior 3-Year Average Median Level }}$
If the medians were the same for each of the prior three years as for the current year, the equalization factors would be:

County A: $\quad \underline{33.33 \%}=1.0000$ 33.33\%

County B: $\frac{33.33 \%}{28.00 \%}=1.1904$

County C: $\quad \underline{33.33 \%}=\quad .8771$ 38.00\%

Each county applies its equalization multiplier to all property in the county, except farm, coal, wind turbines over . 5 MW capacity, and state-assessed properties. The equalized assessed value for the properties in the example will be:

County A: $\$ 29,997 \times 1.0000=\$ 29,997$
County B: $\$ 25,200 \times 1.1904=\$ 29,998$
County C: $\$ 34,200 \times .8771=\$ 29,997$
When the tax rate is applied to each of these three properties that were assessed at the median level of assessments and then equalized, the taxes owed will be the same.

Note: This example makes some special assumptions in order to illustrate the purpose of equalization. The example assumes 1) that the market values of the three properties were known to be the same, 2) that each of these properties were assessed at the median level of assessments for the county, and 3) that the medians for each of the counties were the same for the current year as for the prior 3 years.

## Exercise 4-1

For each of the counties listed below, calculate the average of the medians for the prior three years. The result will be written as a percent to two decimal places. After the threeyear average is calculated, use the average to calculate the equalization factor necessary to bring assessments to the statutory level.

Equalization Multiplier $=\quad$ Desired Level (33.33\%)
Prior 3-Year Average Median Level
For county " A ", the medians for the prior three years are: 32.09, 31.81, and 30.61. The total of these medians is 94.51 . Divide 94.51 by 3 to find the three-year average of 31.50 .

Now divide the statutory level of $33.33 \%$ by the 3 -year average of $31.50 \%$
$33.33 \%=1.0581$ Always write the answer rounded to four decimal places. 31.50\%

| County | 3 Years <br> Prior | 2 Years <br> Prior | Previous <br> Year | 3-Year <br> average | Current <br> Multiplier |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 32.09 | 31.81 | 30.61 | 31.50 |  |
| B | 34.25 | 33.33 | 33.78 | - |  |
| C | 30.19 | 29.16 | 30.78 | - | - |
| D | 33.26 | 33.98 | 32.75 | - | - |
| E | 31.18 | 31.95 | 31.19 | - | - |
| F | 30.60 | 30.23 | 31.27 |  |  |
| G | 34.15 | 32.62 | 34.09 |  |  |

In order to calculate the equalization multiplier for 2020, an assessor would need the median levels of assessments for the following years:

Although reassessment is not being taken into account for this class exercise, there are times when significant reassessment has occurred within the county and/or township. The reassessment is identified when the change is more or less than $1.00 \%$. When the county determines that changes in total assessed value for a township, or the county as a whole, is solely due to reassessment, a reassessment adjustment may be determined to adjust the medians for this. A reassessment factor is applied to the medians to change them for the reassessment. Once a reassessment factor is determined, it is applied to the median for the year calculated and all previous years.

Since the equalization multiplier uses the medians only for the prior three years, it is not necessary to carry the reassessment factor back beyond the three years.

The reassessment factor is noted as a percent increase or decrease (denoted by a + or sign). To find the actual multiplier to be applied, add or subtract the factor from $100 \%$ and change to a decimal number. Then multiply the median by all of the factors through all of the Supervisor of Assessments and Board of Review changes. If a factor is $0.00 \%$, multiply by 1.

## Exercise 4-2

An assessor wants to find the prior 3-year average median level of assessments for a 2020 equalization multiplier. In 2017 the CCAO (S/A) determined that it was necessary to adjust the median level of assessments by a reassessment factor. This factor will apply to the 2017 assessment year only. In 2019 the Board of Review determined through their appeals work that it was necessary to adjust the median level of assessments by a reassessment factor.

2017 - Adjust the median level of assessment by multiplying the given median for the appropriate years by a $+6.60 \%$ (S/A change only).
$100.00 \%+6.60 \%=106.60 \%=1.0660$
2019 - Adjust the median level of assessment by multiplying the given median for the appropriate years by a $-1.95 \%$ ( $B / R$ change only).
$100.00 \%-1.95 \%=98.05 \%=0.9805$
Use the adjusted medians to determine a 3-year average median level of assessment. Then find the equalization multiplier.

| YR | MEDIAN <br> Adjusted | 17 S/A <br> Chgs | 17 B/R <br> Chgs | 18 S/A <br> Chgs | 18 B/R <br> Chgs | 19 S/A <br> Chgs | 19 B/R <br> Chgs | 20 TA <br> Chgs | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 29.65 | $+6.60 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.95 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| 2018 | 28.54 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.95 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| 2019 | 32.07 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.95 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | - |

For the 2017 median of 29.65,
$29.65 \times 1.0660 \times 1.0000 \times 1.0000 \times 1.0000 \times 1.0000 \times$ $\qquad$ $x 1.0000=$ $\qquad$ \%
'17 S/A '17 B/R '18 S/A '18 B/R '19 S/A '19B/R '20 TA
The 3-year average median level of assessments for this township is: $\qquad$
The equalization multiplier is: $\qquad$

## Exercise 4-3

For the data listed below, calculate the median and the COD.

| Assessed <br> Value | Sales Price | Sales Ratio | Ranked <br> Ratio | Deviation |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 42,630$ | $\$ 110,000$ | 38.75 | 24.67 | 1.45 |
| $\$ 46,100$ | $\$ 120,000$ | 38.42 | 28.54 | 1.12 |
| $\$ 44,400$ | $\$ 117,000$ | 37.95 | 29.63 | 0.65 |
| $\$ 41,600$ | $\$ 106,200$ | 39.17 | 31.88 | 1.87 |
| $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 103,800$ | 37.38 | 32.85 | 0.08 |
| $\$ 42,140$ | $\$ 109,400$ | 38.52 | 33.35 | 1.22 |
| $\$ 39,360$ | $\$ 99,300$ | 39.64 | 33.78 | 2.34 |
| $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 98,200$ | 38.31 | 33.78 | 1.01 |
| $\$ 38,710$ | $\$ 100,500$ | 38.52 | 34.58 | 1.22 |
| $\$ 40,580$ | $\$ 101,700$ | 39.90 | 34.59 | 2.60 |
| $\$ 39,550$ | $\$ 106,300$ | 37.21 | 35.93 | 0.09 |
| $\$ 41,710$ | $\$ 107,900$ | 38.66 | 36.27 | 1.36 |
| $\$ 36,920$ | $\$ 110,700$ | 33.35 | 37.21 | 3.95 |
| $\$ 37,770$ | $\$ 109,200$ | 34.59 | 37.38 | 2.71 |
| $\$ 40,080$ | $\$ 110,500$ | 36.27 | 37.95 | 1.03 |
| $\$ 47,140$ | $\$ 118,300$ | 39.85 | 38.31 | 2.55 |
| $\$ 36,900$ | $\$ 106,700$ | 34.58 | 38.42 | 2.72 |
| $\$ 36,000$ | $\$ 100,200$ | 35.93 | 38.52 | 1.37 |
| $\$ 24,320$ | $\$ 98,600$ | 24.67 | 38.52 | 12.63 |
| $\$ 27,770$ | $\$ 97,300$ | 28.54 | 38.63 | 8.76 |
| $\$ 28,770$ | $\$ 97,100$ | 29.63 | 38.66 | 7.67 |
| $\$ 30,600$ | $\$ 96,000$ | 31.88 | 38.75 | 5.42 |
| $\$ 31,400$ | $\$ 95,600$ | 32.85 | 39.17 | 4.45 |
| $\$ 30,400$ | $\$ 90,000$ | 33.78 | 39.64 | 3.52 |
| $\$ 38,630$ | $\$ 100,000$ | 38.63 | 39.85 | 1.33 |
| $\$ 37,160$ | $\$ 110,000$ | 33.78 | 39.90 | 3.52 |

1. Median

## 2. COD Calculation


2. Now divide the average deviation by the median.

Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.
COD =
$\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

Assuming that the median was exactly the same for each of the prior three years - so that the median just calculated is also the three-year average, calculate the equalization factor required to bring the three-year average median level of assessments to $33.33 \%$.
3. If the median level of assessments has been the same as the current level for the past 3 years, calculate the equalization factor.

Now apply the equalization multiplier that you just calculated to each of the assessed values on the next page to achieve an equalized assessed value for each property on the chart. Then calculate the sales ratio by dividing the EAV by the sales price.

Let's complete the first row in the table. On the prior page, we determined the median was $37.30 \%$ and the equalization factor was .8936 . Multiply the assessed value for the first sale $(\$ 42,630)$ by 0.8936 . The result is an equalized assessed value of $\$ 38,094$. The sales ratio for this sale would become $34.63(38,094 \div 110,000)$.

After you complete the EAV and ratio for each sale, rank the sales ratios in order to determine the new median. Complete the table by calculating the deviation for each property.

## Exercise 4-3 (continued)

| AV | EAV | Sales Price | SR | Ranked | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$42,630 |  | \$110,000 |  |  |  |
| \$46,100 |  | \$120,000 |  |  |  |
| \$44,400 |  | \$117,000 |  |  |  |
| \$41,600 |  | \$106,200 |  |  |  |
| \$38,800 |  | \$103,800 |  |  |  |
| \$42,140 |  | \$109,400 |  |  |  |
| \$39,360 |  | \$99,300 |  |  |  |
| \$37,620 |  | \$98,200 |  |  |  |
| \$38,710 |  | \$100,500 |  |  |  |
| \$40,580 |  | \$101,700 |  |  |  |
| \$39,550 |  | \$106,300 |  |  |  |
| \$41,710 |  | \$107,900 |  |  |  |
| \$36,920 |  | \$110,700 |  |  |  |
| \$37,770 |  | \$109,200 |  |  |  |
| \$40,080 |  | \$110,500 |  |  |  |
| \$47,140 | 42,124 | \$118,300 | 35.61 |  | 2.28 |
| \$36,900 | 32,974 | \$106,700 | 30.90 |  | 2.43 |
| \$36,000 | 32,170 | \$100,200 |  |  | 1.22 |
| \$24,320 | 21,732 | \$98,600 |  |  | 11.29 |
| \$27,770 | 24,815 | \$97,300 |  |  | 7.83 |
| \$28,770 | 25,709 | \$97,100 | 26.48 |  | 6.85 |
| \$30,600 | 27,344 | \$96,000 |  |  | 4.85 |
| \$31,400 | 28,059 | \$95,600 |  |  | 3.98 |
| \$30,400 | 27,165 | \$90,000 |  |  | 3.15 |
| \$38,630 | 34,520 | \$100,000 |  |  | 1.19 |
| \$37,160 | 33,206 | \$110,000 |  |  | 3.14 |

1. Multiply each of the assessed values by the equalization factor.
2. Calculate the new median
3. COD Calculation
4. Average Deviation $=$ Sum of Deviations $=$ $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$ \%

Number of Sales 26
2. Now divide the average deviation by the median. Multiply the answer by 100 to change it to a percent.

COD = $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## Summary

Equalization is the application of a uniform percentage increase or decrease to the total assessed values of various geographic areas or classes of property to bring assessments, on the average, to a uniform level of market value.

In Illinois, the statutory level of assessments is $331 / 3$ percent of market value.
Equalization multipliers adjust the three-year average median level of assessments to the statutory level.

The calculation of the equalization multiplier uses the average of the medians from the prior 3 years.

The formula for calculating the equalization multiplier is:
Equalization Multiplier = Desired Level (33.33\%)
Prior 3-Year Average Median Level
The equalization multiplier is applied to the assessed values for the current year. A 2020 equalization multiplier is applied to 2020 assessments, taxes payable in 2021.

## Unit 4 Review Questions

1. An $\qquad$ factor will uniformly increase or decrease assessed values of all properties in the county except for $\qquad$ -, $\qquad$ , $\qquad$ -
$\qquad$ properties.
2. A township assessor is calculating a township multiplier for this year.

Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago 32.79

Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago Median Level of Assessment for last year
31.58
a. The prior 3-year average median level of assessments for this township is: $\qquad$
b. The township equalization multiplier will be:
3. Another township assessor in the same county is calculating a township multiplier for this year.

$$
\text { Median Level of Assessment for } 3 \text { years ago: } 32.45
$$

Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago:

31.09

Median Level of Assessment for last year:
30.36
a. The prior 3-year average median level of assessments for the township is: $\qquad$
b. This township equalization multiplier will be:

## Unit 5 - Assessor Bonus

This unit covers the qualifications and application procedure for the Assessor Bonus Award. This award is based on performance, using criteria with respect to the median level of assessments and uniformity of assessments as determined by the Coefficient of Dispersion.

The purpose of this unit is to inform township assessors and supervisors of assessments of the availability of the Assessor Bonus award, highlighting the application process and eligibility.

## Learning Objectives

After completing the assigned readings, you should be able to

- realize that the bonus award is available to assessors.
- correctly complete an application for the bonus award.
- know which criteria applies to the assessor's jurisdiction.
- determine whether eligibility criteria has been met for a given year.


## Terms and Concepts

- Elected County Official (ECO)
- Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF)
- Tentative equalization factor


## ASSESSOR BONUS AWARD

Any assessor, in counties other than Cook County or the St. Clair County Assessor, may petition the Department of Revenue to receive additional compensation based on performance.

In order to receive the assessor bonus award, you must

1. be in a qualifying position,
2. have a three-year average median within the correct range,
3. determine whether your jurisdiction is within a small or large county,
4. have a COD less than the COD requirement based on the size of your county, and
5. file a completed application within the correct time frame.

## 35 ILCS 200 Sec 4-20:

"As used in this Section, 'assessor' means any township or multi-township assessor, or supervisor of assessments."

Positions that qualify for the bonus award are

1. any elected or appointed township or multi-township assessor, or
2. the supervisor of assessments.

Positions that do not qualify for the bonus award include

1. township assessors in Cook County,
2. county assessors in Cook and St. Clair counties,
3. an individual who has contracted to complete the assessments, and
4. a deputy assessor.

County assessors in Cook and St. Clair counties do not meet the condition of being in a qualified position since the requirement is for an individual to hold the title of a "supervisor of assessments."

An individual who has contracted to complete the assessments does not meet the condition as he or she does not hold the position of township assessor.

The "Illinois County Populations Based on the 2020 Decennial Census Counts" from the US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census is the guide used to determine whether the assessor's county is a small county ( 50,000 or fewer) or a large county (over 50,000 ).

In order to qualify for the bonus award, a person must meet the requirements listed below.
For counties with 50,000 or fewer inhabitants, the requirements are:
$>$ a three-year average level of assessments between $31.33 \%$ and $35.33 \%$ of the fair cash value for the assessment jurisdiction, and
$>$ a coefficient of dispersion (COD) no greater than 30.00 percent.
For counties with more than 50,000 inhabitants, the requirements are:
$>$ a three-year average level of assessments between 31.33\% and 35.33\% of the fair cash value for the assessment jurisdiction, and
a coefficient of dispersion (COD) no greater than 15.00 percent.
Assessors may use the Department's sales ratio data or the assessor's own data with proper documentation. If the township does not have enough sales to have its own study done by the Department of Revenue, the township assessor may use independent appraisals and/or trending to establish the median level of assessments and the COD.

File Form PTAX-205, Assessor's Application for Additional Compensation, after you have signed your assessment books, but no later than 60 days after your county's tentative equalization factor hearing for the assessment year.

Do not submit Form PTAX-205 before your assessment books are given to the chief county assessment officer (CCAO) or board of review, as appropriate.

Trending is a process whereby the sales from one year are combined with the sales from a prior or a following year in order to have enough sales (25) to complete a sales ratio study. By combining sales from 2 or 3 years, many townships will have enough sales to determine the median level of assessments. Trending may be used for intra-county equalization factors or for the assessor bonus award.

## Exercise 5-1

To determine whether the assessors would receive their bonuses this year, first, find the average of the medians from the prior three years by adding the medians and dividing by 3 (years).

For the first line on the chart below, $29.07+33.59+27.63=90.29 . \quad 90.29 \div 3=30.09666$. Rounded to two decimal places, the three-year average would be $30.10 \%$. Determine whether this 3 -year average is within the acceptable range [ $31.33 \%-35.33 \%$ ]. If it is not, the assessor would not qualify for the assessor bonus award. In this case, $30.10 \%$ is too low, so the assessor would not receive his bonus. Write "No" in the "Yes/No" column. It is not necessary to check the COD if the assessor's average median is not in the acceptable range.

If the three-year average is acceptable, the assessor would then need to determine whether the COD is within the acceptable range. For the COD, the acceptable range depends on the population of the county. In the case of the first line on the chart below, the population is 66,241 . Any county over 50,000 in population must not have a COD over 15.00 . Remember that, for the COD, smaller numbers indicate greater assessment uniformity. Since the population on the first line of the chart is greater than 50,000, an acceptable COD would have to be less than 15.00. The "COD" column shows a COD for the township to be 16.40 which is greater than 15.00. The COD is not in the acceptable range. So this assessor would not receive the assessor bonus award because the COD was too large, considering the population of the county.

Now complete the rest of the chart

| Population | 3 <br> Years <br> Ago <br> (\%) | 2 Years <br> Ago (\%) | Last Year (\%) | 3-Year Average (\%) | COD | Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66,241 | 29.07 | 33.59 | 27.63 | 30.10 | 16.4 | No |
| 39,582 | 37.38 | 31.72 | 36.24 |  | 27.3 |  |
| 81,759 | 32.85 | 33.57 | 36.48 |  | 11.5 |  |
| 47,391 | 29.63 | 31.02 | 33.58 |  | 34.8 |  |
| 52,089 | 32.55 | 34.60 | 33.72 |  | 18.6 |  |
| 107,464 | 36.82 | 31.09 | 35.98 |  | 14.3 |  |
| 183,697 | 29.75 | 28.04 | 32.56 |  | 9.4 |  |
| 28,434 | 31.99 | 32.48 | 35.79 |  | 16.7 |  |

The filing time frame for submitting the PTAX-205 20__ Assessor's Application for Additional Compensation (Fill in the $\qquad$ with this year) shall begin after the assessor signs the assessment books and continue until $\mathbf{6 0}$ days after the original hearing date in the county for the tentative equalization factor.

An assessor may obtain a PTAX-205 form from the Supervisor of Assessments.

## Instructions for completing the PTAX-205 Assessor's Application for Additional Compensation

1. Be sure to check whether you contribute to the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF). If the answer is "Yes," check whether it is "Regular" or "ECO." "ECO" refers to Elected County Officials. However, check with the township or county office to determine which of these options applies to your office.
2. On line 6a, mark whether you are "elected," "appointed," or "on contract."
3. Be sure to sign the form at the bottom of the front page.
4. File the application within the correct time frame:
"after you have signed your assessment books, but no later than 60 days after your county's tentative equalization factor hearing for (the year of the application)."

If your jurisdiction does not have a minimum of 25 useable sales for any one, or more, of the three years, you may supplement the actual sales with independent appraisals or use a process for combining sales, from prior or subsequent years, called trending.

## Summary

The assessor bonus award is based on the performance of the assessor. Township and multi-township assessors and supervisors of assessments from all counties except Cook or St. Clair County Assessor may qualify for the bonus award.

Assessors must file an application for the bonus award after the assessment books are signed and within 60 days of the hearing date in their counties for the tentative multiplier.

If the jurisdiction does not have a minimum of 25 useable sales for any one, or more, of the three years, you may supplement the actual sales with independent appraisals or use trending.

## Unit 5 Review Questions

1. A township assessor is applying for the bonus award for this year. The COD is 15.92\%.

Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago
Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago
Median Level of Assessment for last year
32.79
31.92
31.58
a. If the population of the county is less than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the Assessor's Bonus? $\qquad$
b. If the population of the county is greater than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the Assessor's Bonus? $\qquad$
2. A township assessor is applying for the bonus award for this year. The COD is 14.80\%.

Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago: 32.45
Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago:
31.09

Median Level of Assessment for last year:
30.36
a. If the population of the county is less than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the Assessor's Bonus? $\qquad$
b. If the population of the county is greater than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the Assessor's Bonus? $\qquad$
3. In order to qualify for the assessor bonus award, the assessor must be in a
$\qquad$ , have a $\qquad$ - year average median level of assessments between $\qquad$ and $\qquad$ and a COD no greater than $\qquad$ (assuming that the population of the county is 50,000 or less).

## Unit 6 - Trending

This unit covers the process of trending to combine sales from two or more years when there were not enough sales from a given year to conduct a separate sales ratio study for a township. The trending process adjusts the values of the sales from one year to current market conditions for the year that did not have enough sales to achieve a sales ratio study. The trended sales from one (or more) year(s) are then combined with the actual market sales from the year of the sales ratio study. Using the combined sales, a sales ratio may then be completed.

The purpose of this unit is to familiarize you with the appropriate circumstances when trending may be used and the procedures necessary for the calculations.

## Learning Objectives

After completing the assigned readings, you should be able to

- know what trending will accomplish.
- be familiar with the appropriate occasions when trending may or may not be used.
- be able to calculate a trending factor.
- use the trending factor in adjusting sales for current market values.
- complete a sales ratio study with the adjusted sales combined with current sales.


## Terms and Concepts

- adjusted sales prices
- trending
- trending factor


## Need for Trended Medians

The prior 3-year average median level of assessments (adjusted for change, if applicable) is used in the:
A. Process of determining equalization factor
33.33\%
$\overline{\text { Prior 3-year average median }}$
B. Qualifications for the Assessor's Bonus Award.

The average of the medians from the prior three years must be between $31.33 \%$ and 35.33\%.
(Note: The COD must also be in the correct range.)
Before a three-year average median level of assessments can be established, there must be enough useable sales within a jurisdiction to establish a median level of assessments for each of the years being used in the calculation.

For example, if a 2020 township multiplier is being calculated, a median level for 2017, 2018, and 2019 is used to determine the prior 3-year average median level of assessments for the assessment year of 2020.

In order to calculate the 2020 township multiplier, the following information must be available:

| Median Sales Ratio for | 2017 | 34.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median Sales Ratio for | 2018 | 33.90 |
| Median Sales Ratio for | 2019 | $\frac{34.00}{102.40} \div 3=34.13 \%$ |

There must be a minimum of 25 useable sales and/or appraisals before an assessment district can implement a Sales Ratio Study. If an assessment district does not have 25 useable sales in a particular year, appraisals can be used to supplement this study. Properties must be randomly selected, and the appraisals performed by an independent appraiser.

Counties may find the burden of hiring independent appraisers cumbersome and costly. An alternative to using independent appraisals is the process called trending.

Trending may be used to determine a median level of assessments in the calculation of:

1. Intra-County Equalization
2. Assessor Bonus Award

Trending is not used in the calculation of the state equalization multiplier.
Trending is a method that may be used to determine a median level of assessments when one, or more than one, of the 3 years involved in finding the prior 3-year median level of assessments for the purposes listed above does not have 25 useable sales.

In the technique of trending, the sales that pass the editing for a sales ratio study can be adjusted back in time or they may be adjusted forward in time. The new ratios are combined with the existing sales ratios of that year. A median level of assessments for the year in question can be established from the combined ratios.

When trending, trend back first, if possible, since the sales are more current. If the median that is being established is for the most current of the 3 years, it will be necessary to trend forward.

If the Supervisor of Assessments wanted to apply a township equalization multiplier for 2020, he would need the township medians for 2017, 2018, and 2019. If the township did not have enough sales to find a township median for 2019, he could trend the 2018 sales forward and calculate sales ratios using the adjusted market values (now 2019 market value) and the assessed values from 2018 to find 2019 sales ratios. Combine these ratios with the ratios found from the actual sales in 2019 to find a median for the township for 2019.

Always use the assessed valuation for the year prior to the year of the sales ratio study. For a 2019 sales ratio study, the assessed values on the same properties that sold must come from 2018.

Example 1: The Supervisor of Assessments plans to determine an equalization multiplier for the townships for 2020. He will need the medians for 2017, 2018, and 2019 in order to calculate the prior 3-year average median level of assessments.

```
2017 median = 29.78
2018 median = 30.07
2019 median =
```

The 2018 sales would be adjusted by a trending factor to 2019 values. New sales ratios would be calculated for the 2018 sales adjusted to 2019 market value. The sales ratios would be found by dividing the 2018 assessed value from the properties that sold by the adjusted 2019 market value of those same properties.

Example 2: The Board of Review plans to determine an equalization multiplier for the townships for 2020. They will need the medians for 2017, 2018, and 2019 in order to calculate the prior 3-year average median level of assessments.

```
2017 median =
2018 median =
32.59
2019 median =
33.80
```

Since the missing median is the first of the three years, the 2018 sales would be trended backward. The 2018 sales would be adjusted by a trending factor to 2017 market values. New sales ratios would be calculated for the 2018 sales adjusted to 2017 market value.

Sales ratios are found by dividing the prior year assessed value by the current year selling price. A 2017 sales ratio is found by dividing the 2016 assessed value by the 2017 sales price.

Example 3: The Board of Review plans to determine an equalization multiplier for the townships for 2020. They will need the medians for 2017, 2018, and 2019 in order to calculate the prior 3-year average median level of assessments. In 2018 there were less than 25 sales.

```
2017 median = 28.72
2018 median =
2019 median =
31.25
```

Since the missing median is the middle of the three years, the 2017 sales may be trended forward or the 2019 sales may be trended backward. If there is a choice, trend backward. In this case the 2019 sales would be adjusted by a trending factor to 2018 market values. The 2019 sales are trended backward to become 2018 sales. New sales ratios would be calculated for the 2019 sales adjusted to 2018 market value.

A 2018 sales ratio is found by dividing the 2017 assessed value by the 2018 sales price. So, the sales ratios would be found by dividing the 2017 assessed value from the properties that sold (in 2019 adjusted backward to 2018 market value) by the adjusted 2018 market value of those same properties.

If two or more years are missing, it may be necessary to trend one year forward and another year back or two years forward (backward) to achieve the 25 useable sales.

The trending factor is the number that will be used to adjust the sales price (market value) of a property either forward to a later year or backward to an estimate of market value for a year previous to the actual year of sale.

The trending factor depends on the county's medians to adjust the value from the value at the year of sale to an estimate of the value for the year that did not have enough sales.

Trending Factor $=\frac{\text { County Median }- \text { Trended From Year }}{\text { County Median }- \text { Trended To Year }}$

## Exercise 6-1

Calculate the trending factors (to 4 decimal places) if a county's urban-weighted medians are:

| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31.57 | 30.48 | 32.95 |

1. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
2. Trend 2019 back to 2018
3. Trend 2018 back to 2017
4. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Trending Factor =
Trending Factor =
Trending Factor =
Trending Factor =
$\qquad$
$\square$
$\square$

Using the information from above exercise, determine from what year the assessed values would come.

1. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
2. Trend 2019 back to 2018
3. Trend 2018 back to 2017
4. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from

## Exercise 6-2

Calculate the trending factors (to 4 decimal places) if a county's urban-weighted medians are:

| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30.08 | 35.32 | 29.54 |

5. Trend 2017 forward to 2018

Trending Factor =
6. Trend 2019 back to 2018
7. Trend 2018 back to 2017
8. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Trending Factor =
Trending Factor =
Trending Factor =

Using the information from above exercise, determine from what year the assessed values would come.
5. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
6. Trend 2019 back to 2018
7. Trend 2018 back to 2017
8. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from

## Trending Procedure:

1. Determine the trending factor

$$
\text { Trending Factor }=\frac{\text { County Median }- \text { Trended From Year }}{\text { County Median - Trended To Year }}
$$

2. Multiply each of the sale prices by the trending factor. This is now the "Adjusted Sale Price" for the year of the sales ratio study.
3. Find the assessed value for each of the properties for the year prior to the year of the sales ratio study. These will be given in the problems.
4. Find the sales ratios by dividing the assessed value for each property by its adjusted sale price. Multiply by 100 to change it to a percent.
5. Combine these new ratios with the existing sales ratios.
6. Now rank all (existing ratios and trended ratios) of the ratios and establish a median level of assessments.

Note: A median level of assessments that has been established by a sales ratio study may be adjusted for changes (for example - township reassessment, equalization) implemented since the data for the study was collected.

## Exercise 6-3

Township Medians:
2017
2018
28.32
27.40

2019
County Medians:

Existing sales Ratios from 2019:
11.67
23.87
24.87
25.21
26.40
27.12
29.53
22.95
24.50
24.95
25.69
26.73
29.33
(Calculated from $\qquad$ sales and the AV of those sales from $\qquad$ .)

Trend $\qquad$ (year) sales forward to be combined with $\qquad$ sales.

Trending factor $=$ $\qquad$ (4 decimal places)

Fill in the correct years in the blank lines in the headings. Multiply the sales price by the trending factor to find the adjusted market value.

| \# Sale |  | Trending Factor | ADJ $\qquad$ Market Value | AV | 2019 <br> Sales <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sales Price |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$ 250,000 | 1.0545 | 263,625 | \$ 55,299 | 20.98 |
| 2 | 489,500 |  |  | 109,607 |  |
| 3 | 386,000 |  |  | 89,017 |  |
| 4 | 335,000 |  |  | 84,071 |  |
| 5 | 1,300,000 |  |  | 349,802 |  |
| 6 | 272,000 |  |  | 73,473 |  |
| 7 | 169,900 |  |  | 46,735 |  |
| 8 | 267,500 |  |  | 76,321 |  |
| 9 | 222,000 |  |  | 63,687 |  |
| 10 | 840,200 |  |  | 254,365 |  |
| 11 | 388,000 |  |  | 127,540 |  |
| 12 | 287,000 |  |  | 100,797 |  |

The sales ratios as calculated from the actual 2019 sales are listed in the table below. Complete the table by ranking the trended 2019 (trended from 2018) sales ratios in the table.

| 11.67 |  | 25.21 | 26.40 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23.87 |  | 26.73 | 29.33 |
|  | 24.50 |  |  | 29.53 |
|  | 24.87 | 25.69 | 27.12 |  |
| 22.95 | 24.95 |  |  |  |

2019 Median = $\qquad$ 2020 Twp Equalization Multiplier = $\qquad$

All valid, arm's length transactions included in the 2019 sales ratio study will be used. Those sales that are not considered arm's length for the definition of inclusion on the sales ratio study are not used.

For example, 1) if the 2017 AV was based on unimproved land but there was an improvement on the property in 2019; 2) splits, 2017 AV was based on 1 acre but 2019 sales price was for $1 / 2$ acre; 3) reclassified property where the 2017 AV was residential but the property was sold in 2019 as commercial property.

## Exercise 6-4

The Board of Review is applying township equalization factors for the 2020 assessment year. In order to calculate a 3-year average median level of assessments for a 2020 equalization multiplier, the Board will need the medians for Hoover Township for 2017, 2018, and 2019. Hoover Township's median level of assessments for 2018 was 32.94 and for 2019 was 33.24. However, there were not enough sales in 2017 to determine a median level for 2017.

Hoover Township's medians are: County medians are:

| $2017=$ |  | $2017=$ | 35.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2018=$ | 32.94 | $2018=$ | 34.00 |
| 2019 | $=$ | 33.24 |  |

The Board has decided to use trending to calculate the median for 2017.
Existing ratios for 2017 sales: (18 sales)

| 13.50 | 28.10 | 31.20 | 38.20 | 49.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15.30 | 29.30 | 33.50 | 38.30 | 64.40 |
| 22.60 | 29.70 | 35.80 | 39.20 |  |
| 26.00 | 31.20 | 37.20 | 39.30 |  |

In this exercise, the Board of Review is combining the 2018 sales with the 2017 sales by trending the 2018 sales back to 2017 (Adjusting the 2018 selling prices back to the 2017 selling prices by using a trending factor), and then developing 2017 sales ratios by using 2016 assessed values of those properties along with the 2017 adjusted sales prices found by the trended sales.

Trending Factor = $\qquad$ (see data on the prior page)
Multiply the Selling Prices by the trending factor.
Find the new 2017 sales ratios

| Sale | Sales | Trending | 2017 <br> Adjusted <br> $\#$ | Price | Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | SP |
| :---: |$\quad 2016$ AV | 2017 |
| :---: |


| 1 | \$58,400 | 11,914 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | \$29,000 | 6,198 |
| 3 | \$34,100 | 7,288 |
| 4 | \$14,200 | 3,311 |
| 5 | \$44,500 | 10,807 |
| 6 | \$4,000 | 972 |
| 7 | \$40,000 | 10,103 |
| 8 | \$33,000 | 8,335 |
| 9 | \$24,500 | 6,426 |
| 10 | \$19,500 | 5,115 |
| 11 | \$18,000 | 5,071 |
| 12 | \$59,900 | 17,457 |
| 13 | \$32,900 | 10,227 |
| 14 | \$23,000 | 7,150 |
| 15 | \$26,000 | 8,335 |
| 16 | \$10,000 | 3,497 |
| 17 | \$13,500 | 4,721 |
| 18 | \$13,800 | 4,826 |
| 19 | \$15,000 | 5,537 |
| 20 | \$22,000 | 9,190 |
| 21 | \$56,500 | 27,443 |
| 22 | \$6,500 | 3,346 |
| 23 | \$9,000 | 5,421 |
| 24 | \$9,800 | 6,188 |
| 25 | \$3,500 | 2,312 |
| 26 | \$1,500 | 1,501 |
| 27 | \$3,000 | 3,409 |
| 28 | \$2,000 | 2,506 |

1. Calculate the trended sales ratios.
2. Combine the new trended ratios with the original ratios from 2017. Find the median using all of the ratios. (Ratios are ranked in columns)


Hoover Township's medians are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2017 & = & \\
2018 & = & 32.94 \\
2019 & = & 33.24
\end{array}
$$

The township equalization multiplier would be: $\qquad$

## Summary

Trending is a method that may be used to determine a median level of assessments when one, or more than one, of the 3 years involved in finding the prior 3-year median level of assessments for the purposes listed above does not have 25 useable sales.

Trending may be used for intra-county sales ratio studies and equalization or the assessor bonus award when there are less than 25 useable sales in a given year.

Sales ratios found by adjusting sales (forward or backward) to the year with an insufficient number of sales are combined with all existing sales ratios to determine the median level of assessments.

Trending is not used in the calculation of the state equalization factor.

## Unit 6 Review Questions

1. What would the trending factor be if the 2019 sales were trended back to 2018 ?
$\qquad$
county medians
2017 median $=28.72$
2018 median $=31.69$ 2019 median $=30.48$
township medians
2017 median $=32.51$
2018 median $=$
2019 median $=29.86$
2. If an assessor were trending sales from 2017 to 2018 , the assessed values on those properties would be from $\qquad$ (year).
3. In order to trend 2019 sales back to 2018 , multiply the $\qquad$ sales by the trending factor. Then divide the $\qquad$ assessed values by the $\qquad$ sales trended back to $\qquad$ market value. (Insert years.)

## Unit 7 - The Sales Ratio Study: Table 1

This unit covers Table 1 from the Sales Ratio Study. The "I-E Class Sales Ratio Study" is found in the Supplemental section at the back of the packet. This unit focuses on the understanding and interpretation of the sales ratio studies.

The purpose of this unit is to familiarize township assessors and supervisors of assessments with the sales ratio study and the information that can be gathered from it. Particular emphasis is on the median level of assessments, measures of assessment uniformity, and equalization.

The "I-E Class Sales Ratio Study" has fictitious counties and townships. Its purpose is to become familiar with the information and format of the sales ratio study.

## Learning Objectives

After completing the assigned readings, you should be able to

- find the median level of assessments for a county or township.
- locate the measures of assessment uniformity for a jurisdiction.
- determine whether a jurisdiction is assessing uniformly.
- analyze what types of properties are most in need of reassessment.


## Table 1: Assessment Ratios

Turn to the I-E Class Sales Ratio Study in the supplemental section at the back of the manual. ${ }^{1}$

Columns in Table 1 of the sales ratio study:

1. Geographic Area: name of the county or township.
2. Urban when all of the useable sales are included; "Unimp" is only displayed when there are 25 or more useable sales for the category, otherwise urban indicates improved sales only.
3. Adjusted Median found by adjusting the calculated median for changes due to reassessment. The adjusted median is the median used in the calculation of the equalization factors.
4. Median as calculated from the sales ratio study.
5. COD
6. Sales: number of sales used in the sales ratio study.
7. $1^{\text {st }}$ Quartile: ratio such that $25 \%(1 / 4)$ of the ratios are smaller than the number listed and $75 \%(3 / 4)$ of the ratios are larger than the ratio listed.
8. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quartile: ratio such that $75 \%(3 / 4)$ of the ratios are smaller than the number listed and $25 \%(1 / 4)$ of the ratios are larger than the ratio listed.
9. Ratio Range: the difference between the largest sales ratio and the smallest sales ratio.
10. PRD
11. $95 \%$ Confidence Interval: the ratio range such that there is a $95 \%$ assurance that the true median of all properties falls within this range.
12. COC

Begin by looking at the first county on the list: Arthur County. All of the townships have "Urban" in the second column. "Urban" refers to studies that exclude farm sales.

Now look at "Baker County." Apple River township has "Urban" directly to the right of the township name. "Urban" refers to the total number of useable non-farm sales in the county or township. Below "Urban" is "Imp" which stands for improved properties and "Unimp" which stands for unimproved properties. For all counties other than Cook, the Department calculates median assessment levels for both "improved" and "unimproved" urban property when there are 25 or more useable sales in each of these subcategories. This information helps local assessing officials identify the presence or absence of a systematic bias toward higher or lower assessment levels on unimproved property. Look at the $6^{\text {th }}$ column whose heading is "Sales." For Apple River township there were a total of 141 useable sales. Of these 31 were improved properties and 110 were unimproved.

[^0]The heading for the fourth column is "Median." This is the median as calculated from the sales ratio study before any adjustments are made. The median used for each township or multi-township district comes directly from that year's Sales Ratio Study. The heading of the third column is "Adjusted Median." This is the calculated median from the fourth column after adjustments for changes due to reassessment or equalization by the CCAO or the board of review. In Duke county, Water Well township has a (raw) median of $32.41 \%$. Notice that the adjusted median for Water Well township has a "-" in the adjusted median column. This indicates that the adjusted median is the same as the (raw) median of $32.41 \%$.

There are 11 counties in this classroom sales ratio study. Looking at the "Total County" row and the "Median" column, find the county whose median is closest to $33.33 \%$.

Find the county with the median of $29.57 \%$ $\qquad$ .

## Exercise 7-1

Refer to Table 1 in the "I-E Class Sales Ratio Study" found in the supplemental section.
Find the adjusted median level of assessments.
Jaguar Township, Autobahn County
Big Valley Township, Duke County
Carnation Township, Floral County
Wyoming Township, Hayes County
Aspen Township, Lincoln County
Find the COD.
Pole Cat Township, Duke County
Hidden Valley Township, Grant County
Abilene Township, Harrison County
Red Maple Township, Lincoln County
$\qquad$
Tennyson Township, Roosevelt County $\qquad$
Best COD $\qquad$ Township

Find the PRD.
Yugo Township, Autobahn County
Tennyson Township, Roosevelt County
Mulberry Pie Township, Baker County
$\qquad$
Water Well Township, Duke County
Holly Township, Lincoln County
Best PRD $\qquad$ Township

## Find the COC.

Lamborghini Township, Autobahn County
Abilene Township, Harrison County $\qquad$
Peach Cobbler Township, Baker County
Daisy Township, Duke County
Walnut Grove Township, Lincoln County
Best COC $\qquad$ Township

## Assessment Ratios for Lincoln County

The Supervisor of Assessments wants to determine whether there is a difference in uniformity between improved and unimproved properties. Several townships have enough sales to have sales ratio studies done by these sub-categories. He or she decides to look at all of the available measures of uniformity listed in the sales ratio study book. By comparing the unimproved properties to the improved properties for the townships that had enough sales to conduct sales ratio studies for the sub-categories of "Improved" and "Unimproved", determine which type of property was most uniformly assessed for each of the measures of uniformity listed.
a. For the COD:

Improved Unimproved

| Blue Spruce |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cherry | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Cottonwood | - |  |
| Hawthorn |  |  |

Most uniform (COD) is (unimproved, improved) $\qquad$
b. For the COC:

Improved Unimproved
Blue Spruce
Cherry
Cottonwood
Hawthorn


Most uniform (COC) is (unimproved, improved) $\qquad$
c. For the PRD:
Improved Unimproved

Blue Spruce
Cherry
Cottonwood
Hawthorn
Most uniform (PRD) is (unimproved, improved)
Overall, which type of property should she concentrate on for reassessment?

## Summary

The sales ratio study as performed by the Department of Revenue provides multiple tools for a more complete grasp of assessment uniformity within the county.

The sales ratio study, as it appears in "Table 1 Assessment Ratios", provides the assessor with information necessary to determine what townships would be most helped by reassessment. The assessor, using the calculations of the median, the COD, the PRD, and the COC, may make a better determination on how to most effectively utilize limited time and resources to improve assessment uniformity.

## Unit 7 Review Questions

## Assessment Ratios for FLORAL COUNTY

Refer to the "I-E Class Sales Ratio Study" in the supplemental section.

1. What was the median assessment ratio for Carnation township?
2. Begonia township was under-assessed, statutorily assessed, or over-assessed?
3. Which township was assessing closest to the statutory level (use adjusted median)?
4. Considering only the COD, name the township that was:
least uniformly assessed. $\qquad$
most uniformly assessed.

# Table 1: 1-E Class Sales Ratio Study 

## I-E Class Sales Ratio Study <br> Table 1 <br> Assessment Ratios



| Arthur County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total County | Urban | - | 29.14 | 33.17 | 307 | 21.93 | 34.48 | 110.94 | 1.09 | 27.90 | - | 30.05 | 28.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ferrari | Urban | 30.11 | 28.62 | 24.28 | 31 | 20.31 | 31.12 | 51.76 | 1.10 | 25.18 | - | 30.59 | 45.16 |
| Jaguar | Urban | 29.72 | 28.62 | 47.42 | 36 | 17.74 | 36.14 | 89.80 | 1.23 | 24.69 |  | 34.47 | 16.67 |
| Lamborghini | Urban | 29.83 | 29.24 | 24.59 | 70 | 21.30 | 34.02 | 33.28 | 1.03 | 25.89 |  | 30.97 | 30.00 |
| Porsche | Urban | 30.56 | 30.49 | 23.75 | 67 | 26.54 | 35.63 | 58.45 | 1.06 | 28.78 |  | 31.76 | 41.79 |
| Yugo | Urban | 28.38 | 27.50 | 35.52 | 32 | 20.01 | 33.50 | 76.42 | 1.16 | 21.53 |  | 32.40 | 15.62 |
| All Others | Urban | 29.60 | 28.20 | 47.34 | 71 | 20.20 | 36.61 | 108.39 | 1.14 | 24.52 |  | 30.85 | 23.94 |


| Baker County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total County Townships | Urban | - | 30.59 | 21.97 | 543 | 24.49 | 34.76 | 69.57 | 0.99 | 29.95 | - 30.91 | 32.78 |
| Apple River | Urban | 24.81 | 23.61 | 26.43 | 141 | 18.37 | 29.50 | 68.57 | 1.03 | 22.17 | - 25.81 | 22.70 |
|  | Imp | - | 25.81 | 13.74 | 31 | 22.49 | 30.20 | 15.32 | 1.03 | 23.13 | - 28.04 | 35.48 |
|  | Unimp | - | 22.95 | 29.75 | 110 | 16.89 | 28.92 | 68.57 | 1.27 | 20.03 | - 25.50 | 16.36 |
| Orange Grove | Urban | 32.94 | 32.94 | 18.62 | 168 | 27.58 | 36.83 | 46.95 | 1.01 | 31.60 | - 33.73 | 39.88 |
|  | Imp | - | 33.28 | 10.23 | 80 | 30.83 | 36.25 | 18.60 | 1.02 | 32.55 | - 34.23 | 58.75 |
|  | Unimp | - | 31.60 | 27.04 | 88 | 23.33 | 38.70 | 46.95 | 1.09 | 27.77 | - 33.44 | 26.14 |
| Peach Cobbler | Urban | 33.72 | 31.66 | 22.32 | 25 | 28.50 | 35.46 | 64.79 | 1.06 | 28.50 | - 33.67 | 52.00 |
| Pear Tart | Urban | 31.18 | 31.18 | 18.10 | 54 | 28.03 | 36.25 | 42.41 | 1.04 | 30.06 | - 33.86 | 42.59 |
| Cherry Pit | Urban | 31.05 | 30.68 | 15.61 | 28 | 24.47 | 33.72 | 21.78 | 1.04 | 24.61 | - 33.42 | 35.71 |
| Persimmon | Urban | 31.15 | 31.15 | 18.61 | 28 | 25.73 | 36.08 | 51.64 | 1.06 | 26.49 | - 35.51 | 39.29 |
| Mulberry Pie | Urban | 32.61 | 31.42 | 15.41 | 49 | 27.66 | 36.24 | 28.15 | 1.03 | 29.48 | - 33.64 | 38.78 |
| All Others | Urban | 31.72 | 30.98 | 22.74 | 50 | 26.69 | 35.56 | 54.16 | 0.97 | 28.35 | - 33.79 | 36.00 |
| Cleveland County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Urban | 31.65 | 30.72 | 34.57 | 49 | 25.57 | 41.52 | 114.20 | 1.07 | 27.75 | - 38.08 | 24.49 |

I-E Class Sales Ratio Study
Table 1
Assessment Ratios

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GEOGRAPHIC } \\ & \text { AREA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | COEF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADJ |  |  | OF |  | QUARTILES |  | RATIO |  | CONFIDENCE |  | COEF |
|  |  | MEDIA | MEDIAN | DISP | SALES | 1st | 3rd | RANGE | PRD |  | TERVAL | CONC |
| Duke County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total County | Urban | - | 29.65 | 25.23 | 211 | 24.20 | 34.22 | 100.33 | 1.07 | 28.74 | - 30.34 | 32.23 |
| Townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Valley | Urban | - | 29.20 | 30.48 | 56 | 21.55 | 34.12 | 88.99 | 1.14 | 24.46 | - 30.34 | 33.93 |
| Daisy | Urban | - | 28.74 | 19.26 | 47 | 24.47 | 33.06 | 40.63 | 1.03 | 26.07 | - 31.72 | 31.91 |
| Pole Cat | Urban | - | 29.81 | 22.18 | 33 | 25.98 | 36.24 | 54.65 | 1.08 | 27.67 | - 33.37 | 36.36 |
| Water Well | Urban | - | 32.41 | 23.71 | 44 | 24.21 | 35.52 | 50.60 | 0.97 | 29.16 | - 33.72 | 43.18 |
| All Others | Urban | - | 29.43 | 27.54 | 31 | 24.20 | 35.81 | 53.40 | 1.12 | 24.59 | - 30.56 | 32.26 |

* No adjustments were necessary because there were no significant assessment changes


## Floral County

| Total County | Urban | - | 30.38 | 16.18 | 2486 | 27.43 | 33.43 | 72.68 | 1.06 | 30.13 | - | 30.53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Azalea | Urban | 31.57 | 30.75 | 13.04 | 93 | 27.70 | 32.94 | 48.76 | 0.99 | 29.82 | -31.50 | 58.06 |
| Begonia | Urban | 29.15 | 28.28 | 16.13 | 28 | 26.22 | 33.89 | 20.77 | 1.01 | 26.24 | - | 32.43 |
| 42.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Briar | Urban | 30.16 | 28.75 | 22.68 | 57 | 25.98 | 32.94 | 65.88 | 1.14 | 27.26 | - | 31.30 |
| 43.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carnation | Urban | 31.13 | 30.17 | 13.78 | 233 | 27.60 | 33.41 | 54.64 | 1.01 | 29.47 | - | 30.88 |
| 53.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daisy | Urban | 31.64 | 31.43 | 15.60 | 45 | 26.51 | 33.94 | 42.12 | 1.00 | 28.85 | - | 32.40 |
| 51.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Holly | Urban | 31.18 | 30.48 | 9.01 | 150 | 28.19 | 32.02 | 36.38 | 1.01 | 29.63 | -30.88 | 68.67 |
| Iris | Urban | 31.08 | 30.57 | 16.31 | 91 | 27.64 | 34.12 | 58.40 | 1.05 | 28.89 | -31.71 | 47.25 |
| Peony | Urban | 31.50 | 30.45 | 17.45 | 1550 | 27.43 | 33.96 | 69.20 | 1.07 | 30.23 | -30.73 | 48.26 |
| Phlox | Urban | 32.21 | 31.59 | 12.07 | 25 | 28.42 | 33.32 | 27.45 | 1.01 | 28.88 | -33.06 | 64.00 |
| Rose | Urban | 30.96 | 29.72 | 13.57 | 106 | 27.61 | 33.12 | 39.38 | 1.03 | 29.12 | - | 31.03 |
| 55.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisteria | Urban | 31.43 | 30.59 | 15.01 | 29 | 26.06 | 33.89 | 21.79 | 1.00 | 26.74 | -32.79 | 41.38 |
| All Others | Urban | 29.63 | 28.74 | 15.38 | 79 | 26.16 | 32.18 | 40.91 | 1.01 | 27.54 | - | 29.69 |

## Grant County

| Total County <br> Townships | Urban | - | 29.57 | 22.02 | 548 | 24.72 | 34.06 | 94.14 | 0.99 | 28.87 | -30.53 | 33.39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hidden Valley | Urban | 28.79 | 26.17 | 30.54 | 152 | 18.32 | 31.52 | 94.14 | 1.04 | 23.78 | -27.47 | 23.68 |
| Homers Pass | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain Home | Urban | 31.64 | 31.64 | 18.92 | 179 | 27.78 | 35.42 | 53.94 | 1.00 | 30.65 | -32.64 | 39.66 |
|  | Imp | - | 32.44 | 11.78 | 104 | 29.22 | 35.44 | 29.33 | 1.01 | 31.28 | -33.41 | 54.81 |
|  | Unimp | - | 29.21 | 29.63 | 75 | 24.25 | 35.19 | 53.94 | 1.07 | 26.80 | -31.75 | 25.33 |
| Pleasant Hills | Urban | 31.12 | 29.64 | 21.59 | 34 | 26.00 | 35.14 | 54.76 | 1.03 | 27.28 | -31.79 | 41.18 |
| Sunset View | Urban | 34.48 | 34.48 | 14.49 | 43 | 28.45 | 37.78 | 28.03 | 1.05 | 30.63 | -35.36 | 39.53 |
| Sleepy Hollow | Urban | 29.44 | 29.44 | 13.18 | 56 | 25.33 | 31.89 | 24.89 | 1.01 | 26.26 | -30.95 | 42.86 |
| All Others | Urban | 29.86 | 28.74 | 18.21 | 84 | 24.89 | 33.31 | 33.22 | 1.04 | 27.16 | -30.56 | 30.95 |

# I-E Class Sales Ratio Study <br> Table 1 <br> Assessment Ratios 

| $\begin{gathered} \text { GEOGRAPHIC } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | COEF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADJ |  |  | OF |  | QUARTILES |  | RATIO |  | CONFIDENCE |  | COEF CONC |
|  |  | MEDIAN | MEDIAN | DISP | SALES | 1st | 3rd | RANGE | PRD |  | TERVAL |  |
| Harrison County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total County | Urban | - | 32.00 | 17.71 | 740 | 28.12 | 36.20 | 89.27 | 1.03 | 31.42 | - 32.47 | 41.49 |
| Townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abilene | Urban | 30.47 | 30.79 | 13.90 | 53 | 26.76 | 34.55 | 26.21 | 1.01 | 28.44 | - 32.47 | 45.28 |
| Mount Villa | Urban | 32.43 | 32.43 | 16.67 | 539 | 29.06 | 36.36 | 62.50 | 1.02 | 31.90 | - 32.88 | 43.97 |
| All Others | Urban | 30.57 | 29.76 | 22.82 | 148 | 25.22 | 35.75 | 89.27 | 1.05 | 28.70 | - 31.49 | 31.76 |

* No adjustments were necessary because there were no significant assessment changes


## Hayes County

| Total County | Urban | - | 30.95 | 16.56 | 187 | 27.23 | 33.90 | 58.15 | 1.01 | 29.64 | - | 31.74 | 47.06 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | Urban | 29.43 | 28.57 | 22.65 | 27 | 22.93 | 36.11 | 33.69 | 1.03 | 23.03 | - | 33.18 | 25.93 |
| Colorado | Urban | 30.27 | 29.39 | 19.57 | 32 | 24.64 | 33.90 | 47.22 | 1.04 | 25.19 | - | 31.32 | 31.25 |
| New Mexico | Urban | 31.72 | 31.72 | 9.21 | 69 | 29.48 | 33.56 | 24.81 | 1.01 | 30.69 | - | 32.59 | 66.67 |
| Wyoming | Urban | 32.02 | 31.39 | 19.15 | 25 | 27.36 | 35.15 | 33.01 | 1.08 | 27.76 | - | 35.05 | 40.00 |
| All Others | Urban | 31.15 | 29.86 | 22.06 | 34 | 25.62 | 33.33 | 45.80 | 1.00 | 26.07 | - | 32.45 | 38.24 |

## Lincoln County

| Total County | Urban | - | 28.37 | 11.55 | 6906 | 26.02 | 30.62 | 66.76 | 1.03 | 28.26 | - | 28.45 | 59.30 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ash | Urban | 30.86 | 29.67 | 15.37 | 153 | 26.36 | 32.79 | 52.65 | 1.03 | 28.43 | - | 30.93 | 42.48 |  |
| Aspen | Urban | 29.67 | 29.34 | 5.86 | 26 | 28.12 | 30.95 | 8.72 | 1.00 | 28.27 | - | 30.88 | 76.92 |  |
| Blue Spruce | Urban | 29.84 | 28.26 | 25.61 | 142 | 22.44 | 32.80 | 64.23 | 1.04 | 26.49 | -30.11 | 29.58 |  |  |
|  | Imp | - | 29.90 | 18.50 | 96 | 25.54 | 32.78 | 45.21 | 1.04 | 28.13 | - | 30.63 | 41.67 |  |
|  | Unimp | - | 22.52 | 43.11 | 46 | 16.79 | 35.27 | 64.23 | 1.26 | 18.37 | - | 27.71 | 10.87 |  |
| Buckeye | Urban | 30.39 | 29.18 | 9.10 | 97 | 27.45 | 30.80 | 34.52 | 1.02 | 28.50 | - | 30.02 | 71.13 |  |
| Burr Oak | Urban | 28.48 | 25.96 | 7.86 | 815 | 24.35 | 27.53 | 26.68 | 1.02 | 25.75 | - | 26.18 | 70.18 |  |
| Cherry | Urban | 30.46 | 29.07 | 10.28 | 537 | 27.01 | 31.12 | 52.02 | 1.01 | 28.70 | - | 29.46 | 66.29 |  |
|  | Imp | - | 29.17 | 9.60 | 512 | 27.13 | 31.15 | 46.47 | 1.01 | 28.76 | - | 29.49 | 66.41 |  |
|  | Unimp | - | 27.78 | 23.84 | 25 | 17.44 | 30.20 | 32.92 | 1.10 | 17.55 | - | 29.46 | 40.00 |  |
| Cottonwood | Urban | 29.48 | 28.69 | 10.31 | 360 | 26.51 | 30.81 | 45.25 | 1.00 | 28.27 | - | 29.19 | 62.78 |  |
|  | Imp | - | 28.88 | 8.97 | 332 | 26.65 | 30.80 | 22.45 | 1.00 | 28.37 | - | 29.26 | 65.36 |  |
|  | Unimp | - | 27.44 | 25.82 | 28 | 24.26 | 31.79 | 45.25 | 1.26 | 24.40 | - | 28.69 | 35.71 |  |
| Evergreen | Urban | 32.38 | 30.97 | 13.08 | 65 | 27.30 | 32.64 | 36.54 | 1.02 | 29.21 | -31.88 | 52.31 |  |  |
| Hawthorn | Urban | 29.36 | 27.56 | 9.73 | 1256 | 25.77 | 29.78 | 40.23 | 1.01 | 27.38 | - | 27.83 | 65.61 |  |
|  | Imp | - | 27.71 | 8.47 | 1223 | 25.89 | 29.83 | 26.70 | 1.00 | 27.47 | - | 27.91 | 68.27 |  |
|  | Unimp | - | 6.00 | 133.81 | 33 | 4.49 | 20.92 | 23.74 | 1.12 | 4.55 | - | 20.45 | 6.06 |  |
| Holly | Urban | 32.57 | 32.57 | 13.27 | 30 | 29.50 | 35.97 | 24.68 | 1.00 | 29.98 | - | 35.03 | 50.00 |  |
| Mount Olive | Urban | 31.67 | 29.40 | 9.24 | 516 | 27.70 | 31.31 | 43.83 | 1.03 | 29.10 | - | 29.70 | 72.67 |  |

## I-E Class Sales Ratio Study

Table 1
Assessment Ratios

| GEOGRAPHIC AREA | COEF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADJ |  |  | OF |  | QUARTILES |  | RATIO |  | CONFIDENCE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COEF } \\ & \text { CONC } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | MEDIA | MEDIAN | DISP | SALES | 1st | 3rd | RANGE | PRD |  | TERVAL |  |
| Pin Oak | Urban | 29.99 | 28.34 | 8.48 | 822 | 26.33 | 30.06 | 44.64 | 1.01 | 28.06 | - 28.57 | 70.07 |
| Red Maple | Urban | 29.86 | 29.03 | 8.45 | 397 | 27.35 | 30.67 | 35.14 | 1.01 | 28.73 | - 29.20 | 70.28 |
| Sassafras | Urban | 31.26 | 31.26 | 15.56 | 26 | 27.84 | 34.80 | 35.24 | 1.01 | 28.44 | - 33.75 | 53.85 |
| Silver Maple | Urban | 29.98 | 29.07 | 16.64 | 924 | 25.80 | 32.42 | 64.98 | 1.03 | 28.69 | - 29.46 | 44.70 |
| Sycamore | Urban | 31.17 | 30.18 | 12.80 | 244 | 26.93 | 33.52 | 42.41 | 1.01 | 29.38 | - 30.63 | 46.31 |
| Walnut Grove | Urban | 30.31 | 29.62 | 8.27 | 57 | 28.29 | 31.12 | 26.00 | 1.01 | 28.62 | - 30.17 | 71.93 |
| White Pine | Urban | 29.72 | 28.89 | 7.67 | 371 | 27.10 | 30.45 | 28.01 | 1.06 | 28.45 | - 29.22 | 74.93 |
| Willow | Urban | 30.91 | 30.18 | 9.90 | 28 | 28.08 | 32.54 | 17.25 | 1.00 | 28.22 | - 31.10 | 64.29 |
| All Others | Urban | 28.78 | 27.66 | 29.28 | 40 | 20.86 | 31.65 | 44.00 | 0.97 | 22.54 | - 29.12 | 37.50 |

* No adjustments were necessary because there were no significant assessment changes


## Roosevelt County

| Total County | Urban | - | 30.58 | 24.39 | 113 | 25.77 | 35.42 | 56.85 | 1.06 | 29.14 | -31.98 | 33.63 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennyson | Urban | 31.57 | 31.54 | 21.13 | 75 | 27.11 | 36.53 | 49.80 | 1.03 | 30.35 | -33.40 | 36.00 |  |
| All Others | Urban | 28.91 | 28.19 | 29.83 | 38 | 21.26 | 33.16 | 56.85 | 1.06 | 22.41 | - | 31.41 | 28.95 |

## Exam Preparation

## Examination Information

- The exam consists of 50 multiple choice questions.
- Each question is worth an equal number of points when the exam is graded.
- There is only one best answer for each question on the examination.
- Two hours are allotted for completion of the exam.
- The exam is closed book. All class materials, papers, computers, and cellular devices must be removed from the table before taking the exam.
- Cellular phones may not be used as calculators.


## Test-Taking Strategies

- Read each question thoroughly and choose the one best answer provided.
- Review the answer sheet for any skipped answers or multiple answers for the same question.
- Tips for taking a multiple-choice exam:
- Some test-takers prefer to answer questions that they are confident in the answers first and choose to skip over harder questions or questions that involve math calculations. If this is done, be sure to complete the correct answer on the answer sheet for the questions being answered. The answer sheets are graded by hand, so question numbers may be circled so that they can be easily identified during the second pass through the exam.
- Be mindful of the time allotted. If a question is taking a lot of time to answer, move past it and come back to it at a later time.

O Guessing an answer is better than leaving it blank if time becomes an issue.

## Appendix A - Reference Materials

## Illinois County Populations Based on the 2020 Decennial Census Counts*

| County | Population | Illinois | 12,812,508 | County | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County | Population |  |  |
| Adams | 65,737 | Hardin | 3,649 | Morgan | 32,915 |
| Alexander | 5,240 | Henderson | 6,387 | Moultrie | 14,526 |
| Bond | 16,725 | Henry | 49,284 | Ogle | 51,788 |
| Boone | 53,448 | Iroquois | 27,077 | Peoria | 181,830 |
| Brown | 6,244 | Jackson | 52,974 | Perry | 20,945 |
| Bureau | 33,244 | Jasper | 9,287 | Piatt | 16,673 |
| Calhoun | 4,437 | Jefferson | 37,113 | Pike | 14,739 |
| Carroll | 15,702 | Jersey | 21,512 | Pope | 3,763 |
| Cass | 13,042 | Jo Daviess | 22,035 | Pulaski | 5,193 |
| Champaign | 205,865 | Johnson | 13,308 | Putnam | 5,637 |
| Christian | 34,032 | Kane | 516,522 | Randolph | 30,163 |
| Clark | 15,455 | Kankakee | 107,502 | Richland | 15,813 |
| Clay | 13,288 | Kendall | 131,869 | Rock Island | 144,672 |
| Clinton | 36,899 | Knox | 49,967 | St. Clair | 257,400 |
| Coles | 46,863 | Lake | 714,342 | Saline | 23,768 |
| Cook | 5,275,541 | LaSalle | 109,658 | Sangamon | 196,343 |
| Crawford | 18,679 | Lawrence | 15,280 | Schuyler | 6,902 |
| Cumberland | 10,450 | Lee | 34,145 | Scott | 4,949 |
| DeKalb | 100,420 | Livingston | 35,815 | Shelby | 20,990 |
| De Witt | 15,516 | Logan | 27,987 | Stark | 5,400 |
| Douglas | 19,740 | McDonough | 27,238 | Stephenson | 44,630 |
| DuPage | 932,877 | McHenry | 310,229 | Tazewell | 131,343 |
| Edgar | 16,866 | McLean | 170,954 | Union | 17,244 |
| Edwards | 6,245 | Macon | 103,998 | Vermilion | 74,188 |
| Effingham | 34,668 | Macoupin | 44,967 | Wabash | 11,361 |
| Fayette | 21,488 | Madison | 265,859 | Warren | 16,835 |
| Ford | 13,534 | Marion | 37,729 | Washington | 13,761 |
| Franklin | 37,804 | Marshall | 11,742 | Wayne | 16,179 |
| Fulton | 33,609 | Mason | 13,086 | White | 13,877 |
| Gallatin | 4,946 | Massac | 14,169 | Whiteside | 55,691 |
| Greene | 11,985 | Menard | 12,297 | Will | 696,355 |
| Grundy | 52,533 | Mercer | 15,699 | Williamson | 67,153 |
| Hamilton | 7,993 | Monroe | 34,962 | Winnebago | 285,350 |
| Hancock | 17,620 | Montgomery | 28,288 | Woodford | 38,467 |

* Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Census. 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, Tables P1 and H1


Please read the instructions before completing this form. This form can be completed electronically at tax.illinois.gov/retd. Step 1: Identify the property and sale information.
1
Street address of property (or 911 address, if avalable)


Townshlp
2 Write the total number of parcels to be transferred.
3 Write the parcel identifying numbers and lot sizes or acreage.


Write additional property index numbers, lot sizes or acreage in Step 3.


5 Type of instrument (Mark with an ${ }^{-x}$.):Quit claim deed $\qquad$ Executor deed $\qquad$ Warranty deed Beneficial interest $\qquad$ Other (specify): Trustee deed

6
7
$\qquad$ No Will the property be the buyer's principal residence? Yes $\qquad$ No Was the property advertised for sale? (l.e, mestla, slgn, newspaper, reatior)

8 Identify the property's current and intended primary use. current Intended (Mark only one item per column with an "X.")


9 Identify any significant physical changes in the property since January 1 of the previous year and write the date of the change. Date of significant change: $\qquad$

$\qquad$

10 Identify only the items that apply to this sale. (Mark with an "X.)
a. $\qquad$ Fulfillment of installment contract year contract initiated
b
b __ Sale between related individuals or corporate affiliates
c ___ Transfer of less than 100 percent interest
d Court-ordered sale
e $\square$ Sale in lieu of foreclosure
f _ Condemnation
g _ Short sale
h $\quad$ Bank REO (real estate owned)
i _ Auction sale
j Seller/buyer is a relocation company
k ___ Seller/buyer is a financial institution or government agency
I ___ Buyer is a real estate investment trust
$\mathbf{m}$ ___ Buyer is a pension fund
n ___ Buyer is an adjacent property owner
o ___ Buyer is exercising an option to purchase
p ___ Trade of property (simultaneous)
q ___ Sale-leaseback
$\mathbf{r}$ ___ Other (specity):
s Homestead exemptions on most recent tax bill:
1 General/Alternative \$
2 Senior Citizens
3 Senior Citizens Assessment Freeze \$.

## Step 2: Calculate the amount of transfer tax due.

Note: Round Lines 11 through 18 to the next highest whole dollar. If the amount on Line 11 is over $\$ 1$ million and the property's current use on Line 8 above is marked "e," " $f$," " $g$," "h," "i," or " $k$," complete Form PTAX-203-A, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration Supplemental Form A. If you are recording a beneficial interest transfer, do not complete this step. Complete Form PTAX-203-B, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration Supplemental Form B.
11 Full actual consideration
12a Amount of personal property included in the purchase
12b Was the value of a mobile home included on Line 12a?
13 Subtract Line 12a from Line 11. This is the net consideration for real property.


Amount for other real property transferred to the seller (in a simultaneous exchange)
as part of the full actual consideration on Line 11
15 Outstanding mortgage amount to which the transferred real property remains subject
16 If this transfer is exempt, use an " X " to identify the provision.
17 Subtract Lines 14 and 15 from Line 13. This is the net consideration subject to transfer tax.
18 Divide Line 17 by 500 . Round the result to the next highest whole number (e.g. 61.002 rounds to 62 ).
19 Illinois tax stamps - multiply Line 18 by 0.50 .
20 County tax stamps - multiply Line 18 by 0.25 .
21 Add Lines 19 and 20. This is the total amount of transfer tax due.

Step 3: Write the legal description from the deed. Write, type (minimum 10-point font required), or attach the legal description
 additional property index numbers, lots sizes or acreage from Step 1 , Line 3 .

Step 4: Complete the requested information.
The buyer and seller (or thelr agents) hereog venty that to the best of thelr knowesge and bellet, the tuil actual consideration and tacts stated in tiris deciartion are tue and correct. If this transacton involves any real estate located in cook county, the buyer and seler (or thelr agentis) hereby verify that to the pest of their shoumedge, the name of the buyer shown on the deedo or assignment of benenchal interest in a and trust 15 e ether a natura person, an linols corporation or foreign corporation authorized to do business or acgure and hold the to read estiate in lilinds, a parnership authorzed to do ousiness or acquire and naid tlee to real estate in ilinols, or other entry recognized as a person and authorzed to do pusiness or acquire
 meanor for the frist ofense and a class A misdemeanor for subsequent oftenses. Ary person who knowngy submits a talse statement concoerning the identity of a grantee shall be guity of a Class C misdemeanor tor the first offense anc or a Class A misdemeanor for subsequent ofenses.

## Seller Information (Please print.)

| Seller's or trustee's name | Seller's trust number (if applicable - not an SSN or FEIN) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street address (atter sale) | CIIP |

Seller's or agent's slgnature
Seller's daytime phone
Buyer Information (Please print.)


Preparer Information (Please print.)


## Instructions for Form PTAX-203, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration

## General Information

The information requested on this form is required by the Real Estate Transfer Tax Law (35 ILCS 200/31-1 et seq.). All parties involved in the transaction must answer each question completely and truthfully.

## What is the purpose of this form?

County offices and the Illinois Department of Revenue use this form to collect sales data and to determine if a sale can be used in assessment ratio studies. This information is used to compute equalization factors. Equalization factors are used to help achieve a state-wide uniform valuation of properties based on their fair market value.

## Must I file Form PTAX-203?

You must file either (1) Form PTAX-203 and any required documents with the deed or trust document or (2) an exemption notation on the original deed or trust document at the County Recorder's office within the county where the property is located. File Form PTAX-203 for all real estate transfers except those qualifying for exempt status under (a), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), or (l) listed below.

## Which property transfers are exempt from real estate transfer tax?

The following transactions are exempt from the transfer tax under 35 ILCS 200/31-45.
(a) Deeds representing real estate transfers made before January 1 , 1968, but recorded after that date and trust documents executed before January 1, 1986, but recorded after that date.
(b) Deeds to or trust documents relating to (1) property acquired by any governmental body or from any governmental body. (2) property or interests transferred between governmental bodies, or (3) property acquired by or from any corporation, society, association, foundation or institution organized and operated exclusively for charitable, religious or educational purposes. However, deeds or trust documents, other than those in which the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs of the United States is the grantee pursuant to a foreclosure proceeding, shall not be exempt from filing the declaration.
(c) Deeds or trust documents that secure debt or other obligation.
(d) Deeds or trust documents that, without additional consideration, confirm, correct, modify, or supplement a deed or trust document previously recorded.
(e) Deeds or trust documents where the actual consideration is less than \$100.
(f) Tax deeds.
(g) Deeds or trust documents that release property that is security for a debt or other obligation.
(h) Deeds of partition.
(i) Deeds or trust documents made pursuant to mergers, consolidations or transfers or sales of substantially all of the assets of corporations under plans of reorganization under the Federal Internal Revenue Code ( 26 USC 368) or Title 11 of the Federal Bankruptcy Act.
(j) Deeds or trust documents made by a subsidiary corporation to its parent corporation for no consideration other than the cancellation or surrender of the subsidiary's stock.
(k) Deeds when there is an actual exchange of real estate and trust documents when there is an actual exchange of beneficial interests, except that that money difference or money's worth paid from one to the other is not exempt from the tax. These deeds or trust documents, however, shall not be exempt from filing the declaration.
(I) Deeds issued to a holder of a mortgage, as defined in Section 15-103 (now Section 15-1207) of the Code of Civil Procedure, pursuant to a mortgage foreclosure proceeding or pursuant to a transfer in lieu of foreclosure.
( m )A deed or trust document related to the purchase of a principal residence by a participant in the program authorized by the Home Ownership Made Easy Act, except that those deeds and trust documents shall not be exempt from filing the declaration.
PTAX-203 (R-10/10)

## Can criminal penalties be imposed?

Anyone who willfully falsifies or omits any required information on Form PTAX-203 is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor for the first offense and a Class A misdemeanor for subsequent offenses. Anyone who knowingly submits a false statement concerning the identity of a grantee of property in Cook County is guilty of a Class C misdemeanor for the first offense and a Class A misdemeanor for subsequent offenses. The penalties that could be imposed for each type of misdemeanor are listed below (35 ILCS 200/31-50 and 730 ILCS 5/5-8-3 and 5/5-9-1).

| Misdemeanor | Prison Term | Maximum Fines |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Class A | less than 1 year | $\$ 2,500$ |
| Class B | not more than 6 months | $\$ 1,500$ |
| Class C | not more than 30 days | $\$ 1,500$ |

## Line-by-line Instructions

The sellers and buyers or their agents must complete Steps 1 through 4 of this form. For transfers of a beneficial interest of a land trust, complete the form substituting the words "assignor" for "seller" and "assignee" for "buyer."
Step 1: Identify the property and sale information.
Line 1 - Write the property's street address (or 911 address, if available), city or village, zip code, and township in which the property is located.
Line 3 - Write all the parcel identifying numbers and the properties" lot sizes (e.g., $80^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ ) or acreage. If only the combined lot size or acreage is available for multiple parcels, write the total on Line 3a under the "lot size or acreage" column. If transferring only a part of the parcel, write the letters "PT" before the parcel identifying number and write the lot size or acreage of the split parcel. If transferring a condominium, write the parcel identifying number and the square feet of the condominium unit. If surface rights are not being transferred. indicate the rights being transferred (e.g., "minerals only"). If transferring right-of-way (ROW) property that does not have a parcel identifying number, write "ROW only." If five or more parcels are involved, use the space provided on Page 2, Step 3. The parcel identifying number is printed on the real estate tax bill and assessment notice. The chief county assessment officer can assist you with this information.

Line $\mathbf{4}$ - Write the month and year from the instrument.
Line 5 - Use an " X " to identify the type of instrument (i.e., deed, trust document, or facsimile) to be recorded with this form. For a deed-in-trust, limited warranty, special warranty, trust deed, or other deed types not listed on this form, select "Other" and write the deed type. "Joint tenancy" and "tenants-in-common" identify ownership rights and cannot be used as a deed type.
Line 6 - Select "Yes" if the property will be used as the buyer's principal dwelling place and legal residence.
Line 7 - Select "Yes" if the property was sold using a real estate agent or advertised for sale by newspaper, trade publication, radio/ electronic media, or sign.
Line 8 - Use an "X" to select one item under each of the column headings "Current" and "Intended." "Current" identifies the current or most recent use of the property. "Intended" identifies the intended or expected use of the property after the sale. If the property has more than one use, identify the primary use only.
Line $\mathbf{8 h}$, Commercial building - Write the type of business (bank, hotel/motel, parking garage, gas station, theater, golf course, bowling alley, supermarket, shopping center, etc.).
Line 8 k , Other - Choose this item only if the primary use is not listed and write the primary use of the property.
Note: For Lines 8 h and 8 k , if the current and intended categories are the same but the specific use will change, (i.e., from bank to theater). write the current use on the line provided and write the intended use directly below the line provided.
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Line 9 - Use an " $X$ " to identify any significant physical changes in the property since January 1 of the previous year. Write the date the change was completed or the property was damaged.
Line 10 - Select only the items that apply to this sale. A definition is provided below for all items marked with an asterisk.
Line 10a, Fulfillment of installment contract - The installment contract for deed is initiated in a calendar year prior to the calendar year in which the deed is recorded. Write the year the contract was initiated between the seller and buyer. Do not select this item if the installment contract for deed was initiated and the property was transferred within the same calendar year.
Line $\mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{c}$, Transfer of less than 100 percent interest - The seller transfers a portion of the total interest in the property. Other owners will keep an interest in the property. Do not consider severed mineral rights when answering this question.
Line 10d, Court-ordered sale - The property's sale was ordered by a court (e.g., bankruptcy, foreclosure, probate).
Line $\mathbf{1 0 g}$, Short sale - The property was sold for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the mortgagor has agreed to the sale.
Line 10h, Bank REO (real estate owned) - The first sale of the property owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.
Line $\mathbf{1 0 k}$, Seller/buyer is a financial institution - "Financial institution" includes a bank, savings and loan, credit union, Resolution Trust Company, and any entity with "mortgage company" or "mortgage corporation" as part of the business name.
Line 10o, Buyer is exercising an option to purchase - The sale price was predicated upon the exercise of an option to purchase at a predetermined price.
Line 10p, Trade of property (simultaneous) - Buyer trades or exchanges with the seller one or more items of real estate for part or all of the full actual consideration (sale price) on Line 11.
Line 10r, Other - Explain any special facts or circumstances involving this transaction that may have affected the sale price or sale agreement or forced the sale of the property. This includes property that is subject to an existing lease or property that is part of an IRC $\$ 1031$ Exchange.
Line 10 s , Homestead exemptions on most recent tax bill - Write the dollar amount for any homestead exemption reflected on the most recent annual tax bill.
Step 2: Calculate the amount of transfer tax due.
Round Lines 11 through 18 to the next highest whole dollar.
Note: File PTAX-203-B, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration Supplemental Form B, when filing instruments other than deeds, or trust documents. (Do not complete Step 2, of the PTAX-203 when filing the PTAX-203-B).
Line 11 - Write the full actual consideration (sale price). Full actual consideration is the amount actually paid, excluding any amount credited against the purchase price or refunded to the buyer for improvements or repairs to the property. Include the amount for other real estate transferred in a simultaneous exchange from the buyer to the seller, even if the transfer involves an even exchange. Also include the amount of outstanding mortgages to which the property remains subject at the time of the transfer.
Note: File PTAX-203-A, Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration Supplemental Form A, if the amount on Line 11 is over $\$ 1$ million and the property's current use on Line 8 is marked "Apartment building (over 6 units), "Office," "Retail establishment," "Commercial building:" "Industrial building." or "Other."
Line 12a - Write the amount of personal property items included in the sale price on Line 11. Do not include the value of a beneficial interest of a land trust. Personal property items are generally listed on the "bill of sale." If you are uncertain as to whether an item is real estate or personal property, consult your attorney, tax advisor, or the chief county assessment officer.

On $8^{1} / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ paper, submit an itemized list of personal property (include values) transferred from the seller to the buyer if this sale meets either of the following conditions:

- residential property - if the amount of personal property (not including the value of a mobile home) on Line $12 a$ is greater than 5 percent of the sale price on Line 11, or
- non-residential property - if the amount of personal property on Line 12a is greater than 25 percent of the sale price on Line 11.
Residential personal property - Generally, "personal property" includes items that are not attached (built-in) to the home and that are normally removed by the seller when vacating the property. Examples include artwork, automobiles and boats, draperies, furniture, freestanding appliances (e.g., refrigerators, stoves, washers and dryers, but not built-in appliances), lawn mowers, tractors, snow blowers, rugs (excludes wall-to-wall carpets), and window air-conditioners (excludes central air). Include the value of a mobile home as personal property on Line $12 a$ if it meets all of the following conditions:
- The value of the mobile home was included on Line 11.
- The value of the mobile home was not included on the real estate tax bill.
Commercial/industrial personal property - Generally, "personal property* is any item that is not a permanent improvement to the land and includes, but is not limited to, intangibles such as goodwill, licenses, patents, franchises, business or enterprise values; and certain tangibles such as inventories, cash registers and shopping carts, free-standing shelving and displays, furniture, office equipment and supplies, vehicles, and machinery and equipment not assessed as real estate.
Generally, "personal property" does not include building components (e.g., wiring and lighting, heating, air-conditioning, plumbing, fire protection): foundations, pits and other building components for specialized or heavy machinery: permanent fixtures including, but not limited to, machinery and equipment and cranes assessed as real estate, craneways, and non-portable tanks; and site improvements such as paving and fencing.
Line 14 - Write the amount of other real estate transferred from the buyer to the seller that was included in the sale price on Line 11. This value only applies to a simultaneous exchange between the parties involved in this transaction. Do not include the value of property involved in a deferred exchange under IRC §1031.
Line 15 - Write an amount only if the deed or trust document states that the transferred property remains subject to a mortgage at the time of the transfer.
Line 16 - Use an " X " to identify the letter of the provision for the exemption from the transfer tax (i.e., (b). (k), or (m)) that applies to this transfer. See "Which property transfers are exempt from real estate transfer tax?" in these instructions.
Step 3: Write the legal description from the deed.
Write the legal description from the deed. Use a minimum 10 -point font if the legal description is typed. If the legal description will not fit in the space provided, submit an $81 / 2^{*} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ copy of the extended legal description from the deed with this form.


## Step 4: Complete the requested information.

Write the requested information for the seller, buyer, and preparer. Write the addresses and daytime phone numbers where the seller and buyer can be contacted after the sale.
The seller and buyer (or their agents) and preparer must sign this form. By signing the form, the parties involved in the real estate transfer verify that

- they have examined the completed Form PTAX-203,
- the information provided on this form is true and correct, and
- they are aware of the criminal penalties of law associated with falsifying or omitting any information on this form.
Use an " X " to identify any required documents submitted with this form.


## Illinois Department of Revenue

## PTAX-205 2021 Assessor's Application for Additional Compensation


Step 2: Complete the following information
11 Estimated three-year
average level of assessment:
12 Estimated coefficient of dispersion (COD):

13 Check the approach you are using to apply this monetary bonus award:

| a $\square$ | Department's 2020 assessment/sales ratio study |
| :--- | :--- |
| b $\square$ | Applicant's sales ratio data (specify all years included) |
| Year of sales |  |
| c $\square$ | Assessment year |
| Independent appraisals |  |
| d | Other: Explain: |


| Step 3: Complete the 2021 Abstract of Assessments |  | 3 <br> Property assessed for the first time |  | $\stackrel{5}{\text { Total no. }}$ of parcels in 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 Name of township or multi-township: |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 locally assessed value after $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{R}$ equalization action | 2 <br> 2021 locally assessed value after township/multi-township assessor's action |  |  |  |
| 15 Residential |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Industrial |  |  |  |  |
| 18 Farm (A) Section 10-145 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Farm (B) Section 10-110 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 Other land, minerals and locally assessed RR |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Total - all locally assessed |  |  |  |  |

22 Amount of assessed value reclassified from the prior year B/R values:

| Res. |
| :---: |
| Comm. |$\quad$ Farm



I state that to the best of my knowledge, the information contained on this application is true, correct, and complete.


## General Information

What is the assessor's additional compensation?
(35 ILCS 200/4-20)
Assessors who achieve a median level of assessment between 31 1/3 percent and $351 / 3$ percent of the fair cash value may qualify for an additional monetary bonus award. In addition to the median level of assessment, counties with

- more than $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ inhabitants - an assessor must also have a coefficient of dispersion (COD) no greater than 15 percent in 2020.
- fewer than 50,000 inhabitants - an assessor must also have a COD no greater than 30 percent in 2020.


## When is Form PTAX-205 due?

(Chapter 86, Illinois Administrative Code, Section 110.170)
File Form PTAX-205, Assessor's Application for Additional
Compensation, after you have signed your assessment books, but no later than your county's deadline, which is typically 60 days after your county's tentative equalization factor hearing for 2021.
Do not submit Form PTAX-205 before your assessment books are given to the chief county assessment officer (CCAO) or board of review, as appropriate.

How do I know if I qualify for the bonus award?
(Chapter 86, Illinois Administrative Code, Section 110.170)
We will evaluate the information you submit, as well as any other assessment records pertinent to the application. In addition, we may audit the data you submit and the assessment records. We will notify you in writing of our determination.

## Should I obtain appraisal guidelines?

If you are using an independent appraisals approach, you should obtain our appraisals guidelines before you make adjustments. To obtain the guidelines, visit our Website at tax.illinois.gov or call us weekdays between 8 am and 4 pm at 217 785-1356.
How do I file Form PTAX-205?
Mail your completed Form PTAX-205 and all supporting documentation to:

```
EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW SECTION
ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
PO BOX }1903
SPRINGFIELD IL 62794-9033
```


## Specific Instructions

Step 1: Follow instructions.

## Step 2: Complete the following information

Lines 11-12: Follow the instructions on the form.
Line 13: Mark the approach you are using - choose only one.

## a Our sales ratio data

 We determine the assessment level using an average of the median levels of assessment for three years preceding the assessment year, adjusted by consideration of any changes made by the assessor since the time the data was collected.
## The following options require supporting documentation that must be included with your application. No application will be processed without the required supporting documentation.

b Your sales ratio data

- Attach copies of the real estate transfer declarations;
- Indicate editing procedure used. (You may use our procedure 02);
- Adjust the sale price for seller-related financing. You must use our procedure 17 if required under 35 ILCS 200/17-10;
- Show your calculation of the median; and,
- Show tab numbers for each sale in question if you are disputing or commenting on the sales used in the department's study.
Current year sales may be used to confirm an estimated COD.
You must submit the current year sales ratio data through the most recently available month of sale and indicate the COD. We cannot process Form PTAX-205 until our current year sales ratio study is completed. However, Form PTAX-205 and the documents must be submitted, no later than 60 days after your county's tentative equalization factor hearing.


## c Independent appraisals

- Demonstrate subject properties to be appraised were selected in a random and representative manner;
- Ensure valuations are made by a qualified appraiser who has no interest in the properties or in the outcome of the appraisals;
- Show you do not have any interest in the appraisal firm used to conduct the appraisals;
- Be certain that the assessments applied to the appraised properties are for the year preceding the appraisal; and,
- Document the adjustment factors for time, cost, neighborhood, depreciation, etc. Contact us for "Appraisal Guidelines" before making any adjustment for time. You must document the method used to calculate the adjustment factor and indicate the assessment level and COD.
d Other
You must explain the approach you are using and attach supporting documents.


## Step 3: Complete the 2021 Abstract of Assessments

Only a township or multi-township assessor is required to complete this information.
Lines 15 through 20 - Follow the instructions for each column below. Write your figures on the appropriate lines.
Line 18, "Farm (A)" includes the assessment of the farm homesite, farm residence, and the appurtenant structures because they are subject to the state equalization factor under Section 10-145.
Line 19, "Farm (B)" includes the assessment of farm buildings and farmland assessed under Sections 10-110 through 10-140.
Column 1: Final assessed 2020 values certified to us by the county clerk on Form PTAX-260-A, Final Abstract of 2020 Assessments.
Column 2: Assessed values for 2021 after your action and before the CCAO's action, including equalization. The assessed values that were on the assessment books when you certified those books are reported by the CCAO in Column 2 of Form PTAX-204-S/A, 2021 Report on Equalization of Local Assessment by Chief County Assessment Olficer (CCAO).
Column 3: Value of property assessed for the first time before any CCAO's action and/or any township multipliers.
Column 4: Assessed value lost by class of property only if the assessed value has been "lost" due to physical destruction or exemption since this value was certified to us by the county clerk on Form PTAX-260-A, Final Abstract of 2020 Assessments.
Lines 22 through 23 - Only complete these lines if you, as the township or multi-township assessor, reclassified the property. Write the reclassification amounts by property class.
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## Homework

Answers follow the page the exercise is presented on.

## Interquartile Range Calculations

Calculate the upper and lower trim limits for the following group of numbers:
$7.81,17.46,17.89,20.00,35.23,38.99,40.77,50.22,51.33,51.87,59.82,62.37,65.79$, 89.99, 125.66

1. First Quartile: $\qquad$
2. Third Quartile: $\qquad$
3. Interquartile range: $\qquad$
4. Interquartile range $\qquad$ $x 6=$ $\qquad$
5. Third Quartile + Result $=$ $\qquad$
6. First Quartile - Result $=$ $\qquad$
Are there any numbers removed from this group? $\qquad$
7. First Quartile: $\underline{4}$
8. Third Quartile: $\underline{12}$
9. Interquartile range: $\underline{42.37}$
10. Interquartile range $\underline{42.37} \times 6=\underline{254.22}$
11. Third Quartile + Result $=\underline{316.59}$
12. First Quartile - Result $=\underline{-234.22}$

Are there any numbers removed from this group? No

## TOWNSHIP \#2

| Av | Sale Price | Sales <br> Ratio (\%) | Ranked | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 22,097 | \$ 124,000 |  |  |  |
| 36,098 | 117,500 |  |  |  |
| 37,474 | 98,000 |  |  |  |
| 31,520 | 105,000 |  |  |  |
| 28,064 | 62,900 |  |  |  |
| 10,475 | 24,600 |  |  |  |
| 24,522 | 79,000 |  |  |  |
| 37,475 | 138,700 |  |  |  |
| 35,176 | 85,000 |  |  |  |
| 18,931 | 72,400 |  |  |  |
| 22,258 | 58,200 |  |  |  |
| 5,210 | 12,800 |  |  |  |
| 28,285 | 87,300 |  |  |  |
| 31,845 | 113,000 |  |  |  |
| 27,407 | 82,900 |  |  |  |
| 7,319 | 18,700 |  |  |  |
| 20,744 | 69,500 |  |  |  |
| 31,548 | 92,300 |  |  |  |

Median Level of Assessment
Coefficient of Dispersion (COD)
Coefficient of Concentration (COC)
Price Related Differential (PRD)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## TOWNSHIP \#2

| Assessed Value | Sale Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Ranked | Dev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 22,097 | \$ 124,000 | 17.82 | 17.82 | 14.91 |
| 36,098 | 117,500 | 30.72 | 26.15 | 2.01 |
| 37,474 | 98,000 | 38.24 | 27.02 | 5.51 |
| 31,520 | 105,000 | 30.02 | 28.18 | 2.71 |
| 28,064 | 62,900 | 44.62 | 29.85 | 11.89 |
| 10,475 | 24,600 | 42.58 | 30.02 | 9.85 |
| 24,522 | 79,000 | 31.04 | 30.72 | 1.69 |
| 37,475 | 138,700 | 27.02 | 31.04 | 5.71 |
| 35,176 | 85,000 | 41.38 | 32.40 | 8.65 |
| 18,931 | 72,400 | 26.15 | 33.06 | 6.58 |
| 22,258 | 58,200 | 38.24 | 34.18 | 5.51 |
| 5,210 | 12,800 | 40.70 | 38.24 | 7.97 |
| 28,285 | 87,300 | 32.40 | 38.24 | . 33 |
| 31,845 | 113,000 | 28.18 | 39.14 | 4.55 |
| 27,407 | 82,900 | 33.06 | 40.70 | . 33 |
| 7,319 | 18,700 | 39.14 | 41.38 | 6.41 |
| 20,744 | 69,500 | 29.85 | 42.58 | 2.88 |
| 31,548 | 92,300 | 34.18 | 44.62 | 1.45 |
| 456,448 | $\overline{1,441,800}$ | 605.34 |  | 98.94 |
| Median Level of Assessment |  |  | 32.73 \% |  |
| Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) |  |  | 16.80 \% |  |
| Coefficient of Concentration (COC) |  |  | 38.89 \% |  |
| Price Related Differential (PRD) |  |  | 1.06 |  |


| PRICE RELATED DIFFERENTIAL (RD) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assessed Value | Sale Price | Sales Ratio |
| $\$ 26,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | 32.50 |
| 3,000 | 7,500 | 40.00 |
| 19,200 | 60,000 | 32.00 |
| 4,200 | 11,400 | 36.84 |
| 2,800 | 6,500 | 43.08 |
| 25,000 | 83,600 | 29.90 |
| 17,100 | 50,000 | 34.20 |
| 17,900 | 59,900 | 29.88 |
| 18,400 | 61,300 | 30.02 |
| 3,500 | 7,600 | 46.05 |
| 4,300 | 9,900 | 43.43 |
| 25,800 | 75,000 | 34.40 |
| 16,500 | 57,400 | 28.75 |
| 27,200 | 92,700 | 29.34 |
| 28,500 | 98,000 | 29.08 |
| 20,300 | 80,000 | 25.38 |
| 15,600 | 70,000 | 22.29 |
| 10,000 | 86,800 | 11.52 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Step 1 |  |

Step 3: Sales Based Average Ratio $=\frac{\text { Sum of AV }}{\text { Sum of SP }} \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$
Step 5: Mean Assessment Ratio = Sum of Sales Ratio $=$ Number of Sales

Step 6: Price -Related Differential $=$ Mean Assessment Ratio $=$ $\qquad$ Sales Based Average Ratio

Does this indicate a possible bias based on the value of the property? $\qquad$


Does this indicate a possible bias based on the value of the property? Yes

| PRICE RELATED DIFFERENTIAL (RD) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assessed Value | Sale Price | Sales Ratio |
| $\$ 36,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | 45.00 |
| 5,000 | 7,500 | 66.67 |
| 19,200 | 75,000 | 25.60 |
| 6,200 | 11,400 | 54.39 |
| 3,200 | 6,500 | 49.23 |
| 25,000 | 75,600 | 33.07 |
| 15,100 | 50,000 | 30.20 |
| 18,900 | 59,900 | 31.55 |
| 18,400 | 55,300 | 33.27 |
| 3,000 | 7,600 | 39.47 |
| 4,300 | 10,900 | 39.45 |
| 25,800 | 55,000 | 46.91 |
| 6,500 | 57,400 | 11.32 |
| 25,200 | 92,700 | 27.18 |
| 27,500 | 98,000 | 28.06 |
| 22,300 | 80,000 | 27.88 |
| 17,600 | 70,000 | 25.14 |
| 10,000 | 96,800 | 10.33 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Step 1 |  |

Step 3: Sales Based Average Ratio $=\frac{\text { Sum of AV }}{\text { Sum of SP }} \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$
Step 5: Mean Assessment Ratio = Sum of Sales Ratio $=$ Number of Sales

Step 6: Price -Related Differential $=$ Mean Assessment Ratio $=$ $\qquad$ Sales Based Average Ratio

Does this indicate a possible bias based on the value of the property? $\qquad$

## PRICE-RELATED DIFFERENTIAL PRD

|  | Assessed Value |  | Sale Price | Sales Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ 36,000 |  | \$80,000 | 45.00 |
|  | 5,000 |  | 7,500 | 66.67 |
|  | 19,200 |  | 75,000 | 25.60 |
|  | 6,200 |  | 11,400 | 54.39 |
|  | 3,200 |  | 6,500 | 49.23 |
|  | 25,000 |  | 75,600 | 33.07 |
|  | 15,100 |  | 50,000 | 30.20 |
|  | 18,900 |  | 59,900 | 31.55 |
|  | 18,400 |  | 55,300 | 33.27 |
|  | 3,000 |  | 7,600 | 39.47 |
|  | 4,300 |  | 10,900 | 39.45 |
|  | 25,800 |  | 55,000 | 46.91 |
|  | 6,500 |  | 57,400 | 11.32 |
|  | 25,200 |  | 92,700 | 27.18 |
|  | 27,500 |  | 98,000 | 28.06 |
|  | 22,300 |  | 80,000 | 27.88 |
|  | 17,600 |  | 70,000 | 25.14 |
|  | 10,000 |  | 96,800 | 10.33 |
| Totals | s: 289,200 | $29.22$ | 989,600 | $624.72 \div 18=34.71$ |

Price -Related Differential $=\frac{34.71}{29.22}=1.19$
Does this indicate a possible bias based on the value of the property? Yes

## Trend forward and backward

You will find the charts and tables on the following pages. The answers begin on the pages that follow the charts and tables.

A township assessor is applying for the 2020 assessor's bonus. She needs to determine whether her 3-year average median level of assessments is between
$\qquad$ \% and $\qquad$ \%. She also needs to know her COD to see if it is in the right range. The population of her county is 62,793 .

The township did not have 25 useable sales for any of the 3 years needed for the 2020 assessor bonus award. Therefore, the assessor will have to use trending to calculate the township medians for each of the 3 years (2017, 2018, and 2019).

The county medians are:
2017 Urban Weighted Median $=34.01$
2018 Urban Weighted Median $=31.53$
2019 Urban Weighted Median $=30.65$

## OVERVIEW:

Exercise A: Trend backward

Determine 2017 median level - trend 2018 to 2017

## Exercise B: Trend backward

Determine 2018 median level - trend 2019 to 2018

## Exercise C: Trend forward

Determine 2019 median level - trend 2018 to 2019
Exercise D: Calculate 3-year average median level of assessments
Exercise E: Calculate the COD for 2019

## Values for the 2019 Sales

The 2019 Sales will be used for this trending exercise. Information needed is the 2019 ratios as calculated from the 2019 sales and the corresponding 2018 AVs in order to calculate the 2019 median.

## 2019 Ratios

| 26.34 | 26.81 | 27.13 | 28.38 | 29.30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30.74 | 33.23 | 36.67 | 42.78 | 43.20 |

## Values for the 2018 Sales

The 2018 sales 1) will be trended backward to be combined with the existing 2017 sales to determine a 2017 median and 2) will also be trended forward to be combined with existing 2019 sales ratios to determine a 2019 median.

After applying the trending factor to the 2018 sales price to determine an adjusted sales price for the year, the assessed value for those same sales for the prior year is needed.

1) 2016 assessed values for the 2018 sales adjusted to 2017 are needed to determine the 2017 trended sales ratios.
2) 2018 assessed value for the 2018 sales adjusted to 2019 is needed to determine the 2019 trended sales ratios.
3) 2017 sales ratios using 2016 AV and 2017 SP have been calculated in the table below.

| 2016 AV | 2017 AV | 2018 AV | 2018 SP | 2018 SR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 5,120 | \$ 5,120 | \$ 8,510 | \$ 25,000 | 20.48 |
| 9,360 | 9,360 | 13,150 | 40,000 | 23.40 |
| 14,990 | 15,228 | 21,990 | 60,000 | 25.38 |
| 10,210 | 10,209 | 13,550 | 39,800 | 25.65 |
| 16,920 | 16,920 | 21,110 | 65,000 | 26.03 |
| 15,700 | 15,702 | 20,390 | 60,000 | 26.17 |
| 26,470 | 28,558 | 35,580 | 109,000 | 26.20 |
| 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,380 | 8,000 | 27.00 |
| 5,560 | 5,560 | 6,940 | 20,000 | 27.80 |
| 13,270 | 13,270 | 15,670 | 46,500 | 28.54 |
| 36,570 | 36,576 | 41,900 | 127,000 | 28.80 |
| 29,660 | 29,664 | 33,340 | 101,000 | 29.37 |
| 19,600 | 19,598 | 22,430 | 65,000 | 30.15 |
| 21,390 | 21,393 | 22,330 | 68,000 | 31.46 |
| 11,210 | 11,209 | 11,430 | 32,500 | 34.49 |
| 15,140 | 15,141 | 15,010 | 43,875 | 34.51 |


| Exercise A: Determine 2017 median level - trend 2018 back to 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Trending Factor }=\frac{\text { County Median }- \text { Trended From Year }}{\text { County Median- Trended To Year }}=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 SP |  |  |  |  |  | 26.34 |
|  |  | Trending Factor | Adjusted SP | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { AV } \end{gathered}$ | Ratios | 26.81 |
| \$ | 25,000 |  | 23,178 | 5,120 | 22.09 |  |
|  | 40,000 |  | 37,084 | 9,360 | 25.24 | 27.13 |
| 60,000 |  |  |  | 14,990 |  |  |
| 39,800 |  |  |  | 10,210 |  |  |
| 65,000 |  |  |  | 16,920 |  |  |
| 60,000 |  |  |  | 15,700 |  | 28.38 |
| 109,000 |  |  |  | 26,470 |  |  |
| 8,000 |  |  |  | 2,160 |  | 29.30 |
| 20,000 |  |  |  | 5,560 |  |  |
| 46,500 |  |  |  | 13,270 |  | 30.74 |
| 127,000 |  |  |  | 36,570 |  |  |
| 101,000 |  |  |  | 29,660 |  |  |
| 65,000 |  |  |  | 19,600 |  |  |
| 68,000 |  |  |  | 21,390 |  |  |
| 32,500 |  |  |  | 11,210 |  |  |
| 43,875 |  |  |  | 15,140 |  | 33.23 |
| 2017 Median Level of Assessments: |  |  |  |  |  | 36.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing 2017 Sales Ratios: (Ranked in rows) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.3426 .81 |  | $27.13 \quad 28.38$ | 29.30 |  |  | 42.78 |
| 30.7433 .23 |  | 36.6742 .78 | 43.20 |  |  | 43.20 |


| Exercise B: Determine 2018 median level - trend 2019 to 2018 |  |  |  |  | Ranked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trending Factor $=$ |  |  |  |  | 20.48 |
| 2019 | Trending | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| \$ SP | Factor | Adjusted SP | AV | Ratios | $\overline{23.40}$ |
| 67,500 |  |  | 14,380 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 25.38 |
| 15,500 |  |  | 3,740 |  | 25.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 26.03 |
| 26,500 |  |  | 6,430 |  | 26.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 26.20 |
| 105,000 |  |  | 27,900 |  | 27.00 |
| 66,000 |  |  | 17,610 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 27.80 |
| 27,000 |  |  | 7,920 |  | 28.54 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 28.80 |
| 40,000 |  |  | 11,310 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 29.37 |
| 31,000 |  |  | 9,040 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 30.15 |
| 16,500 |  |  | 4,870 |  |  |
| 42,500 |  |  | 13,330 |  | 31.46 |
| 28,000 |  |  | 10,040 |  | $\overline{34.49}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 34.51 |
| 12,000 | - |  | 4,990 |  |  |
| 7,000 |  |  | 8,300 |  |  |

Existing 2018 Sales Ratios: (These are ranked in rows)
$\begin{array}{llllllll}20.48 & 23.40 & 25.38 & 25.65 & 26.03 & 26.17 & 26.20 & 27.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}27.80 & 28.54 & 28.80 & 29.37 & 30.15 & 31.46 & 34.49 & 34.51\end{array}$

2018 Median Level of Assessments: $\qquad$


Exercise D: Determine the 3-year average median level of assessments $\qquad$

Exercise E: Determine the 2019 COD:

| 2019 SR's | 2019 Median | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.31 | 32.07 |  |
| 25.29 | 32.07 |  |
| 25.40 | 32.07 |  |
| 27.83 | 32.07 |  |
| 27.94 | 32.07 |  |
| 28.92 | 32.07 |  |
| 29.33 | 32.07 |  |
| 29.60 | 32.07 |  |
| 30.52 | 32.07 |  |
| 30.91 | 32.07 |  |
| 31.57 | 32.07 |  |
| 31.73 | 32.07 |  |
| 31.92 | 32.07 |  |
| 31.96 | 32.07 |  |
| 32.07 | 32.07 |  |
| 32.09 | 32.07 |  |
| 32.76 | 32.07 |  |
| 32.85 | 32.07 |  |
| 33.04 | 32.07 |  |
| 33.09 | 32.07 |  |
| 33.10 | 32.07 |  |
| 33.26 | 32.07 |  |
| 33.54 | 32.07 |  |
| 33.73 | 32.07 |  |
| 34.19 | 32.07 |  |
| 35.63 | 32.07 |  |
| 37.54 | 32.07 |  |
| 43.58 | 32.07 |  |
| 124.14 | 32.07 |  |

Will the assessor receive the Assessor Bonus Award?


[^1]Exercise B: Determine 2018 median level - trend 2019 to 2018
Trending Factor $=.9721$

| Trending | 迷 |  |  |  | 20.48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | Trending | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | $\underline{21.92}$ |
| \$ SP | Factor | Adjusted SP | AV | Ratios | 23.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24.82 |
| 67,500 | . 9721 | 65,617 | 14,380 | 21.92 | $\underline{24.96}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{25.38}$ |
| 15,500 | . 9721 | 15,068 | 3,740 | 24.82 | $\underline{25.65}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{26.03}$ |
| 26,500 | . 9721 | 25,761 | 6,430 | 24.96 | $\underline{26.17}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{26.20}$ |
| 105,000 | . 9721 | 102,071 | 27,900 | 27.33 | $\underline{27.00}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{27.33}$ |
| 66,000 | . 9721 | 64,159 | 17,610 | 27.45 | $\underline{27.45}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{27.80}$ |
| 27,000 | . 9721 | 26,247 | 7,920 | 30.17 | $\underline{28.54}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{28.80}$ |
| 40,000 | . 9721 | 38,884 | 11,310 | 29.09 | $\underline{29.09}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{29.37}$ |
| 31,000 | . 9721 | 30,135 | 9,040 | 30.00 | $\underline{30.00}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{30.15}$ |
| 16,500 | . 9721 | 16,040 | 4,870 | 30.36 | 30.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{30.36}$ |
| 42,500 | . 9721 | 41,314 | 13,330 | 32.27 | 31.46 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 32.27 |
| 28,000 | . 9721 | 27,219 | 10,040 | 36.89 | 34.49 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 34.51 |
| 12,000 | . 9721 | 11,665 | 4,990 | 42.78 | 36.89 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 42.78 |
| 7,000 | . 9721 | 6,805 | 8,300 | 121.97 | $\underline{121.97}$ |

2018 Median Level of Assessments: 28.54 \%


Exercise E: Determine the 2019 COD:

| 2019 SR's | 2019 Median | Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 22.31 | 32.07 | 9.76 |
| 25.29 | 32.07 | 6.78 |
| 25.40 | 32.07 | 6.67 |
| 27.83 | 32.07 | 4.24 |
| 27.94 | 32.07 | 4.13 |
| 28.92 | 32.07 | 3.15 |
| 29.33 | 32.07 | 2.74 |
| 29.60 | 32.07 | 2.47 |
| 30.52 | 32.07 | 1.55 |
| 30.91 | 32.07 | 1.16 |
| 31.57 | 32.07 | .50 |
| 31.73 | 32.07 | .34 |
| 31.92 | 32.07 | .15 |
| 31.96 | 32.07 | .11 |
| 32.07 | 32.07 | .00 |
| 32.09 | 32.07 | .02 |
| 32.76 | 32.07 | .69 |
| 32.85 | 32.07 | .78 |
| 33.04 | 32.07 | .97 |
| 33.09 | 32.07 | 1.02 |
| 33.10 | 32.07 | 1.03 |
| 33.26 | 32.07 | 1.19 |
| 33.54 | 32.07 | 1.47 |
| 33.73 | 32.07 | 1.66 |
| 34.19 | 32.07 | 2.12 |
| 35.63 | 32.07 | 3.56 |
| 37.54 | 32.07 | 5.47 |
| 43.58 | 32.07 | 11.51 |
| 124.14 | 92.07 |  |
| Total |  |  |
| Will the assessor |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Find the COD for this township TOWNSHIP

| Prior Year <br> AV | Current Year <br> Sale Price | Sales Ratio <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 15,700$ | $\$ 57,900$ | $\underline{27.12}$ |
| 35,600 | 98,300 | $\underline{36.22}$ |
| 24,800 | 72,900 | $\underline{34.02}$ |
| 16,300 | 56,100 | $\underline{29.06}$ |
| 19,500 | 68,400 | $\underline{28.51}$ |
| 32,100 | 83,100 | $\underline{38.63}$ |
| 14,000 | 47,500 | $\underline{29.47}$ |
| 35,600 | 93,800 | $\underline{37.95}$ |

COD = $\qquad$

## Find the COD for this Township

TOWNSHIP

| Prior Year <br> AV | Current Year <br> Sale Price | Sales Ratio | Deviations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 15,700$ | $\$$ | 57,900 | $\underline{27.12}$ |

Median $=31.75$
Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{32.66 \%}{8}=4.08 \%$
COD $=\frac{\text { Average Deviation }}{\text { Median }} \times 100(\%)=\frac{4.08}{31.75} \times 100(\%)=12.85 \%$

## Calculate the PRD for Township \#2.

PRICE RELATED DIFFERENTIAL (PRD)


Step 3: Sales Based Average Ratio $=\frac{\text { Sum of } A V}{\text { Sum of } S P} \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$

Step 5: Mean Assessment Ratio $=\frac{\text { Sum of Sales Ratio }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=$ $\qquad$

Step 6: Price -Related Differential = Mean Assessment Ratio = Sales Based Average Ratio

Does this indicate a possible bias based on the value of the property? $\qquad$

## Calculate the PRD for Township \#2.

## PRICE-RELATED DIFFERENTIAL <br> PRD

| Assessed Value | Sale Price | Sales Ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 27,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | 33.75 |
| 3,000 | 7,500 | 40.00 |
| 19,200 | 60,000 | 32.00 |
| 3,400 | 11,400 | 29.82 |
| 2,800 | 6,500 | 43.08 |
| 23,200 | 83,600 | 27.75 |
| 17,100 | 50,000 | 34.20 |
| 17,900 | 59,900 | 29.88 |
| 19,400 | 61,300 | 31.65 |
| 3,500 | 7,600 | 46.05 |
| 4,300 | 9,900 | 43.43 |
| 25,800 | 75,000 | 34.40 |
| 18,000 | 57,400 | 31.36 |
| 26,500 | 92,700 | 28.59 |
| 28,500 | 98,000 | 29.08 |
| 20,300 | 80,000 | 25.38 |
|  | 70,000 | 20.00 |
|  | 86,800 | 11.52 |
|  |  | 997,600 |$\quad 571.94 \div 18=31.770$

Price -Related Differential $=\frac{31.17}{28.46}=1.12$
Does this indicate a possible bias based on the value of the property? Yes

Complete the sales ratio study for the following township.

## TOWNSHIP SALES RATIO STUDY

Using the chart below, find:
Median Level of Assessment
Coefficient of Dispersion (COD)
Coefficient of Concentration (COC)
Price-Related Differential (PRD)

| Prior Year AV | Current Year SP | Sales Ratio (\%) | Ranked | Dev | Ranked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$22,097 | \$ 124,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 36,098 | 117,500 |  |  |  |  |
| 28,064 | 62,900 |  |  |  |  |
| 10,475 | 24,600 |  |  |  |  |
| 18,931 | 72,400 |  |  |  |  |
| 22,258 | 58,200 |  |  |  |  |
| 5,210 | 12,800 |  |  |  |  |
| 31,845 | 113,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 27,407 | 82,900 |  |  |  |  |
| 7,319 | 18,700 |  |  |  |  |
| 20,744 | 69,500 |  |  |  |  |
| 31,548 | 92,300 |  |  |  |  |

Complete the sales ratio study for the following township.

TOWNSHIP<br>SALES RATIO STUDY

Using the chart below, find:
Median Level of Assessment
Coefficient of Dispersion (COD)
Coefficient of Concentration (COC)
Price Related Differential (PRD)

| $33.62 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $18.26 \%$ |
| $25.00 \%$ |
| 1.09 |

## Sales

| Av |  | SP | Ratio (\%) Ranked |  | Deviation | Ranked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 22,097 | \$124,000 | 17.82 | 17.82 | 15.80 | . 56 |
|  | 36,098 | 117,500 | 30.72 | 26.15 | 2.90 | 56 |
|  | 28,064 | 62,900 | 44.62 | 28.18 | 11.00 | 2.90 |
|  | 10,475 | 24,600 | 42.58 | 29.85 | 8.96 | 3.77 |
|  | 18,931 | 72,400 | 26.15 | 30.72 | 7.47 | 4.62 |
|  | 22,258 | 58,200 | 38.24 | 33.06 | 4.62 | 5.44 |
|  | 5,210 | 12,800 | 40.70 | 34.18 | 7.08 | 5.52 |
|  | 31,845 | 113,000 | 28.18 | 38.24 | 5.44 | 7.08 |
|  | 27,407 | 82,900 | 33.06 | 39.14 | 56 | 7.47 |
|  | 7,319 | 18,700 | 39.14 | 40.70 | 5.52 | 8.96 |
|  | 20,744 | 69,500 | 29.85 | 42.58 | 3.77 | 11.00 |
|  | 31,548 | 92,300 | 34.18 | 44.62 | 56 | 15.80 |
| 261,996 |  | 848,800 | 405.24 |  | 73.68 |  |

## Answer Key for Unit Review Questions and Exercises

 Units 1 through 7
## Unit 1 Exercises

## Exercise 1-1, Decimal and Percentages

Calculate the missing component(s) below:

| EAV | Sales Price | Decimal | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40,000 | 125,000 | .3200 | 32.00 |
| 35,000 | 105,000 | .3333 | 33.33 |
| 60,000 | 190,450 | .3150 | 31.50 |
| 150,000 | 583,500 | .2571 | 25.71 |
| 120,000 | 90,000 | 1.3333 | 133.33 |
| 2,500 | 50,000 | .0500 | 5.00 |
| 75,000 | 166,500 | .4505 | 45.05 |

## Exercise 1-2, Statistical Measures

Another statistical measure that would provide a more practical result when discussing Sales Ratio Studies is the median. This is the middle occurrence for the total group of SR for a county. Using the first set of numbers as the guide, what is the median?

Median $=\underline{62.50}$

## Exercise 1-3

The final statistical centrally located result when evaluating SR is the mode. This is the number that is displayed the most in an array of figures. Using the first or second set of numbers above, what would the mode be? 110

## Exercise 1-4, Sales Ratio

Deed for the sale is dated: $2019=2018$ Assessment
Deed for the sale is dated: $2012=\underline{2011}$ Assessment
Deed for the sale is dated: $2017=\underline{2016}$ Assessment
Deed for the sale is dated: $2020=\underline{\underline{2019}}$ Assessment

## Exercise 1-5, Quartiles

Answer the questions for following array of numbers.
19.25, 22.46, 32.57, 32.99, 34.20, 36.59, 36.59, 37.46, 37.89, 38.80, 38.99, 39.99, 42.76, 44.98, 87.25

What is the First Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$(0.25(15)+0.25=4 \quad$ Result in data set $=\quad 32.99$
What is the Third Quartile in the above group of numbers?
$(0.75)(15)+0.75=12 \quad$ Result in data set $=\quad 39.99$

## Interquartile

## Exercise 1-6

From the above example, were there any sales removed from this study? $\underline{87.25}$
For the above example, what is the ratio range for this township before removal of outliers? 68.00 after the removal of outliers? 25.73

What was the median for this set of data before removal of any outliers? $\underline{37.46}$
What is the median for set of data after removal of outliers, if applicable? $\underline{37.03}$

## Exercise 1-7

$15.25,25.46,26.57,38.99,39.20,39.59,39.59,41.46,43.89,44.80,45.99,45.99,50.76,90.98$, 107.25

From the above example, were there any sales removed from this study? $9 \underline{90.98,107.25}$
For the above example, what is the ratio range for this township before removal of outliers? $\underline{92.00}$ after the removal of outliers? $\underline{35.51}$

What was the median for this set of data before removal of any outliers? $\underline{41.46}$
What is the median for set of data after removal of outliers, if applicable? $\underline{39.59}$

## Exercise 1-8

$2.38,21.46,26.57,38.99,39.20,39.59,39.59,41.46,43.89,45.80,45.99,45.99,50.76,54.85$, 55.67, 79.88, 90.98, 157.25, 166.88

From the above example, were there any sales removed from this study? $157.25,166.88$
For the above example, what is the ratio range for this township before removal of outliers? 164.50 after the removal of outliers? 88.60

What was the median for this set of data before removal of any outliers? $\underline{45.80}$
What is the median for set of data after removal of outliers, if applicable? $\underline{43.89}$

## UNIT 1 Review Questions

1. Tor F To calculate a sales ratio, the EAV is divided by the sales price.
2. ToF The mode for an array of numbers is the result located in the
middle.
MEDIAN
3. ToF A median is the number that shows up in an array of numbers the most times. MODE
4. T of Quartile ranges play no significant role in the sales ratio process. MUST USE TO FIND OUTLIERS AND TRIM
5. T o F The formula to calculate a sales ratio contains the current year's EAV divided by the prior year's sales price. PRIOR YEAR EAV / CURRENT YEAR SALE PRICE
6. What central point of tendency reflects the middle sales ratio result? MEDIAN
7. Calculate the lower and upper trim points from the following array of 15 numbers. $2.00,15.46,24.80,24.80,25.08,26.10,32.99,33.24,33.24,34.15,36.77,50.86$, 51.33, 77. 33, 105.66

From your results, what, if any ratios will be removed from the data? $\qquad$

## Unit 2 Exercises

Exercise 2-1

| Prior Year Assessed Value | Current Year Sales Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Ranked (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$26,000 | \$80,000 | 32.50 | 29.88 |
| \$3,000 | \$7,500 | 40.00 | 29.90 |
| \$19,200 | \$60,000 | 32.00 | 30.02 |
| \$4,200 | \$11,400 | 36.84 | 32.00 |
| \$2,800 | \$6,500 | 43.08 | 32.50 |
| \$25,000 | \$83,600 | 29.90 | 34.20 |
| \$17,100 | \$50,000 | 34.20 | 36.84 |
| \$17,900 | \$59,900 | 29.88 | 40.00 |
| \$18,400 | \$61,300 | 30.02 | 43.08 |

Median $32.50 \%$
Note: This exercise is for classroom purposes only. There must be 25 useable sales in order to calculate a median level of assessments.

## Exercise 2-2

| Prior Year Assessed Value | Current Year Sales Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Ranked (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000 | \$86,800 | 11.52 | 11.52 |
| \$15,600 | \$70,000 | 22.29 | 22.29 |
| \$20,300 | \$80,000 | 25.38 | 25.38 |
| \$26,000 | \$80,000 | 32.50 | 28.75 |
| \$3,000 | \$7,500 | 40.00 | 29.08 |
| \$19,200 | \$60,000 | 32.00 | 29.34 |
| \$4,200 | \$11,400 | 36.84 | 29.88 |
| \$2,800 | \$6,500 | 43.08 | 29.90 |
| \$25,000 | \$83,600 | 29.90 | 30.02 |
| \$17,100 | \$50,000 | 34.20 | 32.00 |
| \$17,900 | \$59,900 | 29.88 | 32.50 |
| \$18,400 | \$61,300 | 30.02 | 34.20 |
| \$3,500 | \$7,600 | 46.05 | 34.40 |
| \$4,300 | \$9,900 | 43.43 | 36.84 |
| \$25,800 | \$75,000 | 34.40 | 40.00 |
| \$16,500 | \$57,400 | 28.75 | 43.08 |
| \$27,200 | \$92,700 | 29.34 | 43.43 |
| \$28,500 | \$98,000 | 29.08 | 46.05 |

Median $=\underline{31.01 \%}$

## Exercise 2-3

$28.75,29.08,29.34,29.88,29.90,30.02,32.00,32.50,34.20,34.40,36.84,40.00,43.08,43.43$, 46.05

Median level of assessments (from above set of data) $\underline{32.50}$
First Quartile 29.88
Third Quartile $\underline{40.00}$
Lower trim point $\underline{-30.84}$
Upper trim point 100.72
Median level of assessments after trimming 32.50

## Urban Weighted Median Ratio

In order to calculate a county median, it is necessary to know both the total assessed values in the county and the total market value of property in the county. What class of properties are included for the assessed values? Non-farm properties such as residential, commercial, industrial and other land/improvements.

## Exercise 2-4

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Assessed } \\ \text { Value } \\ \text { (000's) } \end{gathered}$ | Median Ratio (\%) | Estimated Full Value (000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township 1 | 3,648 | 32.50 | 11,225 |
| Township 2 | 10,450 | 33.10 | 31,571 |
| Township 3 | 6,279 | 31.62 | 19,858 |
| All other Townships | 30,560 | 32.20 | 94,907 |
| Total | 50,937 | 32.33 | 157,561 |

Urban weighted ratio - County's Median Level of Assessments $\underline{32.33}$

## Exercise 2-5

|  | Assessed Value (000's) | Median Ratio (\%) | Estimated Full Value (000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township 1 | 5,700 | 32.50 | 17,538 |
| Township 2 | 12,555 | 33.10 | 37,931 |
| Township 3 | 7,859 | 31.62 | 24,855 |
| Township 4 | 14,667 | 34.88 | 42,050 |
| Township 5 | 22,885 | 29.44 | 77,734 |
| All other Townships | 30,560 | 32.81 | 93,142 |
| Total | 94,226 | 32.13 | 293,250 |

## Exercise 2-6

|  | Assessed Value (000's) | Median Ratio (\%) | Estimated <br> Full Value (000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township 1 | 6,500 | 32.50 | 20,000 |
| Township 2 | 14,887 | 33.10 | 44,976 |
| Township 3 | 9,534 | 31.62 | 30,152 |
| Township 4 | 20,080 | 34.88 | 57,569 |
| Township 5 | 62,331 | 29.44 | 211,722 |
| All other Townships | 46,788 | 32.81 | 142,603 |
| Total | 160,120 | 31.58 | 507,022 |

Urban weighted ratio - County's Median Level of Assessments $\underline{31.58}$

## Unit 2: Review Questions

1. T or $\mathcal{F}$ A sale of property between relatives will be included in the sales ratio study.
2. (T) $\mathbf{F} \quad$ A sale for an exempt property will not be included in the sales ratio study.
3. Tor $\mathbf{F}$ The median level of assessments is found by ranking sales ratios in ascending order.
4. T or $(\mathbb{F}$ A property has to be advertised with a realtor to be an arm's length transaction.
5. T or F To calculate the upper and lower trim points for an array of data, the first step is to identify the first and second quartiles.
6. T or $(F$ The calculation for the county's urban weighted sales ratio median includes all classes of property.
7. What are some of the uses for the sales ratio study? Used for assessment appeal, as an analytical tool to evaluate local assessment practices, determine eligibility for assessor bonus award and reimbursement of $50 \%$ of the CCAO's salary.
8. If a house assessed at $\$ 74,250$ recently sold for $\$ 198,000$, the sales ratio is $\underline{37.50}$. Was the house was (over statutorily, or under) assessed?
9. The 2020 study would use sales from $\underline{2020}$ and assessed values for these same properties from $\underline{2019 .}$
10. List two deed types that would be included in the sale the sales ratio study: Warranty (including Corporation Warranty deed) and Trustee deed.
11. List five uses of property that would exclude a sale from the sales ratio study: Farm, Governmental, Charitable, Railroad, Model home, Developer's lot, fraternal organization. Answers can vary.
12. List two other reasons why a sale would be excluded from the sales ratio study. Overlaps townships (non-farm parcels) or recorded in wrong county. Answers can vary.

## Unit 3 EXERCISES:

## Exercise 3-1

## EAV <br> Sales Price

Sales Ratio (\%) Median (\%)
Absolute
Deviation

| $\$ 26,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 7,500$ |
| $\$ 19,200$ | $\$ 60,000$ |
| $\$ 4,200$ | $\$ 11,400$ |
| $\$ 2,800$ | $\$ 6,500$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 83,600$ |
| $\$ 17,100$ | $\$ 50,000$ |
| $\$ 17,900$ | $\$ 59,900$ |


| 32.50 |  | 33.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.00 |  | 33.35 |
| 36.00 |  | 33.35 |
| 43.08 |  |  |
| 29.90 |  | 33.35 |
| 34.20 |  | 33.35 |
| 29.88 |  |  |


| 0.85 |
| ---: |
| 6.65 |
| 1.35 |
| 3.49 |
| 9.73 |
| 3.45 |
| 0.85 |
| 3.47 |
| 29.84 |

Sum of Deviations:
29.84

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{29.84}{8}=3.73 \%$
COD $=\underline{3.73 / 33.35} \times 100 \%=\underline{11.18}$

## COC Calculation

33.35 $x .9=$ $\qquad$ 30.02
33.35 x $1.1=$ $\qquad$ 36.69

Number of ratios within range $\qquad$ 3

Total number of ratios $\qquad$
COC $\qquad$ X 100\% = $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values $\qquad$ 115,200

Sum of sales prices $\qquad$ 358,900

Sales-based average ratio 115,200/358,900 X 100\% = 32.10

Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$ 278.40

Mean assessment ratio _278.40/8 X 100\% = $\qquad$
PRD = $34.80 / 32.10=$ $\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Exercise 3-2

| EAV | Sales Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Median (\%) | Absolute Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$20,000 | \$65,000 | 30.77 | 32.96 | 2.19 |
| \$5,000 | \$7,500 | 66.67 | 32.96 | 33.71 |
| \$24,300 | \$47,800 | 50.84 | 32.96 | 17.88 |
| \$6,200 | \$13,200 | 46.97 | 32.96 | 14.01 |
| \$9,800 | \$28,500 | 34.39 | 32.96 | 1.43 |
| \$16,850 | \$70,450 | 23.92 | 32.96 | 9.04 |
| \$17,250 | \$54,900 | 31.42 | 32.96 | 1.54 |
| \$18,600 | \$59,000 | 31.53 | 32.96 | 1.43 |
| Sum of Deviations: |  |  |  | 81.23 |

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{81.23}{8}=10.15 \%$
COD $=\underline{10.15 / 32.96} \times 100 \%=\underline{30.79}$

## COC Calculation

$\qquad$ $x .9=$ $\qquad$
32.96 $\qquad$ x $1.1=$ $\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$ 8

COC 4/8 X 100\% = $\qquad$ 50.00

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values $\qquad$ 118,000

Sum of sales prices $\qquad$ 346,350

Sales-based average ratio $118,000 / 346,350 \times 100 \%=$ 34.07

Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$ 316.51

Mean assessment ratio 316.51/8 $\times 100 \%=\underline{39.56}$
PRD = $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

Exercise 3-3

| EAV | Sales Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Median (\%) | Absolute Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$35,500 | \$90,000 | 39.44 | 34.96 | 4.48 |
| \$2,500 | \$7,000 | 35.71 | 34.96 | 0.75 |
| \$18,000 | \$56,000 | 32.14 | 34.96 | 2.82 |
| \$6,500 | \$16,400 | 39.63 | 34.96 | 4.67 |
| \$4,000 | \$11,900 | 33.61 | 34.96 | 1.35 |
| \$29,500 | \$84,900 | 34.75 | 34.96 | 0.21 |
| \$30,000 | \$88,000 | 34.09 | 34.96 | 0.87 |
| \$45,000 | \$128,000 | 35.16 | 34.96 | 0.20 |
|  |  | of Deviatio |  | 15.35 |

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{15.35}{8}=1.92 \%$
COD $=1.92 / 34.96 \times 100 \%=\underline{5.49}$
COC Calculation
$\qquad$ $x .9=$ $\qquad$
34.96
34.96 x $1.1=$ $\qquad$
Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$ 8
$\mathbf{C O C}=\underline{6 / 8} \times 100 \%=\underline{75.00}$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values $\qquad$ 171,000

Sum of sales prices $\qquad$ 482,200

Sales-based average ratio $171,000 / 482,200 \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$ 35.46

Sum of sales ratios 284.53

Mean assessment ratio $284.53 / 8 \times 100 \%=\underline{35.57}$
PRD = $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Exercise 3-4

Using the following set of data, calculate each of the following measures of uniformity.
COD 17.99
COC 44.44
PRD 1.14
What conclusions can be drawn from the above results? Are there any issues with the uniformity of the assessments? The COD result indicates fairly good uniformity of the assessments while the COC is fairly low indicating that most sales ratio results are not within $10 \%$ of the median, either higher or lower. The PRD does show a bias towards higher priced properties having lower assessed values than lower priced properties.

|  | EAV | Sales Price | Sales Ratio (\%) | Median (\%) | Absolute Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$23,000 | \$80,000 | 28.75 | 33.08 | 4.33 |
|  | \$4,000 | \$7,500 | 53.33 | 33.08 | 20.25 |
|  | \$19,850 | \$60,000 | 33.08 | 33.08 | 0.00 |
|  | \$4,800 | \$12,000 | 40.00 | 33.08 | 6.92 |
|  | \$2,800 | \$6,500 | 43.08 | 33.08 | 10.00 |
|  | \$25,000 | \$88,000 | 28.41 | 33.08 | 4.67 |
|  | \$17,100 | \$50,000 | 34.20 | 33.08 | 1.12 |
|  | \$17,900 | \$59,900 | 29.88 | 33.08 | 3.20 |
|  | \$18,400 | \$61,300 | 30.02 | 33.08 | 3.06 |
| Total | \$132,850 | \$425,200 | 320.75 |  | 53.55 |

Average Deviation $=\underline{\text { Sum of Deviations }}=\underline{53.55}=5.95 \%$
Number of Sales 9
COD $=\underline{5.95 / 33.08} \times 100 \%=17.99$
COC Calculation
$\qquad$ $x .9=$ $\qquad$ 29.77 33.08 $\qquad$ x $1.1=$ $\qquad$ 36.39

Number of ratios within range $\qquad$ 4

Total number of ratios $\qquad$ 9

COC $=4 / 9 \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$
PTAX-1-E (R-01/24)

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values $\qquad$ 132,850

Sum of sales prices 425,200

Sales-based average ratio $132,850 / 425,200 \times 100 \%=31.24$
Sum of sales ratios 320.75

Mean assessment ratio 320.75/9 $\times 100 \%=\underline{35.64}$ $\operatorname{PRD}=\frac{35.64 / 31.24}{=} \quad 1.14 \quad$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## UNIT 3 Review Questions

1. T orF Individual sales that are clustered around a township's median indicates a high COD result.
2. Tor F A lower COC result indicates an issue with uniformity assessment.
3. T or $(F)$ A PRD of 1.05 indicates a bias for assessments of higher-valued properties to be assessed higher than lower-valued properties.
4. Calculate the COD, COC and PRD for the following set of data:

|  | Assessed Value | Sales Price | Sales <br> Ratio | Ranked | Median | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$4,000 | \$16,000 | 25.00 | 21.15 | 27.12 | 2.12 |
|  | \$2,000 | \$7,600 | 26.32 | 22.22 | 27.12 | 0.80 |
|  | \$13,000 | \$32,000 | 40.63 | 24.82 | 27.12 | 13.51 |
|  | \$8,000 | \$29,500 | 27.12 | 25.00 | 27.12 | 0.00 |
|  | \$5,000 | \$18,800 | 26.60 | 26.32 | 27.12 | 0.52 |
|  | \$3,500 | \$14,100 | 24.82 | 26.60 | 27.12 | 2.30 |
|  | \$14,700 | \$35,800 | 41.06 | 26.67 | 27.12 | 13.94 |
|  | \$2,200 | \$10,400 | 21.15 | 27.12 | 27.12 | 5.97 |
|  | \$8,000 | \$30,000 | 26.67 | 28.06 | 27.12 | 0.45 |
|  | \$2,200 | \$9,900 | 22.22 | 30.51 | 27.12 | 4.90 |
|  | \$19,400 | \$54,000 | 35.93 | 31.09 | 27.12 | 8.81 |
|  | \$8,700 | \$31,000 | 28.06 | 35.93 | 27.12 | 0.94 |
|  | \$8,300 | \$26,700 | 31.09 | 40.63 | 27.12 | 3.97 |
|  | \$3,600 | \$11,800 | 30.51 | 41.06 | 27.12 | 3.39 |
|  | \$19,500 | \$47,300 | 41.23 | 41.23 | 27.12 | 14.11 |
| Total | \$122,100 | \$374,900 | 448.41 |  |  | 75.73 |

Median Level of Assessment
27.12

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{75.73}{15}=5.05 \%$

$$
\text { COD }=5.05 / 27.12 \times 100 \%=18.62
$$

## COC Calculation

27.12
$x .9=\underline{24.41}$
27.12 $x 1.1=$ $\qquad$ 29.83

Number of ratios within range $\qquad$
Total number of ratios $\qquad$
15
$\mathbf{C O C}=7 / 15 \times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$

## PRD Calculation

Sum of assessed values 122,100

Sum of sales prices $\quad 374,900$
Sales-based average ratio $122,100 / 374,900 \times 100 \%=\underline{32.57}$
Sum of sales ratios $\qquad$ 448.41

Mean assessment ratio 448.41/15 $\times 100 \%=29.89$
PRD $=\frac{29.89 / 32.57}{=} .92$ (decimal answer to 2 places - do not convert to percent)

## Unit 4 Exercises

## Exercise 4-1

| County | 3 Years Prior | 2 Years Prior | Previous Year | 3-Year average | Current <br> Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 32.09 | 31.81 | 30.61 | 31.50 | 1.0581 |
| B | 34.25 | 33.33 | 33.78 | 33.79 | 0.9865 |
| C | 30.19 | 29.16 | 30.78 | 30.04 | 1.1095 |
| D | 33.26 | 33.98 | 32.75 | 33.33 | 1.0000 |
| E | 31.18 | 31.95 | 31.19 | 31.44 | 1.0601 |
| F | 30.60 | 30.23 | 31.27 | 30.70 | 1.0857 |
| G | 34.15 | 32.62 | 34.09 | 33.62 | .9914* |

*Note: According to 35 ILCS 200/17-25, no factor will be issued if aggregate assessed value is within $99 \%$ and $101 \%$ of $331 / 3 \%$ of fair cash value. The factor for county " $G$ " would be 1.0000 .

In order to calculate the equalization factor for 2020, an assessor would need the median levels of assessments for the following years:
$\underline{2017} \xrightarrow{2018}$

## Exercise 4-2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YR | MEDIAN <br> Adjusted | 17 S/A Chgs | B/R <br> Chgs | 18 S/A Chgs | B/R Chgs | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \text { S/A } \\ & \text { Chgs } \end{aligned}$ | B/R Chgs | 20 TA Chgs |

Median

| 2017 | 29.65 | $+6.60 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.95 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 30.99 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | 28.54 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.95 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 27.98 |
| 2019 | 32.07 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.95 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 31.44 |

The 3-year average median level for this township is: $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 4 \%}$.
The equalization factor is: $\qquad$ 1.1058

## Exercise 4-3

For the data listed, calculate the median and the COD.

| Assessed <br> Value | Sales Price | Sales <br> Ratio | Ranked <br> Ratio | Deviation |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 42,630$ | $\$ 110,000$ | 38.75 | 24.67 |  |
| $\$ 46,100$ | $\$ 120,000$ | 38.42 | 28.54 | 1.45 |
| $\$ 44,400$ | $\$ 117,000$ | 37.95 | 29.63 | 1.12 |
| $\$ 41,600$ | $\$ 106,200$ | 39.17 | 31.88 | 0.65 |
| $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 103,800$ | 37.38 | 32.85 | 1.87 |
| $\$ 42,140$ | $\$ 109,400$ | 38.52 | 33.35 | 0.08 |
| $\$ 39,360$ | $\$ 99,300$ | 39.64 | 33.78 | 1.22 |
| $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 98,200$ | 38.31 | 33.78 | 2.34 |
| $\$ 38,710$ | $\$ 100,500$ | 38.52 | 34.58 | 1.01 |
| $\$ 40,580$ | $\$ 101,700$ | 39.90 | 34.59 | 1.22 |
| $\$ 39,550$ | $\$ 106,300$ | 37.21 | 35.93 | 2.60 |
| $\$ 41,710$ | $\$ 107,900$ | 38.66 | 36.27 | 0.09 |
| $\$ 36,920$ | $\$ 110,700$ | 33.35 | 37.21 | 1.36 |
| $\$ 37,770$ | $\$ 109,200$ | 34.59 | 37.38 | 3.95 |
| $\$ 40,080$ | $\$ 110,500$ | 36.27 | 37.95 | 2.71 |
| $\$ 47,140$ | $\$ 118,300$ | 39.85 | 38.31 | 1.03 |
| $\$ 36,900$ | $\$ 106,700$ | 34.58 | 38.42 | 2.55 |
| $\$ 36,000$ | $\$ 100,200$ | 35.93 | 38.52 | 2.72 |
| $\$ 24,320$ | $\$ 98,600$ | 24.67 | 38.52 | 1.37 |
| $\$ 27,770$ | $\$ 97,300$ | 28.54 | 38.63 | 12.63 |
| $\$ 28,770$ | $\$ 97,100$ | 29.63 | 38.66 | 8.76 |
| $\$ 30,600$ | $\$ 96,000$ | 31.88 | 38.75 | 7.67 |
| $\$ 31,400$ | $\$ 95,600$ | 32.85 | 39.17 | 5.42 |
| $\$ 30,400$ | $\$ 90,000$ | 33.78 | 39.64 | 4.45 |
| $\$ 38,630$ | $\$ 100,000$ | 38.63 | 39.85 | 3.52 |
| $\$ 37,160$ | $\$ 110,000$ | 33.78 | 39.90 | 1.33 |

Median
37.30

Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{76.64}{26}=2.95 \%$

$$
\text { COD }=\underline{2.95 / 37.30 \times 100 \%=7.91}
$$

If the median level of assessments has been the same as the current level for the past 3 years, calculate the equalization factor. $\qquad$ .8936

Apply the equalization factor.

| AV | EAV | Sales <br> Price | SR | Ranked | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$42,630 | 38,094 | \$110,000 | 34.63 | 22.04 | 1.30 |
| \$46,100 | 41,195 | \$120,000 | 34.33 | 25.50 | 1.00 |
| \$44,400 | 39,676 | \$117,000 | 33.91 | 26.48 | 0.58 |
| \$41,600 | 37,174 | \$106,200 | 35.00 | 28.48 | 1.67 |
| \$38,800 | 34,672 | \$103,800 | 33.40 | 29.35 | 0.07 |
| \$42,140 | 37,656 | \$109,400 | 34.42 | 29.80 | 1.09 |
| \$39,360 | 35,172 | \$99,300 | 35.42 | 30.18 | 2.09 |
| \$37,620 | 33,617 | \$98,200 | 34.23 | 30.19 | 0.90 |
| \$38,710 | 34,591 | \$100,500 | 34.42 | 30.90 | 1.09 |
| \$40,580 | 36,262 | \$101,700 | 35.66 | 30.91 | 2.33 |
| \$39,550 | 35,342 | \$106,300 | 33.25 | 32.11 | 0.08 |
| \$41,710 | 37,272 | \$107,900 | 34.54 | 32.41 | 1.21 |
| \$36,920 | 32,992 | \$110,700 | 29.80 | 33.25 | 3.53 |
| \$37,770 | 33,751 | \$109,200 | 30.91 | 33.40 | 2.42 |
| \$40,080 | 35,815 | \$110,500 | 32.41 | 33.91 | 0.92 |
| \$47,140 | 42,124 | \$118,300 | 35.61 | 34.23 | 2.28 |
| \$36,900 | 32,974 | \$106,700 | 30.90 | 34.33 | 2.43 |
| \$36,000 | 32,170 | \$100,200 | 32.11 | 34.42 | 1.22 |
| \$24,320 | 21,732 | \$98,600 | 22.04 | 34.42 | 11.29 |
| \$27,770 | 24,815 | \$97,300 | 25.50 | 34.52 | 7.83 |
| \$28,770 | 25,709 | \$97,100 | 26.48 | 34.54 | 6.85 |
| \$30,600 | 27,344 | \$96,000 | 28.48 | 34.63 | 4.85 |
| \$31,400 | 28,059 | \$95,600 | 29.35 | 35.00 | 3.98 |
| \$30,400 | 27,165 | \$90,000 | 30.18 | 35.42 | 3.15 |
| \$38,630 | 34,520 | \$100,000 | 34.52 | 35.61 | 1.19 |
| \$37,160 | 33,206 | \$110,000 | 30.19 | 35.66 | 3.14 |

Multiply each of the assessed values by the equalization factor.
Calculate the new median $\qquad$ 33.33\%

Calculate the COD Average Deviation $=\frac{\text { Sum of Deviations }}{\text { Number of Sales }}=\frac{68.49}{26}=2.63 \%$

$$
\text { COD }=\underline{2.63 / 33.33 \times 100 \% ~}=\underline{7.89}
$$

## Unit 4 Review Questions

1. An equalization factor will uniformly increase or decrease assessed values of all properties in the county except for farmland , farm buildings, wind turbines, commercial solar systems, coal, and state-assessed properties.
2. The township assessor is calculating a township multiplier for this year.

| Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago | 32.79 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago | 31.92 |
| Median Level of Assessment for last year | 31.58 |

a. The prior 3-year average median level of assessments for this township is: $\quad 32.10 \%$
b. The township equalization factor will be: 1.0383
3. Another township assessor is calculating a township multiplier for this year.

Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago:
32.45

Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago:
31.09

Median Level of Assessment for last year:
30.36
a. The prior 3-year average median level of assessments for Washington township is: 31.30\%
b. The township equalization factor will be: 1.0649

## Unit 5 Exercises

## Exercise 5-1

Eligibility for the Assessor's Bonus

| Population | 3 <br> Years Ago (\%) | 2 <br> Years Ago (\%) | Last Year (\%) | 3-Year Average (\%) | COD | Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66,241 | 29.07 | 33.59 | 27.63 | 30.10 | 16.4 | No |
| 39,582 | 37.38 | 31.72 | 36.24 | 35.11 | 27.3 | Yes |
| 81,759 | 32.85 | 33.57 | 36.48 | 34.30 | 11.5 | Yes |
| 47,391 | 29.63 | 31.02 | 33.58 | 31.41 | 34.8 | No |
| 52,089 | 32.55 | 34.60 | 33.72 | 33.62 | 18.6 | No |
| 107,464 | 36.82 | 31.09 | 35.98 | 34.63 | 14.3 | Yes |
| 183,697 | 29.75 | 28.04 | 32.56 | 30.12 | 9.4 | No |
| 28,434 | 31.99 | 32.48 | 35.79 | 33.42 | 16.7 | Yes |

## Unit 5 Review Questions

1. The Lincoln township assessor is applying for the bonus for this year. The COD is $15.92 \%$.
Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago
32.79
Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago
31.92
Median Level of Assessment for last year
31.58
a. If the population of the county is less than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the assessor bonus? Yes
b. If the population of the county is greater than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the assessor bonus?

No
2. A Washington township assessor is applying for the bonus for this year. The COD is 14.80\%.

Median Level of Assessment for 3 years ago:
32.45

Median Level of Assessment for 2 years ago:
31.09

Median Level of Assessment for last year:
30.36
a. If the population of the county is less than 50,000, will the assessor receive the assessor bonus?

No
b. If the population of the county is greater than 50,000 , will the assessor receive the assessor bonus?

No
3. In order to qualify for the assessor bonus award, the assessor must be in a qualified position , have a three - year average median level of assessments between $311 / 3 \%$ and $35 \frac{1}{3} \%$, and a COD no greater than $30 \%$ (assuming that the population of the county is 50,000 or less).

## Unit 6 Exercises

## Exercise 6-1

Calculate the trending factors (to 4 decimal places) if a county's urban-weighted medians are:

| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31.57 | 30.48 | 32.95 |

1. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
2. Trend 2019 back to 2018
3. Trend 2018 back to 2017
4. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

| Trending Factor | $=$ | 1.0358 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=$ | 1.0810 |
| Trending Factor | $=$ | 0.9655 |
| Trending Factor | $=0.9250$ |  |

Using the information from the above exercise, determine from what year the assessed values would come.

1. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
2. Trend 2019 back to 2018
3. Trend 2018 back to 2017
4. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from

2017
2017 2016 2018

## Exercise 6-2

Calculate the trending factors (to 4 decimal places) if a county's urban-weighted medians are:

| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30.08 | 35.32 | 29.54 |

5. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
6. Trend 2019 back to 2018
7. Trend 2018 back to 2017
8. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Trending Factor $=\underline{0.8516}$
Trending Factor $=\underline{0.8364}$
Trending Factor $=1.1742$
Trending Factor $=\underline{1.1957}$

Using the information from above exercise, determine from what year the assessed values would come.
5. Trend 2017 forward to 2018
6. Trend 2019 back to 2018
7. Trend 2018 back to 2017
8. Trend 2018 forward to 2019

Assessed Values from
Assessed Values from
2017
Assessed Values from $\underline{2017}$
$\underline{2016}$
Assessed Values from $\underline{\underline{2018}}$

## Exercise 6-3

Sales Ratios from 2019:

| 11.67 | 22.95 | 23.87 | 24.50 | 24.87 | 24.95 | 25.21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25.69 | 26.40 | 26.73 | 27.12 | 29.33 | 29.53 |  |

(Calculated from 2019 sales and the AV of those sales from_ 2018.)

Trending factor $=1.0545 \quad(4$ decimal places $)$

| 2018 |  |  | Trending | ADJ |  | 2019 |  |  | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale | Sales Price |  |  |  |  | 2018 AV |  | Sales |
| \# |  |  | Factor | Market Value |  |  | Ratio |
| 1 | \$ 250,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 263 |  |  |  | \$ | 55,299 | 20.98 |
| 2 | 489,500 |  | 1.0545 |  | 516 |  |  | 109,607 | 21.23 |
| 3 | 386,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 407 |  |  | 89,017 | 21.87 |
| 4 | 335,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 353 |  |  | 84,071 | 23.80 |
| 5 | 1,300,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 1,370 |  |  | 349,802 | 25.52 |
| 6 | 272,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 286 |  |  | 73,473 | 25.62 |
| 7 | 169,900 |  | 1.0545 |  | 179 |  |  | 46,735 | 26.09 |
| 8 | 267,500 |  | 1.0545 |  | 282 |  |  | 76,321 | 27.06 |
| 9 | 222,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 234 |  |  | 63,687 | 27.21 |
| 10 | 840,200 |  | 1.0545 |  | 885 |  |  | 254,365 | 28.71 |
| 11 | 388,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 409 |  |  | 127,540 | 31.17 |
| 12 | 287,000 |  | 1.0545 |  | 302 |  |  | 100,797 | 33.31 |
| 11.6 | $7 \quad 23.80$ |  | 25.21 |  |  | 26.40 |  | 28.71 |  |
| 20.98 |  | 23.87 | 25.52 |  |  | 26 |  | 29.33 |  |
| 21.23 |  | 24.50 | 25.62 |  |  | 27 |  | 29.53 |  |
| 21.87 |  | 24.87 | 25.69 |  |  |  |  | 31.17 |  |
| 22.95 |  | 24.95 |  | 26.09 |  | 27 |  | 33.31 |  |

2019 Township Median $=\underline{25.62}$
2020 Township Equalization Factor $=\underline{1.2294}$
Trending Backward
Ratios for 2017 sales: (18 sales)
13.5028 .1031 .2038 .2049 .40
$15.3029 .3033 .5038 .30 \quad 64.40$
22.6029 .7035 .8039 .20
26.0031 .2037 .2039 .30

Trending Factor $=\underline{.9714}$

## Exercise 6-4

Find the new 2017 sales ratios

| Sale \# | Sales Price | Trending Factor | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { SP } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 AV | 2017 <br> Ratios |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$58,400 | 0.9714 | 56,730 | 11,914 | 21.00 |
| 2 | \$29,000 | 0.9714 | 28,171 | 6,198 | 22.00 |
| 3 | \$34,100 | 0.9714 | 33,125 | 7,288 | 22.00 |
| 4 | \$14,200 | 0.9714 | 13,794 | 3,311 | 24.00 |
| 5 | \$44,500 | 0.9714 | 43,227 | 10,807 | 25.00 |
| 6 | \$4,000 | 0.9714 | 3,886 | 972 | 25.01 |
| 7 | \$40,000 | 0.9714 | 38,856 | 10,103 | 26.00 |
| 8 | \$33,000 | 0.9714 | 32,056 | 8,335 | 26.00 |
| 9 | \$24,500 | 0.9714 | 23,799 | 6,426 | 27.00 |
| 10 | \$19,500 | 0.9714 | 18,942 | 5,115 | 27.00 |
| 11 | \$18,000 | 0.9714 | 17,485 | 5,071 | 29.00 |
| 12 | \$59,900 | 0.9714 | 58,187 | 17,457 | 30.00 |
| 13 | \$32,900 | 0.9714 | 31,959 | 10,227 | 32.00 |
| 14 | \$23,000 | 0.9714 | 22,342 | 7,150 | 32.00 |
| 15 | \$26,000 | 0.9714 | 25,256 | 8,335 | 33.00 |
| 16 | \$10,000 | 0.9714 | 9,714 | 3,497 | 36.00 |
| 17 | \$13,500 | 0.9714 | 13,114 | 4,721 | 36.00 |
| 18 | \$13,800 | 0.9714 | 13,405 | 4,826 | 36.00 |
| 19 | \$15,000 | 0.9714 | 14,571 | 5,537 | 38.00 |
| 20 | \$22,000 | 0.9714 | 21,371 | 9,190 | 43.00 |
| 21 | \$56,500 | 0.9714 | 54,884 | 27,443 | 50.00 |
| 22 | \$6,500 | 0.9714 | 6,314 | 3,346 | 52.99 |
| 23 | \$9,000 | 0.9714 | 8,743 | 5,421 | 62.00 |
| 24 | \$9,800 | 0.9714 | 9,520 | 6,188 | 65.00 |
| 25 | \$3,500 | 0.9714 | 3,400 | 2,312 | 68.00 |
| 26 | \$1,500 | 0.9714 | 1,457 | 1,501 | 103.02 |
| 27 | \$3,000 | 0.9714 | 2,914 | 3,409 | 116.99 |
| 28 | \$2,000 | 0.9714 | 1,943 | 2,506 | 128.98 |

Find the median using all of the ratios.

| 13.50 | 24.00 | 27.00 | 30.00 | 33.50 | 38.00 | 49.40 | 68.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.30 | 25.00 | 27.00 | 31.20 | 35.80 | 38.20 | 50.00 | 103.02 |
| 21.00 | 25.01 | 28.10 | 31.20 | 36.00 | 38.30 | 52.99 | 116.99 |
| 22.00 | 26.00 | 29.00 | 32.00 | 36.00 | 39.20 | 62.00 | 128.98 |
| 22.00 | 26.00 | 29.30 | 32.00 | 36.00 | 39.30 | 64.40 |  |
| 22.60 | 26.00 | 29.70 | 33.00 | 37.20 | 43.00 | 65.00 |  |

The 2017 median (32.50) is the average of the $23^{\text {rd }}$ and the $24^{\text {th }}$ ratios.
Hoover Township's medians are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2017 & = & 32.50 \\
2018 & = & 32.94 \\
2019 & = & 33.24
\end{array}
$$

The township equalization factor would be: $\qquad$

## Unit 6 Review Questions

1. What would the trending factor be if the 2019 sales were trended back to 2018 ?
county medians
2017 median $=28.72$
2018 median $=31.69$
2019 median $=30.48$
township medians
2017 median $=32.51$
2018 median $=$
2019 median $=\overline{29.86}$
2. If an assessor were trending sales from 2017 to 2018 , the assessed values on those properties would be from $\quad 2017$
3. In order to trend 2019 sales back to 2018, multiply the $\underline{2019}$ sales by the trending factor. Then divide the $\underline{2017}$ assessed values by the $\underline{2019}$ sales trended back to $\underline{2018}$ market value. (Insert years.)

## Unit 7 Exercises

## Exercise 7-1

There are 11 counties in this classroom sales ratio study. Looking at the "Total County" row and the "Median" column, find the county whose calculated median is closest to $33.33 \%$.
Harrison (32.00)
Find the county with the median of $29.57 \%$ Grant .
Table 1 Assessment Ratios
Find the adjusted median level of assessments for:
Jaguar Township, Autobahn County
Big Valley Township, Duke County
Carnation Township, Floral County
Wyoming Township, Hayes County
Aspen Township, Lincoln County
29.72
$--$
31.13
32.02
29.67

## Find the COD for:

Pole Cat Township, Duke County
Hidden Valley Township, Grant County
22.18
30.54

Abilene Township, Harrison County
Red Maple Township, Lincoln County
Tennyson Township, Roosevelt County
13.90
8.45
21.13

Best COD Red Maple Township

## Find the PRD for:

Yugo Township, Autobahn County

| 1.16 |
| ---: |
| 1.03 |
| 1.03 |
| .97 |
| 1.00 |

Tennyson Township, Roosevelt County
Mulberry Pie Township, Baker County
Water Well Township, Duke County
Holly Township, Lincoln County
1.00

Best PRD Holly Township

## Find the COC for:

Lamborghini Township, Autobahn County
Abilene Township, Harrison County
Peach Cobbler Township, Baker Count
Daisy Township, Duke County
Walnut Grove Township, Lincoln County
30.00
45.28
52.00
31.91
71.93

Best COC Walnut Grove Township

| Township |  | COD | Sales | Ratio <br> Range | PRD | COC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blue Spruce | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Imp | 18.50 | 96 | 45.21 | 1.04 | 41.67 |
|  | Unimp | 43.11 | 46 | 64.23 | 1.26 | 10.87 |
| Cherry | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Imp | 9.60 | 512 | 46.47 | 1.01 | 66.41 |
|  | Unimp | 23.84 | 25 | 32.92 | 1.10 | 40.00 |
| Cottonwood | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Imp | 8.97 | 332 | 22.45 | 1.00 | 65.36 |
|  | Unimp | 25.82 | 28 | 45.25 | 1.26 | 35.71 |
| Hawthorn | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Imp | 8.47 | 1223 | 26.70 | 1.00 | 68.27 |
|  | Unimp | 133.81 | 33 | 23.74 | 1.12 | 6.06 |

a. For the COD:

Blue Spruce
Cherry
Cottonwood
Hawthorn
Improved Unimproved

| 18.50 | 43.11 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 9.60 |  |
| 8.97 | 23.84 |
| 8.47 | 25.82 |

Most uniform (COD) is (unimproved, improved)
b. For the COC:

Improved Unimproved
Blue Spruce
Cherry

| 41.67 | 10.87 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 66.41 | 40.00 |
| 65.36 | 35.71 |
| 68.27 | 6.06 |

Most uniform (COC) is (unimproved, improved)
improved

| c. For the PRD: | Improved | Unimproved |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Blue Spruce | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 6}$ |
| Cherry | 1.01 | 1.10 |
| Cottonwood | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 6}$ |
| Hawthorn | 1.00 | 1.12 |

Most uniform (PRD) is (unimproved, improved) $\qquad$
improved
Overall, which type of property should she concentrate on for reassessment? unimproved

## Unit 7 Review Questions

Assessment Ratios for FLORAL COUNTY

1. What was the median assessment ratio for Carnation township? $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 7}$
2. Begonia township was under-assessed, statutorily assessed, or over-assessed? under-assessed
3. Which township was assessing closest to the statutory level? Phlox (32.21)
4. Considering only the COD, name the township that was:
a. least uniformly assessed. Briar

| Township | COD |  | Township |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Azalea | 13.04 |  | COD |
| Begonia | 16.13 |  | Azalea |
| Briar | 22.68 |  | 13.04 |
| Carnation | 13.78 |  | Briar |
| Daisy | 15.60 | Carnation | 16.13 |
| Holly | 9.01 | Daisy | 13.68 |
| Iris | 16.31 | Holly | 15.60 |
| Peony | 17.45 | Iris | 16.01 |
| Phlox | 12.07 | Peony | 17.45 |
| Rose | 13.57 | Phlox | 12.07 |
| Wisteria | 15.01 | Rose | 13.57 |
| All Others | 15.38 | Wisteria | 15.01 |
|  |  | All Others | 15.38 |

The COD for Briar is the highest for any of the townships, including "All Others". This indicates the greatest variation in assessment ratios.
a. most uniformly assessed Holly

The COD for Holly township is the smallest, indicating the most uniformly assessed considering only the COD.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This table is for illustrative purposes only. The table is similar in form to the actual Table 1 Assessment Ratios published by the Department of Revenue.

[^1]:    2017 Median Level of Assessments: 29.65 \%

